Document 374 Entered on FLSD Docket 05/12/2008 Page 1 of 47

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO. 07-21221 CIV ALTONAGA/Brown

RENEE BLASZKOWSKI, et al., individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MARS, INCORPORATED, et al.,

Defendants.

# TARGET CORPORATION'S ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant Target Corporation ("Target") answers Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), and states:

#### INTRODUCTION

- Target admits that the Plaintiffs purport to bring this action as a class action 1. against Target, but denies that this case can be properly certified as a class action, and denies that any class-wide or other relief is appropriate against Target in this action. Target is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1.
- Target admits that Plaintiffs have brought this action for injunctive relief, 2. restitution, and damages, but denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any such relief. Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2.

Doc. 374

# **PARTIES Plaintiffs/Class Representatives**

- 3. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to allegations in Paragraph 3.
- 4. The allegations in Paragraph 4 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. The allegations in Paragraph 5 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 5.
- 6. The allegations in Paragraph 6 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 6.
- 7. The allegations in Paragraph 7 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to allegations in Paragraph 7.
- 8. The allegations in Paragraph 8 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 8.
- 9. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 9.
- 10. The allegations in Paragraph 10 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 10.

- 11. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 11.
- 12. The allegations in Paragraph 12 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 12.
- 13. The allegations in Paragraph 13 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 13.
- 14. The allegations in Paragraph 14 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 14.
- 15. The allegations in Paragraph 15 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 15.
- 16. The allegations in Paragraph 16 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 16.
- 17. The allegations in Paragraph 17 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 17.
- 18. The allegations in Paragraph 18 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 18.

- 19. The allegations in Paragraph 19 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 19.
- 20. The allegations in Paragraph 20 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 20.
- 21. The allegations in Paragraph 21 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 21.
- 22. The allegations in Paragraph 22 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 22.
- 23. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 23.
- 24. The allegations in Paragraph 24 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 24.
- 25. The allegations in Paragraph 25 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 25.
- 26. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 26.

- 27. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. The allegations in Paragraph 29 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. The allegations in Paragraph 30 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 30.
- 31. The allegations in Paragraph 31 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 31.
- 32. The allegations in Paragraph 32 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 32.

# DEFENDANTS Defendant Manufacturers

33. The allegations in Paragraph 33 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 33. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 33 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 34. The allegations in Paragraph 34 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 34. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 34 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 35. The allegations in Paragraph 35 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 35. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 35 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 36. The allegations in Paragraph 36 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 36. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 36 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 37. The allegations in Paragraph 37 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 37. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 37 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 38. The allegations in Paragraph 38 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 38. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph

38 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 39. The allegations in Paragraph 39 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 39. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 39 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 40. The allegations in Paragraph 40 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 40. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 40 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

#### **Defendant Co-Packers**

- 41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 41.
- 42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 42.
- 43. The allegations in Paragraph 43 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 43.

- 44. Target admits that one or more of the Menu Foods Defendants has manufactured pet food for Target and denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 44.
- 45. The allegations in Paragraph 45 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 45. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited in Paragraph 43 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 46. The allegations in Paragraph 46 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 46.

#### **Defendant Retailers**

- 47. Target admits that it was incorporated in Minnesota and that its principal place of business is located in Minnesota. Target admits that it operates stores in Florida, and that it markets and sells its own brands of pet food and treats and those of certain Defendant Manufacturers. Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 47.
- 48. The allegations in Paragraph 48 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 48.
- 49. The allegations in Paragraph 49 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 49.

- 50. The allegations in Paragraph 50 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 50.
- 51. The allegations in Paragraph 51 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 51.
- 52. The allegations in Paragraph 52 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 52.

# **Defendant Pet Specialty Retailers**

- 53. The allegations in Paragraph 53 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 53.
- 54. The allegations in Paragraph 54 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 54.
- 55. The allegations in Paragraph 55 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 55.
- 56. The allegations in Paragraph 56 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 56.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 57. Paragraph 57 states legal conclusions to which no answer is required.
- 58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 are not directed to Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 58.
- 59. Paragraph 59 states legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations are directed at Target, Target admits that it conducts business in the State of Florida, including the sale of pet food. To the extent matters set forth in Paragraph 59 are intended to constitute allegations of wrongdoing or an alleged failure to exercise due care directed to Target, Target denies those allegations and any remaining allegations in Paragraph 59.
- 60. Paragraph 60 states legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations are directed to Target, Target admits that it conducts business in this District, including the sale of pet food, and otherwise denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60. To the extent matters set forth in Paragraph 60 are intended to constitute allegations of wrongdoing or an alleged failure to exercise due care directed to Target, Target denies those allegations and any remaining allegations in Paragraph 60.

#### FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIMS

61. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 61.

- 62. The allegations contained in Paragraph 62 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 62.
- 63. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 63 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 63. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 64 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 64. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 64.
- 65. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 65.
- 66. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 66 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 66. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 66.
- 67. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 67 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 67. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 67.
- 68. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 68 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 68. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 68.
- 69. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 69 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 69. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to

form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 69. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 69 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 70. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 70 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 70. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 70.
- 71. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 71 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 71. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 71.
- 72. The allegations in Paragraph 72 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 72. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 72 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 73. The allegations in Paragraph 73 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 73. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 73 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 74. The allegations in Paragraph 74 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 74.

Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 74 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 75. The allegations in Paragraph 75 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 75.
- 76. The allegations in Paragraph 76 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 76 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- The allegations in Paragraph 77 are not directed to Target and therefore require 77. no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 77. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 77 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 78. The allegations in Paragraph 78 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 78.
- 79. The allegations in Paragraph 79 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 79. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 79 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 80. The allegations in Paragraph 80 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 80 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 81. The allegations in Paragraph 81 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 81. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 81 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 82. The allegations in Paragraph 82 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 82 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 83. The allegations in Paragraph 83 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 83. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 83 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 84. The allegations in Paragraph 84 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 84. Further,

Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 84 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 85. The allegations in Paragraph 85 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 85. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 85 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 86. The allegations in Paragraph 86 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 86.
- 87. The allegations in Paragraph 87 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 87.
- 88. Target admits that it is a retailer of pet products, including cat and dog food, and that from time to time it displays information relating to cat and dog food. To the extent remaining allegations in Paragraph 88 are directed to Target, Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 88. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 88.
- 89. Target admits that it sells pet food manufactured by Menu Foods. To the extent remaining allegations in Paragraph 89 are directed to Target, Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 89. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 89.

- 90. The allegations in Paragraph 90 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90.
- 91. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 91 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 91. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 91.
- 92. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 92 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 92. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 92.
- 93. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 93.
- 94. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 94 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 94. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 94.
- 95. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 95 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 95. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 95.
- 96. The allegations in Paragraph 96 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 96.
- 97. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 97 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 97. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 97. Further, Target states that the exhibits

cited Paragraph 97 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 98. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 98 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 98. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 98. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 98 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 99. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 99 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 99. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 99.
- 100. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 100 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 100. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 100. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 100 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 101. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 101 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 101. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 101 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 102. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 102 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 102. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 102. Further, Target states that

the exhibits cited Paragraph 102 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.

- 103. The allegations in Paragraph 103 are not directed to Target and therefore require no response from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target admits that various pet food products have been the subject of recalls over the years. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 103. Further, Target states that the exhibits cited Paragraph 103 are the best evidence of their terms to the extent that they are what Plaintiffs purport them to be.
- 104. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 104 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 104. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 104.
- 105. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 105 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 105. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 105.
- 106. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 106 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 106. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 106.
- 107. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 107.
- 108. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 108.

- 109. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 109 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 109. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 109.
- 110. The first and last sentences of Paragraph 110 call for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Target denies the allegations in the first and last sentences of Paragraph 110. Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 110.

# **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS Plaintiffs' Purported Class Action**

- 111. Target admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action as a class action against Target, but denies that this action can be properly certified as a class action, and denies that any class-wide or other relief is appropriate against Target. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations of Paragraph 111.
- 112. Target admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action as a class action but denies that this action can be properly certified under Rule 23(b)(2). Target denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 112.

#### **Alleged Numerosity**

113. The allegations in Paragraph 113 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required from Target. To the extent a response is required, Target denies the allegations that it would be virtually impossible for individual Class Members to bring suit against the Defendants and that the Class has no viable remedy other than class certification and denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 113.

#### **Alleged Commonality**

114. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 114.

# **Alleged Typicality**

115. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 115.

# **Alleged Adequacy**

116. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 116.

# **Alleged Predominance and Superiority**

- 117. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 117.
- 118. Target denies that a class can be appropriately certified in this case or that Plaintiffs are entitled to any legal remedy. Therefore, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 118.

