## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

RANDALL LAMONT ROLLE,

Plaintiff,

v. CASE NO. 4:14cv672-RH/GRJ

KATHLEEN KIRWIN et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

This case is before the court on the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, ECF No. 11, and the objections, ECF No. 12. I have reviewed *de novo* the issues raised by the objections.

The recommendation is that the case be dismissed. A plaintiff may be entitled to notice and an opportunity to be heard prior to a dismissal on the court's own motion in circumstances like these. *See*, *e.g.*, *Am. United Life Ins. Co. v. Martinez*, 480 F.3d 1043, 1069 (11th Cir. 2007); *Danow v. Borack*, 197 F. App'x 853, 856, 2006 WL 2671928, at \*3 (11th Cir. 2006) (unpublished); *see also Jefferson Fourteenth Associates v. Wometco de Puerto Rico, Inc.*, 695 F.2d 524

Page 2 of 2

(11th Cir. 1983). Here the report and recommendation gave the plaintiff adequate

notice, and he had an opportunity to respond—and did respond—by filing

objections.

Upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED:

The report and recommendation is ACCEPTED and adopted as the court's

opinion. The clerk must enter judgment stating, "The complaint is dismissed under

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)." The clerk must close the file.

SO ORDERED on May 16, 2015.

s/Robert L. Hinkle

United States District Judge