ROGERS v. CREWS Doc. 21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

MICHAEL A. ROGERS,

Petitioner,

v. Case No. 4:14cv62-MW/GRJ

SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Respondent.	
	/

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This Court has considered, without hearing, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 19, and has also reviewed *de novo* Petitioner's objections to the report and recommendation, ECF No.20. Accordingly

IT IS ORDERED:

The report and recommendation is **accepted and adopted**, over Petitioner's objections, as this Court's opinion.¹ The Clerk shall enter judgment stating, "Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Habeas Corpus as Untimely, ECF No. 11, is **GRANTED**. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, ECF No. 1, is

¹ In so ruling, this Court notes that there are a couple of scrivener's errors. Of note, the report states that "[o]n May 12, 2008, the First DCA issued its mandate." The mandate actually issued on May 12, 2010, not May 12, 2008. ECF No. 11-2 at 155.

DISMISSED as time-barred. A certificate of appealabily is **DENIED**." The Clerk shall close the file.

SO ORDERED on May 26, 2015.

s/Mark E. Walker
United States District Judge