Page 1 of 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA GAINESVILLE DIVISION

DONNITA BRYANT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. 1:08CV173-MP/AK

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Defendant.

<u>O R D E R</u>

Presently before the Court is Defendant's Second Motion for Enlargement of

Time. (Doc. 19). Although the Court anticipates that Plaintiff will oppose the extension

of time, the Court finds that the fifteen additional days should be **GRANTED**.

Plaintiff's pro se memorandum is not in a format that is helpful to the Court and to

assist the Court in determining the relevant issues in this case the Defendant's

memorandum will be necessary. Additional time is justified since Plaintiff's

memorandum contains no clear issues or citation to the transcript or the law.

DONE AND ORDERED this <u>8th</u> day of January, 2009.

<u>s/ A. KORNBLUM</u> ALLAN KORNBLUM UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE