

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO NORM KENT'S MOTION
TO INTERVENE**

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, as an attorney on his own behalf, and hereby responds to Norm Kent's motion to intervene, stating:

Thompson opposes Norm Kent's motion to intervene. Since it was filed last evening at 10:30 pm and since Thompson was not aware of it until this morning, if any case authority need be filed to oppose it, Thompson will seek the court's permission to provide it.

Far importantly, however, than the merits, if any, of Mr. Kent's motion to intervene, is the wonderful, blessed fact that Kent's filing of this request to intervene does exquisitely prove precisely what Thompson has been saying to this court since the complaint was filed in April of this year, namely:

The Bar's pursuit of Thompson is at the behest of Mr. Kent and other SLAPP complainants who are inordinately, obsessively intent that The Bar use its disciplinary machinery to intimidate, punish, and ultimately destroy Thompson. Mr. Kent is not the least bit interested in The Bar's charge to "protect the public." He wants The Bar, and

now this court, to protect him from Thompson and the inconvenient truth about what he does and how he does it. Mr. Kent is simply a pornographer who trafficks in “obscenity,” as this court’s prior finding indicates.

If Mr. Kent were truly interested in the “protecting the public,” would he be disseminating “obscenity” to citizens of all ages through his two Internet sites, one being his Bar-regulated law firm site?

Mr. Kent, by filing his bizarre motion, inadvertently proves, then, just what The Bar is doing and for whom it has been doing it since August 2004, when Kent threatened Thompson with a new wave of Bar complaints, including, it is important to note, **new lunacy proceedings to be conducted by The Bar.**

Mr. Kent did all of that previously in 1991, and he returned 38 months ago to try that illegal stunt again. Mr. Kuehne and Mr. Chaykin, Thompson’s “designated reviewers,” took the bait, as this court knows. Ms. Tuma, Bar prosecutor, foolishly put it into two letters!

Finally but not exhaustively, if there is any doubt that Thompson is entitled to a preliminary injunction that will order The Bar to afford him discovery that it has steadfastly, illegally denied him, then Kent’s attempt to slither around in this case fully dispels it. Thompson knows what he will find.

In 1990, Thompson found smoking gun documents proving Janet Reno’s use of The Bar’s disciplinary machinery, actual Bar complaints, to try and discredit Thompson, who was her Republican opponent in the 1988 general election. Thompson’s discovery of those documents, formally produced by The Bar under the Rules of Civil Procedure,


which Rules The Bar seems to think it revoked, ended the illegal harassment of Thompson.

Thompson has asked The Bar for documents that would further prove Kent's and other SLAPP complainants' illegal collaboration with The Bar. Kent's wonderfully timely filing of this morning irrefutably proves *why* Thompson must and will have those documents.

From a Psalm of David, which Mr. Kent has sought a court order to prevent Thompson's citing:

"My enemies have dug a pit for me into which they have now fallen."

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel this 9th day of October, 2007, electronically, and upon Chief Judge Moreno, who should remove Judge Jordan from this case, in light of Judge Jordan's actions pertaining to Mr. Kent in the last week.



/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net