Gianluca Morello

From:

John Chapman [JChapman@nhlslaw.com]

Sent: To:

Tuesday, August 17, 2010 5:39 PM Gianluca Morello: Michael Lamont

Cc:

John Lopez; Phil Hammersley

Subject:

RE: SEC v. Nadel - Motion for Ex Parte Hearing

Gianluca, I've disclosed the information I feel comfortable disclosing in the motion. As you know, there are instances where even the identity of the client is itself privileged. If the court determines you are entitled to the information then you'll have it soon enough.

I believe this will all turn out to be much ado about nothing, but based on Mr. Wiand's prior motion and his aggressive position regarding same, we felt we needed to be proactive in taking this action.

I presume that the court will set the motion for hearing on it's own accord. If you believe the procedure to be otherwise, please let me know.

John W. Chapman, Jr. Board Certified - Business Litigation

Norton Hammersley

Norton, Hammersley, Lopez & Skokos, P.A.

1819 Main Street | Suite 610 | Sarasota, FL 34236 jchapman@nhlslaw.com Direct: 941.954.4691 | Facsimile: 941.954.2128 | http://www.nhlslaw.com



Click here for more information



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This transmittal may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged or confidential. If it is not clear that you are the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this transmittal in error; any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmittal is strictly prohibited. If you suspect that you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (941) 954-4691, or email at ichapman@nhlslaw.com and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with Treasury Department regulations, we advise you that, unless expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this message or any attachments cannot be used for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein.

From: Gianluca Morello [mailto:GMorello@wiandlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 5:34 PM To: John Chapman; Michael Lamont Cc: John Lopez; Phil Hammersley

Subject: RE: SEC v. Nadel - Motion for Ex Parte Hearing

John,

Thanks for your prompt response. However, I do not see how your claim that the information we requested "would defeat the purpose of the motion" provides a basis for not disclosing it to us. What matters is whether we are entitled to the information. If the information is not privileged and it relates to the Receivership, then we are entitled to it. Of course, this is particularly true if it involves in any way proceeds of the scheme which underlies the SEC enforcement action. Please let me know whether proceeds of the scheme are involved.

Also, as I am sure you know, the identity of the parties to the communication and a brief description of the nature of the communication (i.e., the same information that would be disclosed in a privilege log) involve information that is <u>not</u> subject to privilege. And, at a minimum, you have no basis not to disclose the identity of the person who purportedly holds the privilege. Have you sought the "client's" consent to disclose the information to the Receiver?

:::

WIAND GUERRA KING

GIANLUCA MORELLO

WIAND GUERRA KING P.L. 3000 BAYPORT DRIVE | SUITE 600 | TAMPA, FL 33607 PHONE: 813.347.5105 | FAX: 813.347.5155 EMAIL: gmorello@wiandlaw.com | www.wiandlaw.com

Disclaimer under IRS Circular 230: Unless expressly stated otherwise in this transmission, nothing contained in this message is intended or written to be used, nor may it be relied upon or used, (1) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and/or (2) by any person to support the promotion or marketing of or to recommend any Federal tax transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this message.

If you desire a formal opinion on a particular tax matter for the purpose of avoiding the imposition of any penalties, we will discuss the additional Treasury requirements that must be met and whether it is possible to meet those requirements under the circumstances, as well as the anticipated time and additional fees involved.

Confidentiality Disclaimer: This e-mail message and any attachments are private communication sent by a law firm, Wiand Guerra King P.L., and may contain confidential, legally privileged information meant solely for the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, then delete the e-mail and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

From: John Chapman [mailto:JChapman@nhlslaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 5:04 PM

To: Michael Lamont

Cc: Gianluca Morello; John Lopez; Phil Hammersley **Subject:** RE: SEC v. Nadel - Motion for Ex Parte Hearing

Michael,

That's the whole idea of the motion. To provide the information you seek would defeat the purpose of the motion.

I'll let the court decide what we need to do.

John W. Chapman, Jr. Board Certified - Business Litigation

Norton Hammersley

Norton, Hammersley, Lopez & Skokos, P.A.

1819 Main Street | Suite 610 | Sarasota, FL 34236 jchapman@nhlslaw.com

Direct: 941.954.4691 | Facsimile: 941.954.2128 | http://www.nhlslaw.com



Click here for more information



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This transmittal may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged or confidential. If it is not clear that you are the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this transmittal in error; any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmittal is strictly prohibited. If you suspect that you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (941) 954-4691, or email at ichapman@nhlslaw.com and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with Treasury Department regulations, we advise you that, unless expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this message or any attachments cannot be used for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein.

From: Michael Lamont [mailto:MLamont@wiandlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 4:43 PM

To: John Chapman Cc: Gianluca Morello

Subject: SEC v. Nadel - Motion for Ex Parte Hearing

John:

We are in receipt of the motion for ex parte hearing. First, I note that you failed to confer with the SEC or the Receiver concerning this motion. In any event, please promptly email to me and Gianluca Morello the information concerning the "communication" that underlies your motion that would be disclosed in a privileged log along with the identity of the "client" who may assert such privilege.

Sincerely,

Michael

:::

WIAND GUERRA KING

MICHAEL S. LAMONT

3000 BAYPORT DRIVE I SUITE 600 I TAMPA, FL 33607 PHONE: 813.347.5107 | FAX: 813.347.5157

EMAIL: mlamont@wiandlaw.com | www.wiandlaw.com

Disclaimer under IRS Circular 230: Unless expressly stated otherwise in this transmission, nothing contained in this message is intended or written to be used, nor may it be relied upon or used, (1) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and/or (2) by any person to support the promotion or marketing of or to recommend any Federal tax transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this message.

If you desire a formal opinion on a particular tax matter for the purpose of avoiding the imposition of any penalties, we will discuss the additional Treasury requirements that must be met and whether it is possible to meet those requirements under the circumstances, as well as the anticipated time and additional fees involved.

Confidentiality Disclaimer: This e-mail message and any attachments are private communication sent by a law firm, Wiand Guerra King P.L., and may contain confidential, legally privileged information meant solely for the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, then delete the e-mail and any attachments from your system. Thank you.