# **Alleged Defendant Class**

119. Target admits that the Plaintiffs seeks to certify a class of defendants but denies that a defendant class can be appropriately certified. Target denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 119.

# **Alleged Numerosity**

120. Target denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 120 and denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 120.

#### **Alleged Commonality**

121. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 121.

#### **Alleged Typicality**

122. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 122.

# **Alleged Adequacy**

123. Target admits that it is represented by competent and diligent counsel, but denies the allegations in Paragraph 123.

# **Alleged Predominance and Superiority**

- 124. Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 124.
- 125. Target denies that a defendant class can be appropriately certified in this case or that plaintiffs are entitled to any element of relief requested against Target, and therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 125.

#### **COUNT I**

# Fraudulent Misrepresentation and Concealment As to All Defendants

- 126. Target incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1-125 as if set forth fully herein.
- 127. Target admits that is markets and sells pet food and pet treats. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 127 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 127. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 127.
- 128. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food and pet treats. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 128 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 128. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 128.
- 129. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 129 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 129. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 129.

- 130. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 130 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 130. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 130.
- 131. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 131 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 131. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 131.
- 132. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 132 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 132. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 132.
- 133. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 133 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 133. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 133.
- 134. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 134 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 134. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 134.

# **COUNT II**

# Negligent Misrepresentation As to All Defendants

- 135. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-134 as if set forth fully herein.
- 136. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 136 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 136. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 136.

- 137. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 137 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 137. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 137.
- 138. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 138 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 138. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 138.
- 139. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 139 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 139. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 139.
- 140. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 140 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 140. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 140.
- 141. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 141 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 141. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 141.
- 142. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 142 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 142. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 142.
- 143. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 143 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 143. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 143.

#### **COUNT III**

# Violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (FDUTPA), Fla. Stat. § 501.201 As to All Defendants

- 144. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-143 as if set forth fully herein.
- 145. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 145 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 145. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 145.
- 146. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 146 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 146. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 146.
- 147. Paragraph 147 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 147, denies that it is liable for any damages, and denies that any relief as requested in the Complaint should be granted against Target.
- 148. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 148 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 148. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 148.
- 149. Paragraph 149 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 149.

- 150. Paragraph 150 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 150 are directed to Target, Target admits that it markets and sells pet food and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 150. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 150.
- 151. Paragraph 151 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 151 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 151. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 151.
- 152. Paragraph 152 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 152 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 152. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 152.
- 153. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 153.
- 154. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 154 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations and prayer for relief in Paragraph 154. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 154.

#### **COUNT IV**

# Negligence As to Defendant Manufacturers and Co-Packers and PetSmart

155. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Target incorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1-154 as if set forth fully herein.

- 156. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 156 are directed to Target, without intending to deny that Target sells pet food, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 156. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 156.
- 157. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 157 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 157. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 157.
- 158. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 158 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 158. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 158.
- 159. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 159 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 159. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 159.
- 160. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 160 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 160 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 160. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 160.

161. This cause of action is not brought against Target so no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 161 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations and prayer for relief in Paragraph 161. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 161.

#### **COUNT V**

# Strict Liability As To All Defendants

- 162. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-161 as if set forth fully herein.
- 163. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the allegations in Paragraph 163.
- 164. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 165 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 165. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 165.
- 165. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 165 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 165. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 165.

#### **COUNT VI**

# Breach of Implied Warranty as to Retailers and Pet Specialty Retailers

- 166. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-165 as if set forth fully herein.
- 167. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 167 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 167. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 167 are not directed to Target, Target is not required to

provide a response, but to the extent a response is required, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief these allegations in Paragraph 167.

- 168. Paragraph 168 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations in Paragraph 168 are directed to Target, Target admits that it sold pet food of merchantable quality that was safe and fit for consumption by pets and denies the remaining allegations directed to Target in Paragraph 168. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 168.
- 169. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 169 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 169. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 169.
- 170. Without intending to deny that Target sells pet food, to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 170 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 170. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 170.
- 171. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 171 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 171. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 171.
- 172. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 172 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 172. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 172.
- 173. Paragraph 173 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations in Paragraph 173 are directed to Target, Target

denies the allegations and prayer for relief in Paragraph 173. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 173.

