UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

| DENN | IS HU | NT, | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Plainti | ff, Case No: 8:07-CV-1168-T-30TBM | | | |
| vs. | | | | | |
| DAVI | D L. PI | LVER, | | | |
| | Defend | dant. / | | | |
| | | <u>VERDICT FORM</u> | | | |
| | Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence: | | | | |
| | 1. | That the Plaintiff's exercise of his First Amendment rights was a substantial | | | |
| | | or motivating factor in the Defendant's decisions to call security and later to | | | |
| | | authorize law enforcement to issue a trespass warning to the Plaintiff? | | | |
| | | Answer Yes or No | | | |
| Note: | If you | answered No to question 1 above, you need not answer any of the remaining | | | |
| questi | ons. | , | | | |
| | 2. | That the Defendant was performing a discretionary job function that was | | | |
| ı | | authorized by his superiors at the Law Library? | | | |
| , | | Answer Yes or No | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 3 | | That the Defendant's decisions to call security and later to authorize law | | |
|------------|--------|---|--|--|
| | | enforcement to issue a trespass warning to the Plaintiff in fact were motivated | | |
| | | at least in part, by lawful considerations or constituted a mere mistake in | | |
| | | judgment by Defendant. | | |
| | | Answer Yes or No | | |
| Note: If | f you | answered Yes to both question 2 and question 3 above, you need not answer | | |
| any of th | ne ren | naining questions. | | |
| 4 | • | That the Defendant's acts were the proximate or legal cause of damages | | |
| | | sustained by the Plaintiff? | | |
| | | Answer Yes or No | | |
| Note: | lf you | answered No to question 4, you need not answer any of the remaining | | |
| questions. | | | | |
| 5 | • | That the Defendant's decisions to call security and later to authorize law | | |
| | | enforcement to issue trespass warning to the Plaintiff would have been made | | |
| | | for other reasons even in the absence of the Plaintiff's protected speech | | |
| | | activity? | | |

Answer Yes or No

Note: If you answered Yes to question 5, you need not answer the remaining question.

| | 6. | That the Plaintiff should be awarded damages to compensate for emotional |
|------|-------|--|
| | | pain and mental anguish resulting from a violation of Plaintiff's First |
| | | Amendment rights? |
| | | Answer Yes or No |
| | | If your answer is Yes, in what amount? |
| | SO SA | AY WE ALL. |
| | | Foreperson Adn P. murchiz |
| DATE | D: | 5/12/10 |