

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:09-cv-87-T-26TBM

ARTHUR NADEL,
SCOOP CAPITAL, LLC,
SCOOP MANAGEMENT, INC.

Defendants,

SCOOP REAL ESTATE, L.P.
VALHALLA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, L.P.,
VALHALLA MANAGEMENT, INC.
VICTORY IRA FUND, LTD,
VICTORY FUND, LTD,
VIKING IRA FUND, LLC,
VIKING FUND, LLC, AND
VIKING MANAGEMENT,

Relief Defendants.

RECEIVER'S MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT

Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver, moves the Court for an order approving settlement of *Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver v. Anne Nadel*, Case No. 8:12-cv-2532-T-23TGW (M.D. Fla) (the "**Nadel Action**") on the basis of the Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "**Commission**" or "**SEC**") instituted this action to "halt [an] ongoing fraud, maintain the status quo, and preserve investor assets . .

. .” (Dkt. 1, Compl., ¶ 7.) Burton W. Wiand was appointed by this Court as the Receiver for Defendants other than Arthur Nadel and for Relief Defendants. (*See* Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 140).) Additionally, the Receivership was expanded to include Venice Jet Center, LLC and Tradewind, LLC (Dkt. 17); Laurel Mountain Preserve, LLC, Laurel Preserve, LLC, the Marguerite J. Nadel Revocable Trust UAD 8/2/07, and the Laurel Mountain Preserve Homeowners Association, Inc. (Dkt. 44); The Guy-Nadel Foundation, Inc. (Dkt. 68); Lime Avenue Enterprises, LLC, and A Victorian Garden Florist, LLC (Dkt. 81); Viking Oil & Gas, LLC (Dkt. 153); Home Front Homes, LLC (Dkt. 172); Traders Investment Club (Dkt. 454); Summer Place Development Corp. (Dkt. 911); Respiro, Inc. (Dkt. 916); and Quest Energy Management Group, Inc. (Dkt. 1024). All of the entities in receivership are collectively identified herein as the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to the Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 984), the Receiver has the duty and authority to:

2. Investigate the manner in which the affairs of the Receivership Entities were conducted and institute such actions and legal proceedings, for the benefit and on behalf of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary . . . against any transfers of money or other proceeds directly or indirectly traceable from investors in the Receivership Entities; provided such actions may include, but not be limited to, seeking imposition of constructive trusts, disgorgement or profits, recovery and/or avoidance of fraudulent transfers under Florida Statute § 726.101, *et. seq.* or otherwise, rescission and restitution, the collection of debts, and such orders from this Court as may be necessary to enforce this Order.

Further, the Order Reappointing Receiver (at paragraph 6) authorizes the Receiver to “[d]efend, compromise or settle legal actions . . . in which the Receivership Entities or the Receiver is a party . . . with authorization of this Court”

By a Complaint filed November 7, 2012, the Receiver sued Anne Nadel (the “**Defendant**”) to recover real property located in Marshfield, Vermont at 3343 U.S. Route 2 (the “**3343 Property**”) and 3353 U.S. Route 2 (the “**3353 Property**”) (the 3343 Property and the 3353 Property are collectively referred to as the “**Vermont Properties**”) transferred to the Defendant by Arthur Nadel and/or entities controlled by him.

As shown by the attached Settlement Agreement, the Receiver and the Defendant, subject to the approval of this Court, have agreed to settle the Nadel Action. By the terms of the settlement, the Receiver will pay the total sum of \$10,000 to Defendant pursuant to a set payment schedule and an additional \$1,500 for payment of outstanding real property taxes for 2012 on the Vermont Properties. In return for these payments, Defendant will transfer to the Receiver title to the Vermont Properties. The Receiver believes that the settlement provides a practical solution which benefits the Receivership. Further, the settlement reflected by the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the Receivership, the investors in the Receivership Entities, and Defendant, because resolution of the claim avoids protracted litigation, conserving Receivership assets and judicial resources as well as avoiding the ensuing cost of litigation to Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver moves the Court to approve the settlement reflected by the attached Settlement Agreement.

LOCAL RULE 3.01(g) CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned counsel for the Receiver is authorized to represent to the Court that the SEC has no objection to the Court’s granting this motion.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 9, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system.

s/Gianluca Morello

Gianluca Morello, FBN 034997

Email: gmorello@wiandlaw.com

WIAND GUERRA KING P.L.

5505 West Gray Street

Tampa, FL 33609

Tel: (813) 347-5100

Fax: (813) 347-5198

Attorney for the Receiver, Burton W. Wiand