Page 1 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PANAMA CITY DIVISION

TONY WATSON, Plaintiff,

v. 5:09cv38/RS/MD

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the court is plaintiff's motion for attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA)(doc. 31). The plaintiff seeks an award of fees in the amount of \$4,739.74 and filing fee costs of \$48.39. Defendant has responded to the motion and does not contest the amounts requested (doc. 32).

The Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, allows for the award of attorney fees and other expenses against the government provided: 1) the party seeking such fees is the "prevailing party" in a civil action brought by or against the United States, 2) an application for such fees, including an itemized justification for the amount requested, is timely filed within 30 days of the final judgment in the action, 3) the position of the government is not substantially justified, and 4) no special circumstances make an award unjust. The absence of any one of the above factors precludes an award of fees. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(I)(A), (B).

In Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 113 S.Ct. 2625, 124 L.Ed.2d 239 (1993) the Supreme Court held that a social security plaintiff who obtained a remand reversing the Commissioner's decision under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) was the "prevailing party," and as such was entitled to attorney fees and expenses under the

Page 2 of 3

EAJA. Here, the court reversed the decision of the Commissioner under sentence

four. The application was filed timely, and the Commissioner's position was not

substantially justified. Defendant does not disagree that fees and costs should be

paid in this case (doc. 32). Accordingly, plaintiff is clearly entitled to fees and costs.

With regard to the question of the reasonableness of the fee sought, 28 U.S.C.

§ 2412(d)(2)(A) states as follows:

... (The amount of fees awarded under this subsection shall be based

upon prevailing market rates for the kind and quality of the services furnished, except that . . . (ii) attorney fees shall not be awarded in excess of \$125.00 per hour upless the court determines that an

excess of \$125.00 per hour unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited

availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies

a higher fee.)

The plaintiff's attorney seeks compensation for \$4,739.74, which represents

27.7 hours of attorney time at \$171.11 per hour spent representing plaintiff in court-

related proceedings. In addition, plaintiff requests reimbursement of \$48.39 in costs.

Defendant has represented to the court that he does not contest attorney's fees in

the amount of \$4,739.74 or costs of \$48.39.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED:

1. That plaintiff's motion for attorney fees (doc. 31) be granted as set forth

below.

2. That plaintiff's counsel, Stuart Barasch, Esquire, is entitled to recover

reasonable fees for representing plaintiff before the United States District Court for

the Northern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (EAJA); attorney fees of

\$4,739.74 under the EAJA is reasonable; and the Commissioner be directed to pay

counsel that amount. Costs in the amount of \$48.39 should also be awarded, to be

paid from the Judgment Fund.

At Pensacola, Florida this 3rd day of February, 2010.

151 Miles Davis

MILES DAVIS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case No: 5:09cv38/RS/MD

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

Any objections to these proposed findings and recommendations must be filed within fourteen days after being served a copy hereof. Any different deadline that may appear on the electronic docket is for the court's internal use only, and does not control. A copy of any objections shall be served upon any other parties. Failure to object may limit the scope of appellate review of factual findings. See 28 U.S.C. § 636; *United States v. Roberts*, 858 F.2d 698, 701 (11th Cir. 1988).

Case No: 5:09cv38/RS/MD