

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE DIVISION**

JONATHAN LEE RICHES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. 1:09CV33-MP/AK

ARTHUR NADEL, et al,

Defendants.

_____ /

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff is a prisoner presently incarcerated at Williamsburg Federal Correctional Institution in Salters, S.C., who for reasons not apparent to the undersigned, has become a chronic filer in this Court. He has had at least three lawsuits dismissed as frivolous and malicious or for failure to state a claim: Nos. 1:07CV150 (against Onstar Corporation, et al), 1:08cv224 (against 700 Billion Bailout), 3:08cv210, 4:07cv408, and 4:08cv476 (against Sarah Palin). He did not submit either the filing fee or a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* with the present “complaint.”

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA), provides that a prisoner may not bring a civil action *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915:

. . . if the prisoner has, on 3 or more occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

The Clerk of Court opened the present lawsuit upon the filing of a paper entitled "Preliminary Injunction" brought against numerous individuals which discusses his involvement in the Bernie Madoff ponzi scheme among other nonsense such as having an affair in a utility closet with Connie Cheung. Although the "claims" are difficult to discern, it is clear that the filing does not bring him within the "imminent danger" exception.

Because Plaintiff has had at least three prior dismissals and is not under imminent danger of serious physical injury, he is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Furthermore, because Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee at the time he submitted this civil rights action, this case must be dismissed. The Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals has clarified that the proper procedure in such a situation is not to give the inmate time in which to pay the fee, rather dismissal is required if a "three striker" does not pay the filing fee at the time he submits the complaint. Dupree v. Palmer, 284 F.3d 1234 (11th Cir. 2002). Thus, this case must be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully **RECOMMENDED** that this case be **DISMISSED** under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

IN CHAMBERS at Gainesville, Florida, this 10th day of April, 2009.

s/ A Kornblum
ALLAN KORNBLUM
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

No. 1:09CV33-MP/AK

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within 15 days after being served with a copy of this report and recommendation. A party may respond to another party's objections within 10 days after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to file specific objections limits the scope of review of proposed factual findings and recommendations.