

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and  
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.


**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR COURT TO RULE**

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, on his own behalf, and moves this court to rule on his pending Rule 60(d)(3) motion, stating:

1. Plaintiff, with all respect for this court, is deserving of a) full consideration of his pending Rule 60(d)(3) motion and b) a ruling thereon before the sixth anniversary of The Florida Bar's illegal assault upon his right to practice law and upon his First Amendment rights of religion, petition, speech, and association, not to mention his due process rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

2. If this court had granted plaintiff the relief to which he is now clearly entitled back in October 2007 the parties and this court would not now be troubled with this motion. This court was lied to repeatedly by defendants' counsel, as indicated and as proven in the unrebutted record showings of plaintiff, whose disbarment would have been impossible without the fraud perpetrated upon this court. Why this court is not the least bit concerned that it was lied to is unknown to plaintiff.

3. More specifically, this court has unrebutted record proof of the fraud of The Florida Bar and its supervising entity, the Florida Supreme Court, in prohibiting him




from filing a Petition for Review of the Referee's Report. This federal court has un rebutted record proof that Bar Rule 3-7.17 The Bar and the Florida Supreme Court have cited to prohibit such a filing did not exist until a year after Thompson was **disbarred.** Again, if this Article III court does not mind being lied to by The Florida Bar and by the Florida Supreme Court, then legal anarchy is indeed upon us.

4. If this federal court intends to sit on this motion until the cows come home, then it should take judicial notice of this fact: The cows ain't coming home.

5. Better that this court deny Thompson's unopposed motion and in doing so ignore the irrefutable record showing as to fraud by which this court's earlier dismissal of this action was procured, so that Thompson can then bring an original, independent action for fraud, as Rule 60(d)(1) provides, before he turns seventy years of age.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully moves this court to rule so that Thompson can prevail without obstruction from both The Bar and this inactive court.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel for The Florida Bar, Barry Richard, and for Dava Tunis, Charles Fahlbusch, this February 23, 2010.



/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff  
5721 Riviera Drive  
Coral Gables, Florida 33146  
Phone: 305-666-4366  
amendmentone@comcast.net