# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

#### CASE NO. 06-21748-CIV-MARTINEZ/BANDSTRA

MARK J. GAINOR and ELYSE GAINOR,

Plaintiffs,

V.

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership, f/k/a SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD, f/k/a BROWN & WOOD, R.J. RUBLE, an individual, ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP an Illinois limited liability partnership, MICHAEL S. MARX, an individual, P. ANTHONY NISSLEY, an individual, MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC., a Delaware corporation, and MARK C. KLOPFENSTEIN, an individual,

Defendants.		

# DEFENDANT MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC.'S THIRD UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("Merrill Lynch") moves the Court, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), for an enlargement of time through and including May 29, 2007, to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs do not oppose this Motion. As grounds for this Motion, Merrill Lynch states:

1. On March 23, 2007, Plaintiffs first served their Amended Complaint upon Merrill Lynch.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Merrill Lynch was not named as a defendant in the original complaint. The Amended Complaint added Merrill Lynch as a party to the instant matter.

- 2. FED. R. CIV. P. 12 states that a defendant shall serve a response within 20 days after being served with the summons and complaint. Merrill Lynch's response to the Amended Complaint was therefore due on April 12, 2007.
- 3. On April 12, 2007, Merrill Lynch moved for an enlargement of time to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. This Court granted the enlargement of time on April 16, 2007.
- 4. Due to the nature of the claims made in the Amended Complaint and the lengthy process involved in reviewing the allegations and the relevant documents and information and formulating its response, Merrill Lynch moved for a second enlargement of time on May 11, 2007. This Court granted the enlargement of time on May 15, 2007.
- 5. Since seeking the last extension, Merrill Lynch's counsel has been and continues to be in trial in a separate matter.
- 6. Merrill Lynch submits that under these circumstances, the requested enlargement of time will not delay the case, nor prejudice Plaintiffs.
- 7. Counsel for Merrill Lynch has conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel. Plaintiffs' counsel has graciously agreed to the enlargement of time, through and including May 29, 2007, for Merrill Lynch to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint.
- 8. For these reasons, and based on the analysis set forth below, Merrill Lynch respectfully asks the Court to grant the requested enlargement of time.

#### **Memorandum of Law**

This Court has "wide discretion" under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) to grant the requested extension of time. See, e.g., U.S. v. Real Prop. Located at 414 Riverside Road, 1994

851836\_1 2

U.S. App. Lexis 920, n.4 (9th Cir. 1994); *Jackson v. Domino's Pizza Corp.*, 1991 U.S. Dist. Lexis 15932, \*6 (N.D. Cal. 1991) (holding that court has "broad discretion"). The Court enjoys such discretion because the time for serving a response to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint has not passed. As a result, this request for an enlargement of time is made on a timely basis. *See Lujan v. Nat'l Wildlife Fed'n*, 497 U.S. 871, 895, n.5 (1990); *Hertz Corp. v. Alamo Rent-A-Car, Inc.*, 16 F.3d 1126 (11th Cir. 1994); *Ritter v. Smith*, 811 F.2d 1398 (11th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 483 U.S. 1010 (1987). Courts have recognized that a timely requested extension, such as the one requested here, is "readily available." *Motsinger v. Flynt*, 119 F.R.D. 373, 378 (M.D. N.C. 1988); *accord Sherrod v. Piedmont Aviation, Inc.*, 516 F.Supp. 39, 41 (E.D. Tenn 1978) (noting that plaintiff could have "obtained readily an enlargement," had he made a timely request).

With this Motion, undersigned counsel has provided the Court with a proposed order granting the requested enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Merrill Lynch respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order granting this Second Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint through and including May 29, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Coren H. Stern

Bennett Falk

Florida Bar No. 208884

Coren H. Stern

Florida Bar No. 0644218

Bressler, Amery & Ross, P.C.

Huntington Centre II

2801 S.W. 149th Avenue, Suite 300

Miramar, FL 33027

Telephone: (954) 499-7979

Facsimile: (954) 499-7969

Attorneys for Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.

851836\_1

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served this 22nd day of May, 2007 to all parties on the attached service list via the Court's CM/ECF system or if such person(s) is not subscribed to the same, via U.S. Mail.

/s/Coren H.	Stern

851836\_1 4

#### **SERVICE LIST**

Richard Benjamin Wilkes, Esq. Richard W. Candelora, Esq. Richard Benjamin Wilkes, P.A. 600 South Magnolia venue Suite 200 Tampa, Florida 33606 rwilkes@rbwilkes.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Richard A. Morgan, Esq. Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney, P.C. Bank of America Tower, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor 100 S.E. Second Street Miami, Florida 33131 **Attorneys for Mark C. Klopfenstein** 

Stephen J. Anderson, Esq. Anderson Dailey LLP 2002 Summit Boulevard, Suite 1250 Atlanta, Georgia 30319 Attorneys for Mark C. Klopfenstein

Jonathan E. Altman, Esq.
Aaron M. May, Esq.
Gabriel P. Sanchez, Esq.
Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
jonathan.altman@mto.com
aaron.may@mto.com
gabriel.sanchez@mto.com

**Attorneys for Sidley Austin LLP** 

Katherine W. Ezell, Esq. Podhurst Orseck, P.A. 25 W. Flagler Street, Suite 800 Miami, Florida 33130 kezell@podhurst.com

Douglas E. Whitney, Esq.
McDermott Will & Emery
227 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606-5096
dwhitney@mwe.com
Attorneys for Arthur Anderson, LLP

Stuart E. Abrams, Esq. Frankel & Abrams 230 Park Avenue, Suite 3330 New York, New York 10169 sabrams@frankelabrams.com

P. Anthony Nissley 350 Melrose Avenue Kenilworth, Illinois 60643 R.J. Ruble 1517 Avalon Square Glen Cover, New York 11542 and R.J. Ruble 62 Duck Pond Road Glen Voce, New York 11542

Michael S. Marx 110 Townbridge Road Atlanta, Georgia 30350

851836\_1 5