UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JOSHUA MICHAEL WILKES

\mathbf{r}	. •	. •	
IJ	A+11	101	Or
_		1 () [
	CUI	tion	,

v. CASE NO. 8:16-cv-1729-T-24TGW 8:11-cr-309-T-24TGW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

ORDER

Petitioner Joshua Michael Wilkes, represented by counsel, filed an Application for Certificate of Appealability. (Doc. 21). Upon consideration of Petitioner's Motion to Vacate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 as well as Petitioner's underlying criminal case, Petitioner's Application is denied because Petitioner has not made a showing of the denial of a constitutional right under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

Petitioner seeks to extend the holding in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>,135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), to 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) on collateral review. <u>Johnson</u> affords Petitioner no collateral relief with regard to his § 924(c) conviction because <u>Johnson</u> did not address the statute under which Petitioner was convicted. The Supreme Court has never held that any part of § 924(c) is unconstitutionally vague. Nor has the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals extended Johnson's vagueness determination to § 924(c). However, even if

reasonable jurists could find it debatable under <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u>, 529 U.S. 473, 478

(2000), as to whether Johnson extends to the residual clause under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c),

Petitioner's convictions for a Hobbs Act robbery and armed carjacking qualify as crimes

of violence under § 924(c)'s force clause. See In re Fleur, 824 F.3d 1337, 1341 (11th Cir.

2016); In re Smith 829 F.3d 1276 (11th Cir. 2016).

ACCORDINGLY, for the reasons expressed, Petitioner's Application for

Certificate of Appealability is denied.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, on February 3, 2017.

SUSAN C. BUCKLEW

United States District Judge

Copies to: Counsel of Record

-2-