

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:09-cv-87-T-26TBM

ARTHUR NADEL,  
SCOOP CAPITAL, LLC,  
SCOOP MANAGEMENT, INC.

Defendants,

SCOOP REAL ESTATE, L.P.  
VALHALLA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, L.P.,  
VALHALLA MANAGEMENT, INC.  
VICTORY IRA FUND, LTD,  
VICTORY FUND, LTD,  
VIKING IRA FUND, LLC,  
VIKING FUND, LLC, AND  
VIKING MANAGEMENT,

Relief Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**RECEIVER'S MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT**

Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver, moves the Court for an order approving settlement of *Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver v. Stephen Kraus*, Case No.: 8:10-cv-137-T-17MAP (M.D. Fla.) (the "Kraus Action") on the basis of the Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission" or "SEC") instituted this action to "halt [an] ongoing fraud, maintain the status quo, and preserve investor assets . . . ." (Dkt. 1, Compl., ¶ 7.) Burton W. Wiand was appointed by this Court as the Receiver for

Defendants other than Arthur Nadel and for Relief Defendants. (*See* Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 140).) Additionally, the Receivership was expanded to include Venice Jet Center, LLC and Tradewind, LLC (Dkt. 17); Laurel Mountain Preserve, LLC, Laurel Preserve, LLC, the Marguerite J. Nadel Revocable Trust UAD 8/2/07, and the Laurel Mountain Preserve Homeowners Association, Inc. (Dkt. 44); The Guy-Nadel Foundation, Inc. (Dkt. 68); Lime Avenue Enterprises, LLC, and A Victorian Garden Florist, LLC (Dkt. 79); Viking Oil & Gas, LLC (Dkt. 153); and Home Front Homes, LLC (Dkt. 172). All of the entities in receivership are collectively identified herein as the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to the Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 140), the Receiver has the duty and authority to:

2. Investigate the manner in which the affairs of the Receivership Entities were conducted and institute such actions and legal proceedings, for the benefit and on behalf of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary . . . against any transfers of money or other proceeds directly or indirectly traceable from investors in the Receivership Entities; provided such actions may include, but not be limited to, seeking imposition of constructive trusts, disgorgement or profits, recovery and/or avoidance of fraudulent transfers under Florida Statute § 726.101, et. seq. or otherwise, rescission and restitution, the collection of debts, and such orders from this Court as may be necessary to enforce this Order.

Further, the Order Reappointing Receiver (at paragraph 6) authorizes the Receiver to “[d]efend, compromise or settle legal actions . . . in which the Receivership Entities or the Receiver is a party . . . with authorization of this Court . . . .”

By a Complaint filed January 14, 2010, the Receiver sued Stephen Kraus (the “Defendant”) to recover sums received from the Receivership Entities with a view to marshaling assets for an eventual distribution to investors with verifiable claims in an

equitable and appropriate manner. Specifically, the Receiver sought recovery of “false profits” (as defined in the complaint) of \$51,153.80.

As shown by the attached Settlement Agreement, the Receiver and the Defendant, subject to the approval of this Court, have agreed to settle the Kraus Action for \$51,153.80 in full settlement of the claims, to be paid within 14 days after approval of this settlement by the SEC Receivership Court. In reaching this agreement, the Receiver considered the risks and expense of litigation.

The settlement reflected by the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the Receivership, the investors in the Receivership Entities, and Defendant, because resolution of the claim avoids protracted litigation, conserving Receivership assets and judicial resources, and avoids the cost of litigation to Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver moves the Court to approve the settlement reflected by the attached Settlement Agreement.

**LOCAL RULE 3.01(g) CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL**

The undersigned counsel for the Receiver is authorized to represent to the Court that the SEC has no objection to the Court’s granting this motion.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 29, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that I mailed the foregoing document and the notice of electronic filing by first-class mail to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Arthur G. Nadel  
Register No. 50690-018  
MCC New York  
Metropolitan Correctional Center  
150 Park Row  
New York, NY 10007

**s/ Michael S. Lamont**

Gianluca Morello, FBN 034997  
Email: [gianluca.morello@wiandlaw.com](mailto:gianluca.morello@wiandlaw.com)  
Michael S. Lamont FBN 0527122  
Email: [mlamont@wiandlaw.com](mailto:mlamont@wiandlaw.com)  
Wiand Guerra King PL  
3000 Bayport Drive  
Suite 600  
Tampa, FL 33607  
Tel: (813) 347-5100  
Fax: (813) 347-5155

*Attorneys for the Receiver, Burton W. Wiand*