#### **COUNT VII**

# **Breach of Express Warranty** As to Defendant Retailers and Pet Specialty Retailers

- 174. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-173 as if set forth fully herein.
- 175. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 175 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the 175. remaining allegations in Paragraph 175.
- 176. Paragraph 176 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations in Paragraph 176 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 176. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 176.
- To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 177 are directed to Target, Target 177. denies the allegations in Paragraph 177. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 177.
- 178. Paragraph 178 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and the allegations in Paragraph 178 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 178. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 178.
- 179. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 179 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations and prayer for relief in Paragraph 179. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 179.

#### **COUNT VIII**

# **Unjust Enrichment** As to All Defendants

- 180. Target incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-179 as if fully set forth herein.
- 181. Paragraph 181 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 181.
- 182. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 182 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the 182. remaining allegations in Paragraph 182.
- 183. Target admits that it markets and sells pet food. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 183 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 183.
- Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the 184. allegation that Plaintiffs purchased the pet food for the reasons alleged in the Complaint. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 184 are directed to Target, Target denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 184. To the extent the remaining allegations in Paragraph 184 are not directed to Target, Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 184.
- 185. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 185 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 185. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 185.

- 186. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 186 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 186. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 186.
- 187. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 187 are directed to Target, Target denies the allegations in Paragraph 187. Target denies for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief the remaining allegations in Paragraph 187.
- 188. Target denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any legal remedy against Target, and therefore denies the allegations and prayer for relief in Paragraph 188.

#### **ADDITIONAL DEFENSES**

# **First Defense**

1. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim against Target upon which relief may be granted.

#### **Second Defense**

2. Plaintiffs lack standing to assert the claims alleged in the Complaint.

# **Third Defense**

3. This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' alleged claims.

#### **Fourth Defense**

4. Venue for Plaintiffs' claims is improper in this District.

#### **Fifth Defense**

5. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, under the applicable statutes of limitations or repose, or are otherwise untimely.

# **Sixth Defense**

6. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs have made statements or taken actions that preclude them from asserting claims or constitute estoppel or waiver of their claims.

#### **Seventh Defense**

7. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of laches.

# **Eighth Defense**

8. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.

# Ninth Defense

9. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of accord and satisfaction.

# **Tenth Defense**

10. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of release.

#### **Eleventh Defense**

11. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, and/or claim preclusion.

#### Twelfth Defense

12. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the claims are not recognized as separate causes of action under applicable law.

#### **Thirteenth Defense**

13. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by reason of the Plaintiffs' failure to join any and all necessary and/or indispensable parties to this action.

# **Fourteenth Defense**

14. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' claims have been improperly joined in this action.

# **Fifteenth Defense**

15. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the economic loss doctrine.

# **Sixteenth Defense**

16. Plaintiffs' claims are preempted in accordance with the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and by federal law.

#### **Seventeenth Defense**

17. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the conduct at issue (including any and all alleged advertising, marketing, and labeling) was required or specifically authorized by federal and/or state law, codes, statutes, rules, regulations and/or standards.

# **Eighteenth Defense**

18. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the products at issue (including any and all labels and/or warnings) complied with federal and/or state law, codes, statutes, rules, regulations, and/or standards.

# **Nineteenth Defense**

19. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by Target's First Amendment right to commercial speech.

#### **Twentieth Defense**

20. Plaintiffs fail to allege fraud with particularity and fail to allege sufficient facts to support any finding that Target, its officers, agents, servants, employees, contractors, or others for whom it was responsible, made any fraudulent misrepresentations or omissions or engaged in any conduct with the requisite scienter or state of mind.

#### **Twenty-First Defense**

21. Any alleged representations made by Target forming the basis of Plaintiffs' Complaint were true and honest at the time made. Said representations, if any, were made without knowledge of any falsity, and were not made with the intent to deceive Plaintiffs and therefore Plaintiffs are barred from recovery.

#### **Twenty-Second Defense**

22. The injuries complained of by Plaintiffs and the alleged resulting damages, if any, were caused solely and proximately in whole or in part by Plaintiffs' own negligence and fault and thus, under the doctrine of contributory negligence, are not recoverable.

# **Twenty-Third Defense**

23. Plaintiffs' recovery should be diminished by that percentage of fault attributable to Plaintiffs and/or persons or entities other than Target for those injuries sustained by Plaintiffs upon which the damages complained of in this action are based. Thus, Target's liability, if any, is limited to its percentage of responsibility for the injuries of Plaintiffs, if any, regardless of whether or not other persons or entities are named as co-Defendants.

