Page 1 of 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

THEODORE CHAIRES,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	CASE NO. 4:11cv140-RH/WCS
STATE OF FLORIDA, and OFFICER A. FURNEY,	
Defendants.	

## **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

This case is before the court on the magistrate judge's second report and recommendation, ECF No. 15, and the objections, ECF No. 16. I have reviewed *de novo* the issues raised by the objections.

The objections assert, in effect, that *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), was wrongly decided, or that applying its doctrine in this case would be unjust. But *Heck* is the law of the land and squarely applies here. The plaintiff may challenge his underlying convictions only in an appropriate manner—by a petition for writ of habeas corpus or analogous motion—not in a federal civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The second report and recommendation is ACCEPTED and adopted as the court's opinion.
- 2. The clerk must enter judgment stating, "The complaint is dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)."
- 3. The amend motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF No. 12, is DENIED AS MOOT.
- 4. The first report and recommendation, ECF No. 10, is VACATED AS MOOT.
  - 5. The clerk must close the file.

SO ORDERED on August 10, 2011.

s/Robert L. Hinkle
United States District Judge