UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 6:11-cv1488-Orl-28GJK (6:05-cr-131-Orl28GJK)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

ORDER

This case is before the Court on the following matters:

- 1. Petitioner's initial section 2255 motion (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED** as moot in light of the filing of his amended section 2255 motion (Doc. No. 8).
- 2. Petitioner filed an amended section 2255 motion (Doc. No. 8) and accompanying memorandum of law (Doc. No. 9). Upon consideration of the amended motion and in accordance with the *Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District Courts*, it is **ORDERED** as follows:
- (a) The Government shall, within sixty (60) days from the date of this Order, file a response indicating why the relief sought in the amended motion should not be granted.
- (b) As part of the initial pleading required by paragraph (a) of this Order, the Government shall also:

- (1) State whether Petitioner has used any other available federal remedies including any prior post-conviction motions and, if so, whether an evidentiary hearing was accorded to the movant in any federal court;
- (2) Procure transcripts and/or narrative summaries in accordance with Rule 5(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Cases in the United States District Courts and file them concurrently with the initial pleading;
- (3) Summarize the results of any direct appellate relief sought by Petitioner to include citation references and copies of appellant and appellee briefs from every appellate proceeding.¹
- (4) Provide a detailed explanation of whether the motion was or was not filed within the one-year limitation period as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Supp. 1996).
- (c) Henceforth, Petitioner shall mail one copy of every pleading, exhibit and/or correspondence, along with a certificate of service indicating the date an accurate copy was mailed, to the Government listed in the bottom of this Order.
 - (d) Petitioner shall advise the Court of any change of address. The failure to do

¹The Government shall also indicate whether each claim was raised on direct appeal. If any of the claims were not raised on direct appeal, the Government shall indicate whether it waives the defense concerning the failure to raise the claim on direct appeal. See Mills v. United States, 36 F.3d 1052, 1055 (11th Cir. 1994); see also Cross v. United States, 893 F.2d 1287, 1289 (11th Cir. 1990). If any of the claims were raised on direct appeal, the Government shall indicate whether it waives the defense concerning the relitigation of claims that were previously raised and disposed of on direct appeal. See United States v. Rowan, 663 F.2d 1034, 1035 (11th Cir. 1981).

so will result in the case being dismissed for failure to prosecute.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Orlando, Florida this 12 day of January,

2012.

JOHN ANTOON II

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to: OrlP-21/12 Jesse LaMonda

Office of the United States Attorney 501 W. Church Street Suite 300 Orlando, Florida 32805