#### **Twenty-Fourth Defense**

24. Target is entitled to a set-off from any recovery against it to the extent each item of economic loss alleged in the Complaint was, or with reasonable certainty will be, replaced or indemnified, in whole or in part, by collateral sources, including any settlements of future settlements between Plaintiffs and any person or entity.

# **Twenty-Fifth Defense**

25. If Plaintiffs sustained injuries or incurred expenses as alleged, these damages and expenses were not caused by Target. To the extent that Target is held liable for any damages to Plaintiffs, it may have statutory or contractual rights to contribution or indemnification.

# **Twenty-Sixth Defense**

26. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of assumption of risk. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs had full knowledge of the risks and possible adverse effects pertaining to the pet food products their pets allegedly consumed, and all or part of the alleged injuries, damages, and/or losses, if any, sustained by Plaintiffs arose from and were caused by risks of which Plaintiffs were aware, and such risks were accepted and assumed by the Plaintiffs.

#### **Twenty-Seventh Defense**

27. Plaintiffs' alleged damages were due to, and proximately caused by, in whole or in part, other events, conditions, instrumentalities, products and/or acts or omissions of an individual or entity over whom or which Target exercised no control.

# **Twenty-Eighth Defense**

28. Plaintiffs' alleged damages were due to, and proximately caused by, in whole or in part, by intervening or superseding causes, included but not limited to: the pets' preexisting and/or unrelated medical, genetic and/or environmental conditions, diseases, or illnesses; subsequent medical conditions; or natural courses of conditions for which Target is not responsible. Plaintiffs' pets' alleged illness or death, if any, was the result of natural health processes and would have occurred just as they did irrespective of Target's alleged actions or conduct.

#### **Twenty-Ninth Defense**

29. To the extent Plaintiffs may have modified, altered or changed the pet food referred to in the Complaint, such changes in any said pet food proximately caused Plaintiffs' injuries, loss and damages, if any.

#### **Thirtieth Defense**

30. To the extent Plaintiffs have failed to follow the use instructions on the pet food and/or have combined the pet food with others and/or misused the pet food in ways that were not reasonably foreseeable to Target, it was the failure to follow instructions/product combination and/or other misuse which caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' damages, if any.

#### **Thirty-First Defense**

31. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by their failure to mitigate damages.

# **Thirty-Second Defense**

32. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the defenses available under the consumer protection, deceptive practices, products liability, strict liability statutes and/or the uniform commercial codes of the several states.

#### **Thirty-Third Defense**

33. Plaintiffs' claims for product defect are barred to the extent the pet food was consumed beyond the expiration date.

#### **Thirty-Fourth Defense**

34. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, due to spoliation of evidence.

# **Thirty-Fifth Defense**

35. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Target acquired the pet food in a sealed container and was afforded no reasonable opportunity to inspect the product before it was sold.

# **Thirty-Sixth Defense**

36. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Target is an "innocent" seller of the product and a proper, solvent manufacturer has been named in the lawsuit and jurisdiction has been maintained.

#### **Thirty-Seventh Defense**

37. The actions of Target were in compliance with all existing safety standards and precautions then consistent with the state of the art.

#### **Thirty-Eighth Defense**

38. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred because the pet food was designed, tested, manufactured, and labeled in accordance with the state of the art industry standards existing at the time of the sale. Target asserts that as of the relevant times alleged in the Complaint, it did not know and, in light of the then existing reasonable available scientific and technological knowledge, could not have known of: (1) the design characteristics, if any, that allegedly caused the injuries and damages complained of in the Complaint; and/or (2) the alleged danger of any such design characteristics.

#### **Thirty-Ninth Defense**

39. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because the products at issue included adequate information with respect to their contents and proper use.

#### **Fortieth Defense**

40. Plaintiffs' breach of warranty claims are barred for lack of timely notice of breach, lack of privity, and/or because the alleged warranties were disclaimed.

#### **Forty-First Defense**

41. Plaintiffs' breach of warranty claims are barred by the defenses of expiration, limitation, and exclusion.

#### **Forty-Second Defense**

42. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because any warranties, if made, are excluded through course of dealing, course of performance and/or usage of trade.

