

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTHUR NADEL,  
SCOOP CAPITAL, LLC,  
SCOOP MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 8:09-cv-0087-T-26TBM

SCOOP REAL ESTATE, L.P.,  
VALHALLA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, L.P.,  
VALHALLA MANAGEMENT, INC.,  
VICTORY IRA FUND, LTD,  
VICTORY FUND, LTD,  
VIKING IRA FUND, LLC,  
VIKING FUND, LLC, AND  
VIKING MANAGEMENT, LLC.

Relief Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

**RECEIVER'S UNOPPOSED VERIFIED MOTION TO  
APPROVE THE SALE OF 1978 CESSNA 152 AIRCRAFT**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754, Fed. R. Civ. P. 66, and Rule 3.01 of the Local Rules of the Middle District of Florida, Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver (the "Receiver"), respectfully moves the Court for entry of an order approving the sale of a 1978 Cessna 152 Aircraft in substantially the form of the proposed order attached as Exhibit A.

## BACKGROUND

On January 21, 2009, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) initiated this action to prevent the defendants from further defrauding investors of hedge funds operated by them. That same day, the Court entered an order appointing Burton W. Wiand as Receiver for Defendants Scoop Capital, LLC (“Scoop Capital”) and Scoop Management, Inc. (“Scoop Management”) and Relief Defendants Scoop Real Estate, L.P.; Valhalla Investment Partners, L.P.; Valhalla Management, Inc.; Victory Fund, Ltd.; Victory IRA Fund, Ltd.; Viking IRA Fund, LLC; Viking Fund, LLC; and Viking Management, LLC (the “Order Appointing Receiver”). (*See generally* Order Appointing Receiver (Doc. 8).) The Court subsequently granted several motions to expand the scope of the receivership to include Tradewind, LLC (Doc. 17) and other entities owned or controlled by Arthur Nadel (*See generally* Docs. 17, 44, 68, 81, 153, 172, 454). All of the entities and the trust in receivership are hereinafter referred to collectively as the “Receivership Entities.”

Pursuant to the Order Appointing Receiver, the Receiver has the duty and authority to: “administer and manage the business affairs, funds, assets, choses in action and any other property of the Defendants and Relief Defendants; marshal and safeguard all of the assets of the Defendants and Relief Defendants; and take whatever actions are necessary for the protection of the investors.” (Order Appointing Receiver at 1-2.) In particular, the Receiver was directed to:

[t]ake immediate possession of all property, assets and estates of every kind of the [Receivership Entities], whatsoever and wheresoever located belonging to or in the possession of the [Receivership Entities], including but not limited to all offices maintained by the [Receivership Entities], rights of action, books, papers, data processing records, evidences of debt, bank accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposit, stocks, bonds, debentures and other

securities, mortgages, furniture, fixtures, office supplies and equipment, and all real property of the [Receivership Entities] wherever situated, and to administer such assets as is required in order to comply with the directions contained in this Order, and to hold all other assets pending further order of this Court . . . .

(*Id.* at 2.)

**THE ASSET, ITS VALUE AND RECEIVER’S MARKETING EFFORTS**

The 1978 Cessna 152 Aircraft bearing serial number 15280760 (the “Asset”) was purchased in 2008 by Nadel through receivership entity Tradewind, LLC. The Receiver took possession of the Asset at the time the scope of the Receivership was expanded to include Tradewind, LLC. The Asset has remained titled in the name of Tradewind, LLC and all inspections and maintenance records were up to date through August 10, 2010. The Asset currently requires approximately \$15,000.00 in maintenance costs to make it airworthy according to FAA standards. The Asset is not subject to any liens or encumbrances.

The Receiver obtained an opinion as to the value of the Asset through the Airplane Owner’s and Pilot’s Association’s (the “AOPA”) aircraft value reference service. AOPA listed the value for this Asset at \$4,314.68. The Asset was listed for sale on various local aviation industry bulletin boards and publications, as well as on a paid website called Controller.com. The Asset was also advertised on the Receivership website, [www.nadelreceivership.com](http://www.nadelreceivership.com).

Between January 2009 and November 2010 the Receiver’s marketing efforts resulted in a number of offers, the lowest of which was \$4,500.00 and highest of which was \$7,500.00. Then, on December 12, 2010, the Receiver was offered \$9,000.00 for the Asset by William A. Sigman (the “Buyer”). Taking all factors into consideration, including the

Asset's current maintenance needs and the state of the current market, the Receiver believes that accepting the offer of \$9,000.00 is in the best interest of the Receivership. The Receiver believes that the offer of \$9,000.00 fairly represents the current value of the Asset. Accordingly, the Receiver has agreed to sell the Asset to the Buyer for \$9,000.00 contingent upon this Court's approval.

The Buyer is in position to complete the sale and purchase of the Asset contingent upon this Court's approval. In fact, the buyer has provided the Receiver with a \$1,000.00 deposit and has agreed to pay the Receiver the \$8,000.00 balance immediately upon this Court's approval.

The Receiver believes selling the Asset for \$9,000.00 is in the best interests of the Receivership, and accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests that this Court enter an order approving the transaction.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

The Court's power to supervise an equity receivership and to determine the appropriate actions to be taken in the administration of the receivership is extremely broad. *SEC v. Elliott*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1566 (11th Cir. 1992); *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir. 1986). The Court's wide discretion derives from the inherent powers of an equity court to fashion relief. *Elliott*, 953 F.2d at 1566; *SEC v. Safety Finance Service, Inc.*, 674 F.2d 368, 372 (5th Cir. 1982). The relief sought by the Receiver falls squarely within those powers. The Receiver believes sale of the Asset as outlined in this motion is in the best interests of the Receivership. The relief sought is in furtherance of the duties and authorities bestowed upon the Receiver by the Order Appointing Receiver.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver moves the Court for entry of an order in substantially the form of the proposed Order attached as Exhibit A approving the sale of the Asset to William A. Sigman in accordance with the terms set forth in this motion.

**CERTIFICATE UNDER LOCAL RULE 3.01(g)**

Undersigned counsel has conferred with counsel for the SEC and is authorized to represent to the Court that this motion is unopposed.

**VERIFICATION OF RECEIVER**

I, Burton W. Wiand, Court-Appointed Receiver in the above-styled matter hereby certify that the information contained in this Motion is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Burton W. Wiand, Court-Appointed Receiver

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on December 16, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that I mailed the foregoing document and the notice of electronic filing by first-class mail to the following non-CM/ECF participants.

Arthur G. Nadel  
Register No. 50690-018  
MDC BROOKLYN  
Metropolitan Detention Center  
P.O. Box 329002  
Brooklyn, NY 11232

**s/ Gianluca Morello**

Gianluca Morello, FBN 034997  
Email: [gmorello@wiandlaw.com](mailto:gmorello@wiandlaw.com)  
Michael S. Lamont, FBN 527122  
Email: [mlamont@wiandlaw.com](mailto:mlamont@wiandlaw.com)  
WIAND GUERRA KING P.L.  
3000 Bayport Drive  
Suite 600  
Tampa, FL 33607  
Tel: 813-347-5100  
Fax: 813-347-5199

*Attorneys for the Receiver, Burton W. Wiand*