

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and  
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE TO COURT**

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, as an attorney on his own behalf, and provides notice to this court, as follows:

1. The Honorable Paul C. Huck, a District Court Judge sitting in the Southern District of Florida, recused himself from the civil lawsuit brought against The Florida Bar by one of the plaintiff's SLAPP Bar complainant's Tom Tew of Tew Cardenas, because the judge felt it improper to sit in judgment of The Florida Bar while a member of it. The judge was right. This was a case involving the notorious "King of Torts," Mr. Robles.

2. When it came to Thompson's lawsuit against the same Florida Bar, however, Judge Huck concluded there was no problem. The court's reasoning as to why there was no problem was, with all respect, flawed and inconsistent with its previous ruling.

3. In the aforementioned Robles case, both Judge Huck and Chief Judge Zloch saw the wisdom of recusal of a Florida Bar-licensed attorney who happens to be a judge from presiding over a case in which The Florida Bar is a defendant. A federal judge licensed by the state of Georgia replaced Judge Huck, and appropriately so.

4. With all respect for this court, and in fact *because* of his respect for it and for the desirability of impartiality, if this court feels uncomfortable with this case, as the Order to Show Cause raises some questions about impartiality, particularly in light of the fact that the court's grounds for entering that order was in large part the alleged danger posed to children by plaintiff's filing, which grounds have been debunked, plaintiff invites this court, with all respect, to recuse itself. Indeed, with the trial court seeking to have Thompson held in contempt for filing evidence of a crime with it, with an adequate **“Warning”** to avoid the type of molestation of children (albeit impossible) that has been occurring by Florida attorney Norm Kent of “the public, even children” with his obscenity, he is wondering how the trial court can now fairly rule in the underlying case. But that is getting ahead of ourselves. Judge Huck's first analysis of the problem is something that now might be addressed.

5. Thompson is not yet to the point of a motion for recusal, but he is getting the feeling that *no Florida judge*, by virtue of the relationship any and all Florida judges have with The Florida Bar and further by virtue of multiple personal relationships between and among this judge and the “elite” within “the legal community” who run this bar for pornographers at the expense of others, plaintiff makes note of the fact that we all might be better off if we took Judge Huck's lead, when he first took it, to have preside over this case a judge who has no formal, prejudicial ties to The Florida Bar and its Governors.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2007, electronically.

/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff  
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665  
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111  
Coral Gables, Florida 33146  
Phone: 305-666-4366  
[amendmentone@comcast.net](mailto:amendmentone@comcast.net)