BRAGG et al v. MOORE et al Doc. 7

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION

TIMOTHY A. BRAGG and VIOLETA C. BRAGG, Individually and as Parents and Natural Guardians of S.E.B., a Minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 3:09cv383/LAC

TERRALL NEAL MOORE, DO; RANDALL EUGENE SCOTT, P.A.; and PYHAMERICA GOVERNMENT SERVICES, INC.,

Defenda	ints.	
		/

## **ORDER OF CONSOLIDATION**

Upon a review of the files in this case and in Case No. 3:09cv382/MCR, and of Defendants' Motion to Consolidate, the Court has determined that these cases present common questions of fact or law. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), district courts possess the managerial authority to consolidate cases as a matter of judicial expedience and to eliminate duplication of effort. *See Young v. City of Augusta, Ga. Through DeVaney*, 59 F.3d 1160, 1169 (11th Cir. 1995). Plaintiffs oppose full consolidation on grounds of potential prejudice because their claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act must be tried before the Court while the rest of their claims may be tried before a jury. This matter may be later addressed, however, through the bifurcation of trials if this is deemed appropriate.

Accordingly, the Court Orders:

1. Defendants' Motion to Consolidate (doc. 5) is **GRANTED**.

2. Pursuant to Rule 42(a), Fed. R. Civ. P., this case is hereby transferred to the

Honorable M. Casey Rodgers, with her consent, and is consolidated with Case No.

3:09cv382/MCR for all further proceedings.

**ORDERED** on this 6th day of January, 2010.

s/L.A. Collier

Lacey A. Collier Senior United States District Judge