MITCHELL v. WEST et al Doc. 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION

BRANDYN MITCHELL, Plaintiff,

VS.		Case No.: 3:24cv210/LAC/ZCB
J. WEST, et al., Defendants.		
	/	

ORDER

The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation on October 31, 2024. (Doc. 18). The Court furnished the plaintiff a copy of the Report and Recommendation and afforded an opportunity to file objections pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b)(1). I have made a *de novo* determination of the timely filed objections.

Having considered the Report and Recommendation, and the objections thereto, I have determined the Report and Recommendation should be adopted.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

1. The magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 18) is adopted and incorporated by reference in this order.

2. Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against Defendant Neal are

DISMISSED for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

3. Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against Defendants Miller and

West based on verbal threats or harassment are DISMISSED for failure to state a

claim upon which relief may be granted under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

4. Plaintiff's retaliation claims against all Defendants are **DISMISSED**

for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

5. This matter is recommitted to the assigned magistrate judge for further

proceedings on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims of excessive force against

Defendants Miller and West.

DONE AND ORDERED this 25th day of November, 2024.

s/L.A. Collier

LACEY A. COLLIER

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2