Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 06-21748-CIV-MARTINEZ-BANDSTRA

MARK J. GAINOR and ELYSE GAINOR,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

SIDLEY, AUSTIN LLP, a Delaware limited liability Partnership, f/k/a SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD, f/k/a BROWN & WOOD, R.J. RUBLE, an individual, ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP, an Illinois limited liability partnership, MICHAEL S. MARX, an individual, P. ANTHONY NISSLEY, an individual, MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC., a Delaware corporation, and MARK C. KLOPFENSTEIN, an individual,

Defendants.		
	1	
	_	

UNOPPOSED MOTION OF DEFENDANT SIDLEY AUSTIN L.L.P. FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO ANSWER AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant Sidley Austin LLP ("Sidley") moves the Court for an enlargement of time through and including May 11, 2007, to answer Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs do not oppose this Motion.

Plaintiff Mark Gainor filed a motion for leave to amend his original complaint on March 2, 2007. This Court granted the motion to amend on March 7 and Plaintiffs' amended complaint ("AC") was deemed filed as of the date the Court's Order appeared on the docket. The AC added a number of new parties and made new allegations. On March 15, 2007, Plaintiffs and Sidley moved the Court to vacate the previous Order Setting Civil Trial Date, based on the addition of new parties and new allegations. In addition, Sidley requested that its time to answer the AC be extended to April 16, 2007. During preparation of the joint motion to vacate, Counsel for Sidley discussed with Plaintiffs' counsel the possibility that Sidley might require time beyond

CASE NO. 06-21748-CIV-MARTINEZ

April 16th to prepare its answer to the AC; Plaintiffs' counsel indicated he would not oppose a request for further extension, if Sidley found it needed more time.

On March 22, 2007, the Court granted the motion to vacate, and extended Sidley's time to respond to the AC to April 16, 2007.

Sidley is still evaluating the new claims in the AC. In addition, Sidley and Plaintiffs have scheduled a mediation for May 4, 2007, which is requiring considerable preparation by Sidley.

Sidley's counsel discussed this motion with Plaintiffs' counsel on April 12, 2007; Plaintiffs' counsel does not oppose this motion. Therefore, Sidley respectfully requests that the Court extend Sidley's time to answer Plaintiffs' Complaint until May 11, 2007.

DATED: April 13, 2007

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 S. Grand Ave., 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 683-9100 / Fax (213)687-3702

By:

Jonathan E. Altman Admitted Pro Hac Vice Jonathan.Altman@mto.com

Attorneys for Defendant Sidley Austin LLP

DATED: April ____, 2007

PODHURST ORSECK, P.A. City National Bank Building 25 West Flagler Street, Suite 800 Miami, FL 33130 (305) 358-2800 / Fax (305) 358-2382

Katherine W. Ezell

Florida Bar No. 114771 kezell@podhurst.com

Attorneys for Sidley Austin LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy was sent via U.S. First Class Mail on this 13th day of April, 2007, on Richard Benjamin Wilkes, Esq. and Richard W. Candelora, Esq., Richard Benjamin Wilkes, P.A., 600 South Magnolia Avenue, Suite 200, Tampa, Florida 33606.

Respectfully submitted,

PODHURST ORSECK, P.A. 25 West Flagler Street, Suite 800 Tel. (305) 358-2800 / Fax (305) 358-238 kezell@podhurst.com

Fla. Bar No. 114771