#### **Forty-Third Defense**

43. Plaintiffs' claims for breach of implied warranties are barred because Target was not made aware of any particular use of the products intended by Plaintiffs.

# **Forty-Fourth Defense**

44. Plaintiffs' claims for express warranties are barred because Plaintiffs failed to identify any express warranties upon which they relied.

#### **Forty-Fifth Defense**

45. There is no joint and several liability against Target.

# **Forty-Sixth Defense**

46. Target is not vicariously liable for the acts of others.

#### **Forty-Seventh Defense**

47. Target asserts that it is not liable for any alleged wrongful actions taken by Target employees, which may have been taken outside the scope of and course of their duties and which were not authorized, condoned, or ratified by Target.

#### **Forty-Eighth Defense**

48. To the extent that Plaintiffs' claims are based on disgorgement of revenue/profits or based on a theory providing for liability without proof of causation, the claims violate Target's rights under the United States Constitution and applicable state constitutions, and Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the due process provision of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and sections of the applicable state constitutions on the grounds that

Target will not be accorded protection against multiple suits and duplicative liability and to the extent that there has been a denial of equal protection.

# **Forty-Ninth Defense**

49. Plaintiffs' claims for damages are speculative, and, as a result, are barred under applicable state law.

# **Fifty Defense**

50. Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover non-economic damages from Target for any alleged injuries to their pets, or alternatively such a recovery is limited by the statutory caps on non-economic damages that exist under applicable state law.

# **Fifty-First Defense**

51. Plaintiffs' claim for unjust enrichment is barred because Plaintiffs have an adequate remedy at law.

#### **Fifty-Second Defense**

52. The allegations of the Complaint directed against Target do not give rise to a claim of punitive damages against Target.

#### **Fifty-Third Defense**

53. The imposition of punitive damages is violative of due process and equal protection provided by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution. An award of punitive damages based upon vicarious or joint and several judgments, the lack of reasonable limitation, the lack of specific and set standards, public policy, and the potential to exceed the maximum criminal fines for similar conduct are each in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. It is denied that any act or omission of Target was such that it constitutes fault meeting the standards required to prove punitive damages.

#### **Fifty-Fourth Defense**

54. Target adopts and incorporates by reference any affirmative defense asserted by any other Defendant to this action to the extent such affirmative defenses apply to Target.

#### NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Target hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon such other affirmative defenses as may become available or apparent during the course of discovery. Target thus expressly reserves the right to amend its Answer by way of amending responses to the allegations in the Complaint, adding affirmative defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims, or otherwise, as additional facts are obtained through further investigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, Target respectfully requests that the Court: (i) enter judgment in favor of Target dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and denying Plaintiffs any fees, expenses or costs; (ii) award to Target all costs incurred by it in connection with this action; and (iii) grant to Target such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate in the circumstances.

Dated: May 12, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

//s/ Barbara Bolton Litten

John B.T. Murray, Jr.

Florida Bar Member 962759

jbmurray@ssd.com

Barbara Bolton Litten

Florida Bar Member 0091642

blitten@ssd.com

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, L.L.P.

1900 Phillips Point West

777 South Flagler Drive

West Palm Beach, FL 33401-6198

Tel.: 561.650.7200

Fax: 561.655.1509

Attorneys for Target Corporation

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served upon all counsel on the attached Service List through the Court's Electronic Filing System, or by mailing the same to the offices of said counsel by United States mail, postage prepaid, on this 12th day of May, 2008.

/s/ Barbara Bolton Litten
Barbara Bolton Litten
Florida Bar No. 91642

# RENEE BLASZKOWSKI, ET AL. vs. MARS, INCORPORATED, ET AL. Case No. 07-21221-CIV-ALTONAGA/BROWN

# **SERVICE LIST**

Catherine J. MacIvor

E-mail: <u>cmacivor@mflegal.com</u>

Jeffrey Eric Foreman

E-mail: <u>jforeman@mflegal.com</u> Jeffrey Bradford Maltzman

E-mail: jmaltzman@mflegal.com

Darren W. Friedman

E-mail: <u>dfriedman@mflegal.com</u>

Bjorg Eikeland

E-mail: <u>beikeland@mflegal.com</u> **MALTZMAN FOREMAN PA** 

One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 2300

Miami, FL 33131-1803 Telephone: (305) 358-6555 Facsimile: (305) 374-9077

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Rolando Andres Diaz

E-Mail: rd@kubickdraper.com

Maria Kayanan

E-mail: mek@kubickidraper.com

KUBICKI DRAPER

25 W. Flagler Street

Penthouse

Miami, FL 33130-1712

Telephone: (305) 982-6708

Facsimile: (305) 374-7846

John B.T. Murray, Jr.

