Vento et al v. Crithfield et al Doc. 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

RICHARD VENTO, LANA VENTO, GAIL C. VENTO, NICOLE M. MOLLISON and RENEE S. VENTO,

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No: 6:14-cv-2024-Orl-31DAB

DUANE CRITHFIELD, KEITHLEY LAKE, ALLIANCE HOLDING CO., LTD, et al,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of Judge Baker (Doc. 32) and Plaintiffs' objections thereto (Doc. 35). Upon *de novo* review pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b)(3), the Court finds that Judge Baker's analysis is legally correct. It is, therefore

ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiffs' objections are OVERRULED;
- 2. The Report and Recommendation is CONFIRMED and ADOPTED and made a part of this Order; and
- 3. This action is DISMISSED, and the Clerk is directed to close the case.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Chambers, Orlando, Florida on April 27, 2015.

Copies furnished to:
Counsel of Record Unrepresented Party
- 2 -