

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION**

**MATTHEW E. ORSO and
NATIONWIDE JUDGMENT
RECOVERY, INC.,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No: 6:21-mc-81-CEM-DCI

JEANNETTE PIERRE LOUIS,

Defendant.

ORDER

This cause comes before the Court for consideration without oral argument on the following motion:

MOTION: Motion to Substitute Party (Doc. 15)

FILED: October 26, 2022

THEREON it is ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED.

Pending before the Court is Nationwide's Motion for Substitution of Plaintiff. Doc. 15 (the Motion). Nationwide asserts, and provides evidence showing, that it is an assignee of Matthew Orso (Orso); Orso having succeeded Kenneth D. Bell (Bell) in Bell's capacity as court-appointed receiver for Rex Venture Group, LLC. Docs. 15, 15-1. Nationwide seeks to substitute itself as the named plaintiff in this matter. Doc. 15. Upon consideration, the Motion is due to be granted.

I. Motion for Substitution

The purpose of Rule 25(c) "is to ensure that after litigation commences, the Court, at its discretion, can proceed in an efficient manner with the real parties in interest." *Tesseron, Ltd. v.*

Oce N.V., 110 F. Supp. 3d 1255, 1257 (M.D. Fla. 2015). “Substitution under Rule 25(c) is purely a matter of convenience, and regardless of whether substitution is ordered, the respective substantive rights of the transferor or the transferee are not affected.” *Barker v. Jackson Nat. Life Ins. Co.*, 163 F.R.D. 364, 365 (N.D. Fla. 1995). Substitution under Rule 25(c) is committed to the discretion of the court. *See id.* at 366. Indeed, “[a] court may within its discretion permit the substitution of the parties pursuant to Rule 25(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure even after judgment is entered where substitution is necessary for enforcement of the judgment.” *Regions Bank v. Pjsf&T Property Acquisitions, Inc.*, 2016 WL 3748714 at *2 (M.D. Fla. June 14, 2016) (citing *Vision Bank v. Algernon Land Co., LLC*, 2012 WL 827011, at *2 (S.D. Ala. Mar. 12, 2012)).

Here, Bell obtained final judgments in a class action case against Defendant and others. Doc. 1. Nationwide argues, and the Court agrees, that since Orso succeeded Bell, and Orso made a complete transfer of interest to Nationwide in the judgment against Defendant, Nationwide is the appropriate Plaintiff; thus, the requested substitution is appropriate. *See* Doc. 15 at 2. Nationwide contends that “[t]he requested substitution, while not mandatory, will assist in expediting and simplifying the action for the Court and the parties as judgment enforcement progresses.” *Id.* at 3.

a. Service of a Motion to Substitute

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25 states “[i]f an interest is transferred, the action may be continued by or against the original party unless the court, on motion, orders the transferee to be substituted in the action or joined with the original party. The motion must be served as provided in Rule 25(a)(3).” Service according to Rule 25(a)(3) requires that a “motion to substitute, together with a notice of hearing, must be served on the parties as provided in Rule 5 and on nonparties as provided in Rule 4.”

Nationwide asserts that Motion was served on Defendant and Garnishees.¹ Doc. 15 at 7. Therefore, the Court finds that the Motion was properly served in accordance with Rule 25.

b. Evidentiary Hearing Not Required

There is a “strict requirement” that a Rule 25(c) motion be validly served. *Sas v. Serden Techs., Inc.*, 2013 WL 12086638, at *4 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 3, 2013) (citing *Trustees of Chicago Reg’l Council of Carpenters Pension Fund v. Conforti Constr. Co., Inc.*, 2013 WL 3771415, at *1 (N.D. Ill. July 17, 2013)). However, it is the non-moving party’s “responsibility to request an evidentiary hearing.” *Sullivan v. Running Waters Irrigation, Inc.*, 739 F.3d 354, 359 (7th Cir. 2014).² The Court may decide a Rule 25 motion without an evidentiary hearing if the Court determines a hearing is not necessary. 7C WRIGHT & MILLER, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE § 1958 (3d ed.). Additionally, in other related cases Nationwide filed a Notice of Supplemental Authority wherein it cited five recent orders from this District dealing with the same issue—all were granted without an evidentiary hearing.

Defendant has not filed a response to the Motion, so the Court deems the Motion unopposed. Local Rule 3.01(c). As such, there is no dispute that substitution is proper or that there is any genuine issue of material fact, and the Court finds that an evidentiary hearing is not warranted in this case.

II. Conclusion

¹ According to the certificate of service, counsel for Bank of America, NA and Wells Fargo Bank will receive notification of the filing of the Motion through CM/ECF and Defendant will receive the Motion through United States mail.

² The Court finds the reasoning in *Sullivan* on this issue persuasive, and there does not appear to be any Eleventh Circuit authority on this issue. 739 F.3d at 358–359 (7th Cir. 2014). The Court also finds the cases cited in the Motion persuasive.

A court in this district recently found that the “miscellaneous matter and the issuance of the writs of garnishment are a continuation of the original litigation that produced the judgment. Thus, under Rule 25(c), the litigation may be continued by [Nationwide] (the party in interest) and against [Defendant] (the original party).” *Bell v. Woods*, 2022 WL 428440, at *3 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 7, 2022), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2022 WL 425719 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 11, 2022).

Here, a review of the evidence Nationwide has submitted (Docs. 15, 15-1) leaves no doubt that Nationwide is the proper party in interest, and therefore Nationwide should be substituted as plaintiff in this action.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Nationwide’s Motion for Substitution of Plaintiff (Doc. 15) is **GRANTED**; and
2. The Clerk is directed to amend the case caption to substitute Nationwide Judgment Recovery, Inc. as the named Plaintiff in this matter.

DONE AND ORDERED in Orlando, Florida on November 21, 2022.



DANIEL C. IRICK
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record
Unrepresented Parties