Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION

SIDNEY MARTS,
Plaintiff,

vs. Case No.: 3:08cv354/RV/EMT

FRANK BELL, et al.,
Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's motion for voluntary dismissal of the instant civil rights case, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, without prejudice (Doc. 9).

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) provides that an action may be dismissed without an order of the court by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before the adverse party serves an answer or files a motion for summary judgment. Because Defendants have not yet been served in the instant case, it is clear that Plaintiff is automatically entitled to a voluntary dismissal at this time.

Accordingly, it is respectfully **RECOMMENDED**:

That Plaintiff's notice of voluntary dismissal (Doc. 9) be **GRANTED** and this case be **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

At Pensacola, Florida, this 20th day of October 2008.

/s/ Elizabeth M. Timothy

ELIZABETH M. TIMOTHY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

Objections to these proposed findings and recommendations may be filed within ten (10) days after being served a copy thereof. Any different deadline that may appear on the electronic docket is for the court's internal use only. A copy of objections shall be served upon the magistrate judge and all other parties. Failure to object may limit the scope of appellate review of factual findings. See 28 U.S.C. § 636; United States v. Roberts, 858 F.2d 698, 701 (11th Cir. 1988).

Case No.: 3:08cv354/RV/EMT