GIBSON v. DOE Doc. 36

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

DERRICK L. GIBSON, SR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 4:13-cv-117-MW/GRJ

JOHN DOE and MICHAEL D. CREWS,

Defendants.

ORDER CONSIDERING OBJECTIONS DE NOVO, TREATING THEM AS A MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND THE JUDGMENT, AND DENYING THE MOTION

The Magistrate Judge entered a report and recommendation concluding that this civil-rights case should be dismissed. ECF No. 30. This Court entered its Order Accepting and Adopting Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 33, on April 23, 2014, directing the Clerk to enter judgment. Judgment was entered by the Clerk on April 23, 2014. ECF No. 34.

Plaintiff has now moved to file an objections out of time. ECF No. 35. This Court has reconsidered *de novo* the issues raised by the objections, just as would have been done had the objections been received prior to any ruling on the report

and recommendation, and still concludes that the report and recommendation is

correct.

In addition, this Court treats the objections as a motion to alter or amend the

judgment, thus making clear that the time for the plaintiff to file a notice of appeal

runs from the entry of this order denying the motion—not from the earlier date of

the judgment itself.

For these reasons,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The plaintiff's objections, ECF No. 35, are deemed timely. Upon de

novo review of the issues raised by the objections, the report and recommendation

is again accepted and adopted as the court's opinion.

2. In addition to being treated as objections, the plaintiff's objections are

also deemed a motion to alter or amend the judgment. The motion to alter or

amend is **denied**.

SO ORDERED on May 8, 2014.

s/Mark E. Walker

United States District Judge

2