## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

FELIX ANTEQUERA RIVERA,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	Case No. 4:10cv531-RH/WCS
ANDREW PREZENKOP,	
Defendant.	
/	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, submitted a civil rights complaint, doc. 1, and a motion seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, doc. 2, on November 29, 2010.

Plaintiff is incarcerated at the Polk County Jail, where the Defendant is employed, and where the events in this case occurred.

Because Polk County, Florida is located in the Middle District of Florida, as is the Defendant, the proper forum for this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 89(b) is in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division.

A federal district court has the authority under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) to transfer a case to another district or division "in which it could have been brought." A court may

raise the issue of defective venue *sua sponte*, but should not dismiss an improperly filed case for lack of venue without giving the parties an opportunity to respond. <u>Lipofsky v. New York State Workers Comp. Bd.</u>, 861 F.2d 1257, 1259 (11th Cir. 1988). The <u>Lipofsky</u> court did not place the same limitations on the court's ability to transfer a case to the appropriate forum pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). *See <u>Lipofsky</u>*, 861 F.2d at 1259, n.2. It is recommended that the case be transferred rather than dismissed. There is no need for a hearing on this transfer. *Cf. Costlow v. Weeks*, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986) *with Starnes v. McGuire*, 512 F.2d 918, 934 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

In light of the foregoing, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a) and 1406(a), the undersigned respectfully **RECOMMENDS** transfer of this action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, for all further proceedings, including ruling on the *in forma pauperis* motion.

**IN CHAMBERS** at Tallahassee, Florida, on December 1, 2010.

s/ William C. Sherrill, Jr.
WILLIAM C. SHERRILL, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within 14 days after being served with a copy of this report and recommendation. A party may respond to another party's objections within 14 days after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to file specific objections limits the scope of review of proposed factual findings and recommendations.