

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENT

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, as an attorney on his own behalf, and moves this court for leave to file the attached document/exhibit, which is highly germane to the issues before this court, stating:

1. The remedy Thompson seeks from this court in the form of injunctive relief from a "bad faith" and "extraordinary circumstances" disciplinary harassment of Thompson rests, in part, upon not only the selective prosecution by The Florida Bar but also its patent protection of one of Thompson's SLAPP Bar complainants, Norm Kent. The Bar's demonstrable mindset is that the "enemy of my enemy is my friend," as indicated by The Bar's enthusiastic, recidivist collaboration with Mr. Kent, over a twenty year period, at Thompson's expense. Lunacy proceedings have been sought and secured, Bar complaints have recently been maintained for nearly three years. The hectoring by this mutually convenient collaboration never ends.

2. When Thompson brought the issue of Mr. Kent's distribution of pornography through his law firm's web site and the impact that has upon the public's perception of the "dignity of the legal profession" (see *Florida Bar v. Pape*) and The Bar's out-of-hand

rejection of ethics concerns in that regard, both the Florida Supreme Court and Referee Tunis expressed their anger that Thompson would dare bring to their attention Kent's distribution of hardcore porn to anyone of any age through his law firm web site. No objection as to what Mr. Kent continues to do was expressed by either, just angst over Thompson's highlighting The Bar's and the Supreme Court's double standard when it comes to Thompson's alleged "unethical" conduct in fighting, for twenty years, the distribution of adult materials to children.

Referee Tunis, for her part, went on an extended tirade from the bench, incensed that she would be presented with such graphic proof of The Bar's "looking the other way" at Mr. Kent's distribution of such material through his law firm site. "I've seen it all before, Mr. Thompson," so her anger was not over what she was seeing. Her anger was over the fact that this was an issue before her that she was unable to address without dealing with the duplicity of The Bar.

3. The attached offensive material, and The Bar's and the Florida Supreme Court's decision to prosecute Thompson rather than Kent, reveals how thoroughly both governmental entities have stood the very purpose of The Florida Bar on its head. Both protect the porn-to-kids industry and harass Thompson for his opposition to it. The Bar is supposed to protect the public and no one else. The Florida Supreme Court in *Florida Bar v. Tyson* says just that. Who is being protected by The Bar's "get out of jail free card" extended to Mr. Kent and by its twenty years of harassment of Thompson? Certainly not the public.

4. If this is not proof of regulatory "bad faith" and "selective prosecution," then there is literally no such think in our system of jurisprudence. It appears, if this nonsense

is allowed to stand, that the functional purpose of The Florida Bar is to protect the porn industry, persecute Christian lawyers for their “mental illness,” and turn the entire disciplinary process into a prurient act performed upon the public, including the children to whom Mr. Kent willy-nilly makes this sewage available with no age verification system.

5. Recently an Assistant U.S. Attorney, *licensed by The Florida Bar*, traveled to Michigan to have sex with what he thought was a five-year-old girl. He was able to make that “hook-up” through the Internet, the same Internet through which obscene material is made available to pedophiles. Any person with a brain, lawyer or not, knows that obscene images made available through that same Internet are not only making such encounters more frequent but also more desired. Anyone who can read the Attorney General Edwin Meese’s Commission on Pornography Report knows the linkage between pornography/obscenity and sexual crimes. Thompson has had the pleasure of sharing the podium with Attorney General Meese at LSU Law School years ago on these issues at the very moment that The Florida Bar was harassing Thompson the last time about this successful activism against this sewage.

And so now, here is The Florida Bar, with the above-noted sexual predation of the Assistant US Attorney in the news, looking the other way at Norm Kent’s offering, through his law firm web site of sites called “Just Us Boys” and “Broken Straight Boys.”

6. Whose side is The Florida Bar on? Not the side of the public.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel this 19th day of September, 2007, electronically.

/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net