

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:09-cv-87-T-26TBM

ARTHUR NADEL,
SCOOP CAPITAL, LLC,
SCOOP MANAGEMENT, INC.

Defendants,

SCOOP REAL ESTATE, L.P.
VALHALLA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, L.P.,
VALHALLA MANAGEMENT, INC.
VICTORY IRA FUND, LTD,
VICTORY FUND, LTD,
VIKING IRA FUND, LLC,
VIKING FUND, LLC, AND
VIKING MANAGEMENT,

Relief Defendants.

RECEIVER'S MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT

Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver, moves the Court for an order approving settlement of *Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver v. Catholic Charities, Diocese of Venice, Inc.*, Case No. 8:10-cv-247-T-17MAP (M.D. Fla.) (the "Catholic Charities Action"); and *Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver v. Bishop Frank J. Dewane, as Corporation Sole of the Diocese of Venice in Florida and Diocese of Venice in Florida, an unincorporated religious organization*, Case

No. 8:10-cv-246-T-17MAP (M.D. Fla.) (the “Diocese Action”) (collectively referred to as “Defendants”) on the basis of the Settlement Agreement attached as Exhibit A.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission” or “SEC”) instituted this action to “halt [an] ongoing fraud, maintain the status quo, and preserve investor assets” (Dkt. 1, Compl., ¶ 7.) Burton W. Wiand was appointed by this Court as the Receiver for Defendants and Relief Defendants. (*See* Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 493).) Additionally, the Receivership was expanded to include Venice Jet Center, LLC and Tradewind, LLC (Dkt. 17); Laurel Mountain Preserve, LLC, Laurel Preserve, LLC, the Marguerite J. Nadel Revocable Trust UAD 8/2/07, and the Laurel Mountain Preserve Homeowners Association, Inc. (Dkt. 44); The Guy-Nadel Foundation, Inc. (Dkt. 68); Lime Avenue Enterprises, LLC, and A Victorian Garden Florist, LLC (Dkt. 79); Viking Oil & Gas, LLC (Dkt. 153); and Home Front Homes, LLC (Dkt. 172); Traders Investment Club (Dkt. 454); Summer Place Development Corporation (Doc. 911); and Respiro, Inc. (Doc. 916). All of the entities in receivership are collectively identified herein as the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to the Order Reappointing Receiver (Dkt. 493), the Receiver has the duty and authority to:

2. Investigate the manner in which the affairs of the Receivership Entities were conducted and institute such actions and legal proceedings, for the benefit and on behalf of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary . . . against any transfers of money or other proceeds directly or indirectly traceable from investors in the Receivership Entities; provided such actions may include, but not be limited to, seeking imposition of constructive trusts, disgorgement or profits, recovery and/or avoidance of fraudulent transfers under Florida Statute § 726.101, et. seq. or otherwise, rescission and restitution, the collection of debts, and such orders from this Court as may be necessary to enforce this Order.

By an Amended Complaint filed November 15, 2010, the Receiver sued the Defendants to recover sums received from the Receivership Entities with a view to marshaling assets for an eventual distribution to investors with verifiable claims in an equitable and appropriate manner. The Defendants collectively received \$651,460.00 from the Receivership Entities.

As shown by the Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A, subject to the approval of this Court, Defendants have agreed to pay \$521,168.00 in accordance with a set payment schedule. In reaching this agreement, the Receiver considered the risks and expense of litigation and Defendants financial ability to pay. The Receiver believes that the settlement provides a practical solution which results in the maximum benefit to the Receivership. Further, the settlement reflected by the Settlement Agreements is in the best interests of the Receivership, the investors in the Receivership Entities, and Defendants, because resolution of the claims avoids protracted litigation, conserving Receivership assets and judicial resources, and avoids the cost of litigation to Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver moves the Court to approve the settlement reflected by the attached Settlement Agreements.

LOCAL RULE 3.01(g) CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned counsel for the Receiver is authorized to represent to the Court that the SEC has no objection to the Court's granting this motion.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that on October 2, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system.

s/ Michael Lamont

Gianluca Morello, FBN 034997

Email: gianluca.morello@wiandlaw.com

Michael S. Lamont, FBN 0527122

Email: mlamont@wiandlaw.com

Wiand Guerra King P.L.

3000 Bayport Drive

Suite 600

Tampa, FL 33607

Tel: (813) 347-5100

Fax: (813) 347-5198

Attorneys for the Receiver, Burton W. Wiand