Page 1 of 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION

WILLIAM T. TANKERSLEY,	
Petitioner,	
vs.	CASE NO.: 3:09cv22/MCR/MD
SCOTT P. FISHER,	
Respondent.	

This cause comes on for consideration upon the magistrate judge's report and recommendation dated July 20, 2009. The petitioner previously has been furnished a copy of the report and recommendation and has been afforded an opportunity to file objections pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b)(1), and I have made a <u>de novo</u> determination of those portions to which an objection has been made.

ORDER

Having considered the report and recommendation and all objections thereto timely filed by the parties, I have determined that the report and recommendation should be adopted.

Accordingly, it is now ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted and incorporated by reference in this order.
- 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (doc. 1) is DISMISSED without prejudice for petitioner's failure to exhaust his administrative remedies.

3. The clerk is directed to close the file.

DONE AND ORDERED this 17th day of August, 2009.

sl M. Casey Rodgers
M. CASEY RODGERS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE** 

Case No.: 3:09cv22/MCR/MD