

John B. Thompson, Attorney at Law
1172 S. Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net

October 16, 2007

The Honorable Patrick Leahy
Chairman, Senate Judiciary Committee
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Arlen Specter
Ranking Member, Senate Judiciary Committee
711 Hart Building
Washington, D.C. Via Fax to 202-228-1229

The Honorable Peter D. Keisler
Acting Attorney General of the United States
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Re: Felony Activity by a Sitting U.S. District Court Judge

Dear Senator Leahy and Attorney General Keisler:

On September 19, 2007, I gave U.S. District Court Judge Adalberto Jordan, Southern District of Florida, evidence of felony criminal activity.

Judge Jordan acknowledged in a written order that the evidence he received from me constitutes "obscenity" (his word). Judge Jordan, however, has failed to turn this evidence of criminal activity over to the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Florida and in fact has actively *refused* to do so. Judge Jordan knows full well that "obscenity," by definition, enjoys no First Amendment protection.

I specifically asked Judge Jordan, in writing, to report this criminal activity to U.S. Attorney Alex Acosta for the Southern District of Florida. This same US Attorney came into office promising to prosecute "obscenity."

Judge Jordan, in an order yesterday, specifically stated that he would not report this trafficking in "obscenity," which by definition is a felony under federal law, to the U.S. Attorney in the very District in which he presides.

That is bad enough. Judge Jordan, along the way to entering this bizarre order yesterday, entered a show cause order threatening to turn me over to an Ad Hoc Committee on discipline in retaliation for bringing him evidence of the “obscenity”(again, his word) and placing it in the public court file. When I attempted to defend myself by showing the court how ill-considered that threat was, he short-circuited the show cause process and turned me over to the disciplinary committee three days early.

When I called him on that, he finally vacated his show cause order, apparently having realized that what he did was the equivalent of arresting Paul Revere for disturbing the peace with his Midnight Ride.

But, with all of that having occurred, this Judge, as I indicate above, has now entered an order dated October 15, 2007 (yesterday), refusing to report this “obscenity” trafficking to law enforcement as I asked him to do and has he must do. Anyone who doubt his mandatory to do so should read 18 USC, Part 1, Chapter 1, Section 4, which defines and sets forth the punishment for **“misprision of a felony”**:

“Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years of both.”

I didn’t write that law. Congress did. Here we have a judge who not only refused and refuses still to discharge his oath of office in upholding the laws of this country but who further has violated the misprision of a felony criminal statute.

But its even worse than that. He not only failed to report this “obscenity” trafficking, which he has a legal duty to do just as would any other citizen, but he also “concealed” it in the meaning of the above statute by removing the evidence thereof from a public court file and by threatening, and then carrying through on the threat, against the person who brought him the best evidence. The Judge’s threatening conduct against the undersigned whistleblower was a further “concealment” within the meaning of the misprision of a felony statute.

There is no doubt in my mind, nor in the minds of some others who have looked at what Judge Jordan has done in this instance—refusing to report a crime, hiding the evidence thereof, and then threatening the person who brought him evidence of that crime—constitutes an “impeachable offense” under Article I of the U.S. Constitution.

Please feel free to contact me regarding this disturbing behavior by this U.S District Court Judge. I am more than happy to state, under oath, that the above facts are true. Articles of impeachment must be returned, and the Justice Department must immediately commence a criminal investigation of this sitting U.S. District Court Judge.

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Brandeis said it quite well:

"Our government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy."

Regards, Jack Thompson