E-mail: jbmurray@ssd.com

Barbara Bolton Litten

E-mail: <u>blitten@ssd.com</u>

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.

1900 Phillips Point West 777 South Flagler Drive

West Palm Beach, FL 33401-6198 Telephone: (561) 650-7200

Facsimile: (561) 655-1509

Attorneys for Defendants PETCO Animal Supplies Stores, Inc., PetSmart, Inc., Wal-Mart

Stores, Inc., Target Corporation

Amy W. Schulman

E-mail: amy.schulman@dlapiper.com

Alexander Shaknes

E-mail: Alex.Shaknes@dlapiper.com

**DLA PIPER US LLP** 

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020

Attorneys for Defendants Menu Foods, Inc.

and Menu Foods Income Fund

Attorneys for Defendant Pet Supermarket, Inc.

Lonnie L. Simpson

E-mail: Lonnie.simpson@dlapiper.com

S. Douglas Knox

E-mail: <u>Douglas.knox@dlapiper.com</u>

**DLA PIPER US LLP** 

101 East Kennedy Boulevard

**Suite 2000** 

Tampa, FL 33602-5149 Telephone: (813) 229-2111 Facsimile: (813) 229-1447

Attorneys for Defendants Menu Foods, Inc.

and Menu Foods Income Fund

Omar Ortega

DORTA AND ORTEGA, P.A.

**Douglas Entrance** 

800 S. Douglas Road, Suite 149

Coral Gables, FL 33134 Telephone: (305) 461-5454 Facsimile: (305) 461-5226

E-mail: oortega@dortaandortega.com

Attorneys for Defendants Mars, Incorporated, Mars Petcare U.S., Inc. and Nutro Products,

Inc.

Hugh J. Turner, Jr.

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

350 E. Las Olas Boulevard

Suite 1600

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-2229 Telephone: (954) 463-2700 Facsimile: (954) 463-2224

E-mail: hugh.turner@akerman.com

Attorneys for Defendant Publix Super Markets,

Inc

Dane H. Butswinkas

E-mail: <u>dbutswinkas@wc.com</u>

Philip A. Sechler

E-mail: psechler@wc.com

Thomas G. Hentoff E-mail: <a href="mailto:thentoff@wc.om">thentoff@wc.om</a> Patrick J. Houlihan

E-mail: phoulihan@wc.com

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 200005

Attorneys for Defendants Mars, Incorporated, Mars Petcare U.S., Inc. and Nutro Products,

Inc.

Benjamine Reid

E-mail: breid@carltonfields.com

Olga M. Vieira

E-mail: ovieira@carltonfields.com

Ana M. Craig

E-mail: acraig@carltonfields.com

**CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.** 

100 S.E. Second Street, Suite 4000

Bank of America Tower at International Place

Miami, FL 33131-9101 Telephone: (305) 530-0050 Facsimile: (305) 530-0055

Attorneys for Defendant Hill's Pet Nutrition,

Inc.

John J. Kuster

E-mail: jkuster@sidley.com

James D. Arden

E-mail: jarden@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 839-5300 Facsimile: (212) 839-5599

Attorneys for Defendant Hill's Pet Nutrition,

Inc.

Kara L. McCall

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

One South Dearborn Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-2666

E-mail: kmccall@Sidley.com

Attorneys for Defendant Hill's Pet Nutrition,

Inc.

Richard Fama

E-mail: <a href="mailto:rfama@cozen.com">rfama@cozen.com</a>

John J. McDonough

E-mail: jmcdonough@cozen.com

**COZEN O'CONNOR** 

45 Broadway

New York, New York 10006 Telephone: (212) 509-9400 Facsimile: (212) 509-9492

Attorneys for Defendant Del Monte Foods

Sherril M. Colombo COZEN O'CONNOR

Wachovia Center, Suite 4410 200 South Biscayne Boulevard

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 704-5945 Facsimile: (305) 704-5955

E-mail: scolombo@cozen.com

Attorneys for Defendant Del Monte Foods, Co.

