UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

LISA N. BOSTICK,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 8:16-cv-1400-T-33AAS

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on consideration of United States Magistrate Judge Amanda Arnold Sansone's Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 186), filed on May 23, 2018, recommending that Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees (Doc. # 178) be granted in the amount of \$236,663.48. On June 6, 2018, Plaintiff Lisa N. Bostick filed an Objection to the Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 189). State Farm did not respond to the Objection. For the reasons that follow, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation.

<u>Discussion</u>

After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings and recommendations, a district judge may accept, reject or modify the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732 (11th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1112

(1983). If a party files a timely and specific objection to a finding of fact by the magistrate, the district court must conduct a *de novo* review with respect to that factual issue. Stokes v. Singletary, 952 F.2d 1567, 1576 (11th Cir. 1992). The district judge reviews legal conclusions *de novo*, even in the absence of an objection. See Cooper-Houston v. S. Ry. Co., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); Castro Bobadilla v. Reno, 826 F. Supp. 1428, 1431-32 (S.D. Fla. 1993), aff'd, 28 F.3d 116 (11th Cir. 1994).

The Report and Recommendation thoroughly and thoughtfully addresses the issues presented and none of the arguments raised in the Objection provide a basis for rejecting the Report and Recommendation. After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings, conclusions and recommendations, and giving de novo review, the Court accepts the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 186) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED.**
- (2) Bostick's Objection (Doc. # 189) is OVERRULED.

(3) Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees (Doc. # 178) is GRANTED in the amount of \$236,663.48.

 ${\bf DONE}$ and ${\bf ORDERED}$ in Chambers in Tampa, Florida, this $\underline{{\bf 3rd}}$ day of July, 2018.

VIRGINIA M. HERNANDEZ COVINGTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE