

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED SEVENTH RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S
SHOW CAUSE ORDER**

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, as an attorney on his own behalf, and further responds to this court's September 24, 2007, Order to Show Cause and states:

1. As the attached "Page 2 of 6" from the letter sent to Governor Crist, Broward State Attorney Satz, State AG Bill McCollum, and US Attorney Acosta filed with this court proves, Thompson warned anyone proceeding further in reading the filing that he or she would see "three sexually graphic photographs." The "**Warning**" is in letters nearly one inch high and the warning alerts *anyone and everyone* that what follows was "sexually graphic photographs" that anyone not wanting to see such material could avoid it. The viewer or potential viewer was being given a choice. This court's law clerk apparently made a choice to view the material. No member of "the public or children" has come forward to complain, have they?

2. If this court's female law clerk was offended, then she was offended by not heeding the **Warning**.

3. Any member of the “public, including children” about whom the court is supposedly concerned by what it may have seen in a paid-for government court filing site who saw the **Warning** could have stopped before going further. As already pointed out, the notion that the public and “children” would be **paying** for the opportunity to surf government court sites, which have no search engine, by which to find “obscenity” by stumbling across this file is absurd. It is more than absurd. It is a fabrication. Children however were able to access this material free of charge by going to Mr. Kent’s sites. “Googling” the two words *gay news* take one right there, as has already been pointed out.

4. Thompson hasn’t received any complaints from Governor Crist, State Attorney Satz, AG McCollum and US Attorney Acosta. Maybe that is because they *understand* that to be a “public servant” means that they are to represent the interests of the *public* not the porn industry. Federal judges are in the same position. All have taken oaths to uphold the law. Thompson has taken a similar oath, and now he is being threatened by this court with a Show Cause Order for having shared evidence of a *crime* with this court, with a **Warning** for all to see before it is observed. Further, the criminal activity that the defendant Bar facilitated by its selective prosecution of Thompson and not a lawyer who diminishes the “dignity of the profession” as the Florida Supreme Court has wrung its hands about, is at the core of this case.

5. Thompson can reasonably imagine what this court would have done if it had not been made aware of these obscene photographs being disseminated by Mr. Kent around the globe. The court would have seen the “link” only, as the court requested, and moved on, oblivious to the nature of what Thompson was complaining about as evidence of The Bar’s shocking and consequential duplicity.

6. Mr. Kent apparently “gets” what this court does not: That the images are so disturbing that at least he had to do something about them! He has removed this garbage from his site, and yet he runs today a banner “news” article about how Thompson is trafficking in obscenity, never bothering to mention that it was at *his* site and that he removed it, it was so incendiary!

7. The fact that Norm Kent has now removed his “obscenity” from his site, having been given the regulatory green light by The Bar that there was no problem with his distributing this material, shows that what Thompson did was appropriate, effectual, and salutary.

8. There is no contempt unless an order was violated or unless Thompson attempted to denigrate the authority of this court. There was no order and in fact Thompson called upon the authority of this court so that ongoing criminal activity might be exposed and stopped. It worked.

9. Since “children” and their safety are at stake, which the court is supposedly concerned about, Thompson did the right thing. The problem has been solved, at least as to children’s exposure to Mr. Kent’s swill. Can the court not see that?

I solemnly swear, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is a true, correct, and complete accounts of the facts, so help me God!

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel this 27th day of September, 2007, electronically.

/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net