John F. Mullen

E-mail: jmullen@cozen.com

Julie Negovan

E-mail: jnegovan@cozen.com

**COZEN O'CONNOR** 

1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-2000 Facsimile: (215) 665-2013

Attorneys for Defendant Del Monte Foods, Co.

Carol A. Licko

**HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.** 

Mellon Financial Center

1111 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1900

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 459-6500 Facsimile: (305) 459-6550 E-mail: calicko@hhlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Nestlé Purina Petcare

Co.

Craig A. Hoover

E-mail: cahoover@hhlaw.com

Miranda L. Berge

E-mail: mlberge@hhlaw.com

**HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.** 555 13<sup>TH</sup> Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: (202) 637-5600

Facsimile: (202) 637-5910

Attorneys for Defendant Nestlé Purina Petcare

Co.

Alan G. Greer

RICHMAN GREER, P.A.

Miami Center – Suite 1000 201 South Biscayne Boulevard

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 373-4000

Facsimile: (305) 373-4099

E-mail: agreer@richmangreer.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Iams Co.

Robert C. Troyer

**HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.** 

1200 17<sup>th</sup> Street

One Tabor Center, suite 1500 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 899-7300 Facsimile: (303) 899-7333

E-mail: rctroyer@hhlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Nestlé Purina Petcare

Co.

James K. Reuss

LANE ALTON & HORST, LLC

Two Miranova Place

Suite 500

Columbus, Ohio 43215 Telephone: (614) 233-4719 E-mail: JReuss@lanealton.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Kroger Co. of

Ohio

D. Jeffrey Ireland

E-mail: djireland@ficlaw.com

Brian D. Wright

E-mail: Bwright@ficlaw.com

Laura A. Sanom

E-mail: lsanom@ficlaw.com

FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L.

500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. 10 North Ludlow Street Dayton, Ohio 45402

Attorneys for Defendant The Iams Co.

Robin L. Hanger

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.

200 S. Biscayne Boulevard

40<sup>th</sup> Floor

Miami, FL 33131-2398 Telephone: (305) 577-7040 Facsimile: (305) 577-7001 E-mail: rlhanger@ssd.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETCO Animal

Supplies Stores, Inc.

C. Richard Fulmer, Jr.

FULMER, LeROY, ALBEE, BAUMANN & GLASS, PLC

2866 East Oakland Park Boulevard

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33306 Telephone: (954) 707-4430 Facsimile: (954) 707-4431

E-mail: rfulmer@Fulmer.LeRoy.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Kroger Co. of

Ohio

W. Randolph Teslik, P.C.

E-mail: rteslik@akingump.com

Andrew Dober

E-mail: adober@akingump.com

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD

LLP

1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 887-4000 Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

Attorneys for Defendants New Albertson's Inc.

and Albertson's LLC

Ralph G. Patino

E-mail: rpatino@patinolaw.com

Dominick V. Tamarazzo

E-mail: dtamarazzo@patinolaw.com

Carlos B. Salup

E-mail: <u>csalup@patinolaw.com</u> **PATINO & ASSOCIATES, P.A.** 

225 Alcazar Avenue Coral Gables, FL 33134 Telephone: (305) 443-6163 Facsimile: (305) 443-5635

Attorneys for Defendants Pet Supplies "Plus" and Pet Supplies Plus/USA, Inc.

Craig P. Kalil

E-mail: ckalil@aballi.com

Joshua D. Poyer

E-mail: jpoyer@abailli.com

ABALLI, MILNE, KALIL & ESCAGEDO,

P.A.

2250 Sun Trust International Center

One Southeast Third Avenue

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 373-6600 Facsimile: (305) 373-7929

Attorneys for Defendant New Albertson's Inc.

and Albertson's LLC

Jeffrey S. York

E-mail: jyork@mcguirewoods.com

Michael M. Giel

E-mail: mgiel@mcguirewoods.com

McGUIRE WOODS LLP

50 N. Laura Street, Suite 3300

Jacksonville, FL 32202 Telephone: (904) 798-2680 Facsimile: (904) 360-6330

Attorneys for Defendant Natura Pet Products,

Inc.

Kristen E. Caverly

E-mail: kcaverly@hcesq.com

**HENDERSON & CAVERLY LLP** 

P.O. Box 9144

16236 San Dieguito Road, Suite 4-13 Rancho Santa Fe, California 92067-9144

Attorneys for Defendant Natura Pet Products, Inc.