

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:09-cv-87-T-26TBM

ARTHUR NADEL,
SCOOP CAPITAL, LLC,
SCOOP MANAGEMENT, INC.

Defendants,

SCOOP REAL ESTATE, L.P.
VALHALLA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, L.P.,
VALHALLA MANAGEMENT, INC.
VICTORY IRA FUND, LTD,
VICTORY FUND, LTD,
VIKING IRA FUND, LLC,
VIKING FUND, LLC, AND
VIKING MANAGEMENT,

Relief Defendants.

RECEIVER'S MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT

Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver, moves the Court for an order approving settlement of *Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver v. James R. Mahoney*, Case No.: 8:10-cv-127-T-17MAP (M.D. Fla.) (the "Mahoney Action") on the basis of the Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission" or "SEC") instituted this action to "halt [an] ongoing fraud, maintain the status quo, and preserve investor assets . .

. .” (Dkt. 1, Compl., ¶ 7.) Burton W. Wiand was appointed by this Court as the Receiver for Defendants other than Arthur Nadel and for Relief Defendants. (See Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 140).) Additionally, the Receivership was expanded to include Venice Jet Center, LLC and Tradewind, LLC (Dkt. 17); Laurel Mountain Preserve, LLC, Laurel Preserve, LLC, the Marguerite J. Nadel Revocable Trust UAD 8/2/07, and the Laurel Mountain Preserve Homeowners Association, Inc. (Dkt. 44); The Guy-Nadel Foundation, Inc. (Dkt. 68); Lime Avenue Enterprises, LLC, and A Victorian Garden Florist, LLC (Dkt. 79); Viking Oil & Gas, LLC (Dkt. 153); and Home Front Homes, LLC (Dkt. 172). All of the entities in receivership are collectively identified herein as the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to the Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 140), the Receiver has the duty and authority to:

2. Investigate the manner in which the affairs of the Receivership Entities were conducted and institute such actions and legal proceedings, for the benefit and on behalf of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary . . . against any transfers of money or other proceeds directly or indirectly traceable from investors in the Receivership Entities; provided such actions may include, but not be limited to, seeking imposition of constructive trusts, disgorgement or profits, recovery and/or avoidance of fraudulent transfers under Florida Statute § 726.101, et. seq. or otherwise, rescission and restitution, the collection of debts, and such orders from this Court as may be necessary to enforce this Order.

Further, the Order Reappointing Receiver (at paragraph 6) authorizes the Receiver to “[d]efend, compromise or settle legal actions . . . in which the Receivership Entities or the Receiver is a party . . . with authorization of this Court”

The Receiver’s investigation has revealed a number of investors who received “false profits” (i.e., investors who received returns greater than their investments) (“profiteers”) to the detriment of those investors who lost money as a result of their investment. The Receiver

began recovery efforts by mailing letters to numerous profiteers, inquiring whether they agreed with the Receiver's calculated amount of false profits and whether they would return their false profits voluntarily to expedite the process and to avoid litigation. In connection with this process, the Receiver, with the approval of the Commission, offered to accept 90% of the amount claimed by the Receiver to constitute false profits to settle before the commencement of litigation. James Mahoney did not receive the letter offering this discount prior to the filing of the Complaint.

By a Complaint filed January 14, 2010, the Receiver sued James Mahoney (the "Defendant"), to recover sums received from the Receivership Entities with a view to marshaling assets for an eventual distribution to investors with verifiable claims in an equitable and appropriate manner. Specifically, the Receiver sought recovery of "false profits" (as defined in the complaint) of \$102,633.52.

As shown by the attached Settlement Agreement, the Receiver and the Defendant, subject to the approval of this Court, have agreed to settle the Mahoney Action for \$92,370.17 in full settlement of the Settled Claims, to be paid within 14 days after approval of this settlement by the SEC Receivership Court. In reaching this agreement, the Receiver considered the risks and expense of litigation and the fact that the Defendant did not receive the letter offering the 10% discount prior to the commencement of this action and determined that it would be appropriate to offer that discount at this time. The settlement reflected by the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the Receivership, the investors in the Receivership Entities, and Defendant, because resolution of the claim avoids protracted

litigation, conserving Receivership assets and judicial resources, and avoids the cost of litigation to Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver moves the Court to approve the settlement reflected by the attached Settlement Agreement.

LOCAL RULE 3.01(g) CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned counsel for the Receiver is authorized to represent to the Court that the SEC has no objection to the Court's granting this motion.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 20, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that I mailed the foregoing document and the notice of electronic filing by first-class mail to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Arthur G. Nadel, Register No. 50690-018
MCC New York - Metropolitan Correctional Center
150 Park Row
New York, NY 10007

s/ Michael S. Lamont

Gianluca Morello, FBN 034997
Email: gmorello@wiandlaw.com
Michael S. Lamont, FBN 527122
Email: mlamont@wiandlaw.com
Wiand Guerra King PL
3000 Bayport Drive, Suite 600
Tampa, FL 33607
Tel: (813) 347-5100
Fax: (813) 347-5155

Attorneys for the Receiver, Burton W. Wiand