

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS, JOHN HARKNESS,
AND FRANK ANTONES,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO INTERLOPER KENT'S MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION REGARDING INTERVENTION**

COMES NOW petitioner, John B. Thompson, and responds to Mr. Kent's latest antics, stating:

First, it is difficult not to savage with the truth someone who acts like a savage. Mr. Kent has been unable to rebut a single thing Thompson has asserted herein regarding Mr. Kent. Mr. Kent is typical of the schoolyard bully who pokes others in the eye and then runs to teacher when the target of his bullying fights back.

Second, it has been the court's mistake not to remove Mr. Kent from the court's e-mail service list. The court has refused to do so and has even refused to address the issue, as requested. This court left this interloper in the case, thereby underscoring its own tolerance not only of "obscenity trafficking" to persons of all ages but also of this obscenity traffickers insinuation of himself into this case.

Third, the most foolish thing this court could do in this regard is grant Mr. Kent intervenor status, thereby underscoring a) the court's participation with Mr. Kent in his typical legal terrorism tactics, and b) Mr. Kent's already heavily documented placement

of himself at the epicenter of The Bar's criminal assault upon Thompson's constitutional rights. Mr. Kent, by his latest motion, has exquisitely and unintentionally proven what The Bar itself already knows—that Mr. Kent is ultimately its most abiding problem in its effort to infringe upon Thompson's First Amendment rights. This is why The Bar, too late to avoid liability arising from Mr. Kent's legal terrorism, jettisoned Mr. Kent's SLAPP Bar complaints propped up by Kent's own mendacity and unethical conduct. This court might want to ring up John Harkness at The Florida Bar and ask him how its utilization of Kent has worked out.

Fourth, apparently Mr. Kent is incapable of rational thought in this and possibly other matters. For someone who claims to be Jewish to miss the relevant historical point that the Third Reich's rise to power was facilitated by Hitler's disbarment of all Jewish lawyers, and that The Florida Bar's analogous assault upon lawyers who act upon their faith is not just sad—it is ridiculous. But then Mr. Kent is a lawyer who actually sought a court order mandating that Thompson stop quoting Old and New Testament Scripture. Even Hitler did not seek such a “remedy.” Mr. Kent, given his incredible levels of intolerance for people of faith, neither understands the Holocaust nor tolerates anyone who does.

Fifth, if Mr. Kent is successful in intervening in this action, with the court's permission, then not only will that be of great import in the Eleventh Circuit, but also it will be of great import to this District Court. If successful in his intervention, then Mr. Kent will a) provide Thompson another ground for Thompson's civil rights action he is drafting against Mr. Kent, and b) subject himself, as record counsel herein, to this court's

supervision. Mr. Kent will then have his own Ad Hoc Committee problems and deservedly so.

In the latter regard, since Mr. Kent has admitted in state court pleadings that he consumes marijuana without a medical prescription, and in light of the fact that a number of the bizarre writings Mr. Kent sends Thompson seem to indicate that Mr. Kent composes and sends them while impaired, one of the first orders of business, should Mr. Kent successfully intervene where he has heretofore only interloped, will be a motion for Mr. Kent to undergo a long overdue mental health exam, including drug testing. Mr. Kent has spent twenty years demanding such examinations of Thompson. What's good for the goose is good for the gander, especially when the gander acts like a loon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing has been provided to opposing counsel through the court's electronic filing system, this November 14, 2007.

/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net