

United States District Court
Middle District of Florida
Tampa Division

WILLIAM MOORE,

Plaintiff,

v.

NO. 8:23-cv-2850-PDB

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

Order

The Court reversed the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying William Moore's application for benefits and, under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), remanded for further proceedings. Docs. 23, 24. He now requests, under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412, an award of \$6,164.42 as an attorney's fee. Doc. 26. The Commissioner has no opposition. *Id.* at 2.

In ruling on an EAJA request, a court must decide if the requesting party is eligible and the requested attorney's fee is reasonable. *Comm'r, I.N.S. v. Jean*, 496 U.S. 154, 160–61 (1990). A party is eligible if (1) he prevailed in a case against the United States, (2) he timely requested a fee, (3) his net worth did not exceed \$2 million when he filed the case, (4) the United States' position was not substantially justified, and (5) no special circumstance would make the award unjust. *Id.* at 158; 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1), (2).

The first three conditions are satisfied here. *See* Docs. 23, 26. As to the fourth condition, the Commissioner has not tried to satisfy his burden of

showing his position was substantially justified. As to the fifth condition, no equitable consideration is apparent or presented that would make an EAJA award unjust.

For the attorney's fee, the applicant must show the requested rates and claimed hours are reasonable. *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 897 (1984); *accord Norman v. Hous. Auth. of Montgomery*, 836 F.2d 1292, 1299, 1303 (11th Cir. 1988). A fee award under the EAJA "shall be based upon prevailing market rates for the kind and quality of the services furnished," but it must not exceed \$125 an hour "unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living [since 1996, the date of the last amendment to the amount,] or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A).

"The EAJA ... establishes a two-step analysis for determining the appropriate hourly rate to be applied in calculating attorney's fees under the Act." *Meyer v. Sullivan*, 958 F.2d 1029, 1033 (11th Cir. 1992). "The first step ... is to determine the market rate for similar services provided by lawyers of reasonably comparable skills, experience, and reputation." *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). "The second step, which is needed only if the market rate is greater than [\$125] per hour, is to determine whether the court should adjust the hourly fee upward from [\$125] to take into account an increase in the cost of living [since 1996], or a special factor." *Id.* at 1033–34.


Here, the fee requested is based on 25.2 hours of work in 2023 and 2024 by Moore's lawyer, Jeanette A. Kelley. Doc. 26-1. Moore requests an hourly rate of \$244.62 for Kelley. Doc. 26 at 2. The hours multiplied by the rate equals \$6,164.42. *Id.*

The requested rate and claimed hours are reasonable. Based on the Court's own knowledge, the rate is within the prevailing market rates for services provided by lawyers of reasonably comparable skills, experience, and reputation as Kelley. The increased cost of living from 1996 to when Kelley worked on the case justifies an upward adjustment from \$125. *See* U.S. Dep't of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, <https://data.bls.gov/timeseries/CUUR0000SA0> (last visited Aug. 28, 2024). None of the work appears clerical, secretarial, unnecessary, or otherwise excludable. *See* Doc. 26-1.

The Court leaves to the Commissioner's discretion whether to accept Moore's assignment of the EAJA fee, *see* Doc. 26 at 3; Doc. 26-2, after determining whether Moore owes a federal debt.

Because Moore is eligible and the requested attorney's fee is reasonable, the Court **grants** the motion, Doc. 26; **awards** Moore \$6,164.42 as an attorney's fee; and **directs** the clerk to enter judgment in favor of William Moore and against the Commissioner of Social Security for \$6,164.42 as an attorney's fee.

Ordered in Jacksonville, Florida, on August 29, 2024.



PATRICIA D. BARKSDALE
United States Magistrate Judge