Watkins v. Korenic Doc. 4

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 14-60941-CIV-COHN

ERIC WATKINS,	
Plaintiff,	
V.	
D. KORENIC, Broward Sheriff Officer,	
Defendant.	

## FINAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Plaintiff's *pro se* Complaint [DE 1] and Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs [DE 3] ("Application"). The Court has carefully reviewed the Complaint and Application and is otherwise fully advised in the premises.

On January 30, 2014, Plaintiff filed an earlier *pro* se action against Defendant, purporting to assert a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Watkins v. Korenic, Case No. 14-60257-CIV-COHN, DE 1 (S.D. Fla. filed Jan. 30, 2014) ("First Complaint"). In that case, Plaintiff alleged that Defendant had violated Plaintiff's First Amendment rights by threatening to arrest him for trespass if he continued to sing an anti-gay song on private property. See id. at 2-3. Conducting a screening of the First Complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), this Court found that Plaintiff had failed to state a claim for violation of § 1983:

Plaintiff alleges that he was on private property at the time that the Defendant made the alleged threat to have him arrested. Compl. at 2. It is well-recognized that the First Amendment generally does not provide protection for activity undertaken on private property. Geibels v. City of Cape

Coral, 861 F. Supp. 1049, 1052 (M.D. Fla. 1994) (citations omitted); see also Laterza v. Lowe's Home Centers, Inc., No. 10-81586-CIV, 2011 WL 855304, at \*3 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 9, 2011). Thus, Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Case No. 14-60257, DE 6 at 2-3 (Order Dismissing Action). The Court therefore dismissed the First Complaint without prejudice, closed the case, and later denied reconsideration. See id. at 3; DE 8 (Order Den. Pl.'s Mot. for Recons.).

On April 22, 2014, Plaintiff filed his present Complaint ("Second Complaint").¹

The Second Complaint alleges substantially the same facts as the First Complaint. But instead of relying expressly on the First Amendment, the Second Complaint claims that Defendant violated Plaintiff's "constitutional right to access and use private property open to the general public." DE 1 at 4. Plaintiff, however, fails to explain how Defendant violated this right other than by deterring Plaintiff from singing an anti-gay song on private property. Indeed, Plaintiff's central theory—that Defendant's threat to arrest him infringed his free-speech rights—remains unchanged. See id. (asserting that because of Defendant's conduct, "Plaintiff felt threatened and was intimidated and stopped singing the song on the private property"). As discussed in the Court's Order dismissing the First Complaint, these facts do not state a valid § 1983 claim. Plaintiff's Second Complaint therefore must be dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Although courts "should freely give leave [to amend a pleading] when justice so requires," Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), dismissal with prejudice is appropriate "if a more carefully drafted complaint could not state a claim." Ziemba v. Cascade Int'l, Inc., 256

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The same day, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal [DE 9] of the Order denying reconsideration in Case No. 14-60257.

F.3d 1194, 1213 (11th Cir. 2001) (internal quotation marks omitted). Here, as the Court has now twice held, Plaintiff's free-speech claim is legally groundless. And no amount of re-drafting can cure that basic problem. Thus, the Court will dismiss the Second Complaint with prejudice.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** as follows:

- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), the above-styled action is **DISMISSED** WITH PREJUDICE;
- Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs [DE 3] is **DENIED**; and
- The Clerk of Court shall CLOSE this case and DENY AS MOOT all other pending motions.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Chambers at Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida, this 24th day of April, 2014.

JAMES I. COHN
United States District Judge

Copies provided to:

Eric Watkins, *pro se* 1 NW 33rd Terrace Lauderhill, FL 33311