## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

ANDRZEJ MADURA and ANNA DOLINSKA-MADURA,

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No. 8:10-cv-523-T-33AEP

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Defendants.

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court on consideration of United States Magistrate Anthony E. Porcelli's Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 85), filed on December 13, 2013, recommending that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal in forma pauperis (Doc. # 82) be denied. Plaintiffs failed to file an objection to the Report and Recommendation, and the time for Plaintiffs to do so has now elapsed. As discussed below, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

## <u>Discussion</u>

After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings and recommendations, a district judge may accept, reject or modify the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732 (11th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1112

(1983). In the absence of specific objections, there is no requirement that a district judge review factual findings de novo, Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993), and the court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The district judge reviews legal conclusions de novo, even in the absence of an objection. See Cooper-Houston v. S. Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); Castro Bobadilla v. Reno, 826 F. Supp. 1428, 1431-32 (S.D. Fla. 1993), aff'd, 28 F.3d 116 (11th Cir. 1994).

The Report and Recommendation thoroughly and thoughtfully addresses the issues presented and, after conducting a careful and complete review of the findings, conclusions and recommendations, and giving de novo review to matters of law, the Court accepts the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, it is now

## ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 85) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED.**
- (2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal in forma pauperis (Doc. # 82) is **DENIED.**

(3) The Court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith and directs the Clerk to notify the Court of Appeals of this ruling in accordance with Rule 24(a)(4)(B), Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

 ${\tt DONE}$  and  ${\tt ORDERED}$  in Chambers in Tampa, Florida, this  $\underline{\tt 31st}$  day of December, 2013.

VIRGINIA M. HERNANDEZ COVINGTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies: All Counsel and Parties of Record