### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

### **BRYAN WHITNEY,**

### Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 4:13-cv-129-MW/CAS

N. C. ENGLISH,

**Respondent.** 

# ORDER CONFIRMING THIS COURT IS NOT DIVESTED OF JURISDICTION

This Court has considered, without hearing, Petitioner's "Appeal of Magistrate's Decision." ECF No. 16.

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, filed a petition for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. ECF No. 1. Petitioner subsequently filed an amended petition, ECF No. 3, to which Respondent has filed a response. ECF No. 10. Thereafter, Petitioner moved for "summary judgment" arguing that Respondent failed to file a responsive pleading. ECF No. 12. By order dated April 1, 2014, the Magistrate denied the motion concluding that a judgment by default is not appropriate in a habeas corpus proceeding. ECF No. 15. Now, Petitioner appeals the denial of his motion seeking a default judgment. ECF No. 16.

"Appellate courts are without jurisdiction to hear appeals directly from federal magistrates." *United States v. Renfro*, 620 F.2d 497, 500 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980). Moreover, "a notice of appeal filed with respect to a non-appealable order does not have any effect on the district court's jurisdiction." *United States v. Riolo*, 398 F. App'x 568, 571 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010).

For these reasons,

#### IT IS ORDERED:

This Court is not divested of jurisdiction and shall consider the magistrate's report and recommendation upon its submission once petitioner has been afforded an opportunity to object to same.

## SO ORDERED on April 14, 2014.

<u>s/Mark E. Walker</u> United States District Judge