Page 1 of 3

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA GAINESVILLE DIVISION

ANTHONY HAWKINS,	
Petitioner, v.	CASE NO. 1:07-cv-213-MMP-AK
WALTER MCNEIL,	
Respondent.	

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court following adoption of the Report and Recommendation.

Doc. 47. There are several outstanding matters which requires attention before directing a response. First, Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to file a second amended petition. Doc.

34. In the interest of moving this matter along, the Court will allow the proposed petition attached to the motion to be filed. In doing so, however, the Court expresses no opinion on whether the additional claims are timely or exhausted or have merit.

As to the second motion for discovery, Doc. 35, it is not well taken. After Respondent files his response and supplies Petitioner and the Court with the necessary documents, Petitioner may reevaluate whether he still requires the requested materials to formulate a reply and file another discovery motion if necessary and appropriate.

With that said, the Court has reviewed the second amended petition, and Respondent will now be required to file an answer addressing the allegations in the petition. § 2254 Rule 5(b).

"In addition, [the answer] must state whether any claim in the petition is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies, a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, or a statute of limitations." *Id.*Respondent may respond to the merits without waiving the exhaustion requirement. *See* § 2254(b)(2) and § 2254(b)(3). Respondent shall include references to the record (included with the answer pursuant to § 2254 Rule 5(d)) and citations to relevant legal authority.

The response shall be styled as an answer unless a motion to dismiss the entire petition is appropriate. If a motion to dismiss is filed, Respondent need not also file an answer unless directed by the Court. If an answer is filed raising a procedural defense to any ground for relief, Respondent may await a ruling before responding on the merits to that particular ground.

Petitioner may file a reply to any of Respondent's arguments within the time set by this order, but is not required to do so. § 2254 Rule 5(e).

The Court will review the record after the answer and Petitioner's reply (if any) are filed. If it does not appear that a hearing or additional argument is warranted, then the undersigned will enter a report and recommendation for disposition of the § 2254 petition. If an evidentiary hearing is warranted and permitted, counsel will be appointed. § 2254 Rule 8.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

That the motion for leave to file a second amended petition, Doc. 34, is **GRANTED**;

That the Clerk shall file the second amended petition and the memorandum attached to

Case No: 1:07-cv-213-MP-AK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 2254(e)(2) generally bars the court from granting an evidentiary hearing if the Petitioner failed to properly develop the facts in support of his claim in state court. Schriro v. Landrigan, 127 S.Ct. 1933, 1940, n. 1, 167 L.Ed.2d 836 (U.S. 2007) (citing § 2254(e)(2)). Even where not barred by the statute, the Court has the discretion to deny a hearing if the record refutes the allegations or otherwise precludes relief. 127 S.Ct. at 1940, 1943-44 (citations omitted).

Page 3 of 3

the motion to amend;

That the second motion for discovery, Doc. 35, is **DENIED**;

That the Clerk shall serve a copy of the second amended petition, along with this order, to Respondent and the Attorney General of the State of Florida via certified mail, return receipt requested;

That Respondent shall file an answer or other pleading on or before **April 13, 2009.** If voluminous exhibits or records (such as transcripts) are submitted in support of the answer, **paper copies shall be provided to the court**;

That Petitioner shall have until May 13, 2009, to file a reply, if desired.

**DONE AND ORDERED** this <u>10<sup>th</sup></u> day of February, 2009.

<u>s/ A. KORNBLUM</u> ALLAN KORNBLUM UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case No: 1:07-cv-213-MP-AK