UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JOZETTE THOMAS,

1.1	lam	ΙU	ш	L

v. Case No: 8:16-cv-3404-T-35JSS SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE INC.,

Def	endant.		

ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Compel Third Party Deposition ("Motion") (Dkt. 21), which Plaintiff does not oppose (Dkt. 22). For the reasons that follow, the Motion is granted in part and denied in part.

Previously, Plaintiff moved to quash a subpoena *duces tecum* served on her therapist, Debra Lubronovich of the Crisis Center of Tampa Bay ("Crisis Center"). (Dkt. 16.) The undersigned denied Plaintiff's request but modified the scope of the subpoena to documents relating to treatment of Plaintiff's depression from 2011 to the present. (Dkt. 18.) In the Motion, Defendant explains that while the Crisis Center has provided Defendant with Plaintiff's medical records, "[m]any of the records are illegible and present further questions regarding their contents." (Dkt. 21 ¶¶ 2–3.) As a result, Defendant issued a Subpoena to Testify at Deposition to the corporate representative of the Crisis Center, with the deposition to take place on December 20, 2017. (Dkt. 21-1.) A representative of the Crisis Center, however, informed Defendant that it refused to appear for the deposition absent a court order. (Dkt. 21 ¶ 5.) Therefore, in the Motion, Defendant seeks an order compelling the appearance of the corporate representative at the scheduled deposition. (Dkt. 21 at 2.) Plaintiff does not oppose the Motion. (Dkt. 22.)

"A party may, by oral questions, depose any person, including a party, without leave of

court," except in certain situations not applicable here. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(1). As explained

above, the Court has already determined that information relating to the treatment of Plaintiff's

depression from 2011 to the present is relevant to Plaintiff's claims and that Plaintiff has waived

her privilege in any confidential communications regarding her depression that she had with her

treatment providers. (Dkt. 18.) Further, Plaintiff does not oppose the deposition of the Crisis

Center's corporate representative. (Dkt. 22.) Because Defendant is entitled to depose any person,

Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(1), and the testimony sought is relevant to Plaintiff's claims and not protected

by the psychotherapist-patient privilege, Defendant's request to compel the attendance of the Crisis

Center's corporate representative at the scheduled deposition is granted. However, the first topic

to be addressed at the deposition (Dkt. 21-1, Attachment "A," Topic 1), is limited to Plaintiff's

treatment at the Crisis Center for depression from 2011 to the present.

Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Compel Third Party Deposition (Dkt. 21) is

GRANTED in part and **DENIED** in part as provided herein. The Clerk is directed to mail a copy

of this Order to the Crisis Center of Tampa Bay at the following address: 1 Crisis Center Plaza,

Tampa, Florida 33613.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Tampa, Florida, on December 12, 2017.

JULIE S. SNEED

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

Crisis Center of Tampa Bay

1 Crisis Center Plaza

Tampa, Florida 33613

- 2 -