

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.


PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE TO THE COURT

COMES NOW plaintiff *pro se* John B. Thompson and hereby provides notice to the court, and the parties, as follows:

Plaintiff has received the court's fully anticipated order of September 30, 2010, by which it refuses to address the fraud upon this court by the defendants. The court chooses to ignore in its order *the most consequential fraud of them all* because the fact that it occurred is wholly irrefutable by the parties and the court:

Barry Richard and Karusha Sharpe of Greenberg Traurig felt so strongly about the significance of the opinion in *The Florida Bar v. Mason* case that the two of them signed a Notice of Supplemental Legal Authority and filed it so that this court could weigh the issue of federal abstention in light of what they felt was the crucial holding in *Mason*.

Mason was provided to this court by these two delightful advocates because, the court in *Mason* asserts, THE RIGHT OF A FLORIDA BAR RESPONDENT TO ASSERT AND ARGUE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL DEFENSES TO THE BOARD OF BAR GOVERNORS DIRECTLY AFFORDS THAT RESPONDENT A USEFUL, PRE-



TRIAL MEANS OF DEFENDING HIMSELF FROM AN IMPROPER, UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROSECUTION PRIOR TO HIS BAR TRIAL.

After this court abstained from giving Thompson relief, Thompson demanded in writing his right to argue his constitutional defenses to the Board of Governors, as *Mason guarantees*. He was denied that right by The Bar's President, Frank Angones, because Barry Richard told Angones not to extend Thompson that right. When Thompson called and asked Richard why, Richard said, so help me God, "The court in *Mason* was wrong."

So here we have Barry Richard citing *Mason* to this court, and then turning around, once he has the coveted abstention order in hand with Judge Jordan's name on it, telling his client, The Bar, to deny Thompson the very relief that Richard told this court Thompson would surely have before the Board of Governors. There is a cartoon figure, Lucy of *Peanuts*, who shows us all what Richard did to Thompson. Thompson is certain this court finds this all very amusing:



It is obvious that The Bar's telling the court that Thompson has a venue in which to raise constitutional defenses—before the Governors, pre-trial, when it then refuses him that right, thereby denying Thompson the remedy he is promised, is *fraud upon the court*.

And that right was not denied because Thompson was pro se. There was no order prohibiting him from proceeding *pro se* anywhere but before the Supreme Court itself.

It does indeed amuse Thompson that this court, renowned for its alleged intellectual acumen, can absurdly state that when a lawyer has his three record counsel extorted by The Bar into not representing him then he, Thompson, “has himself to blame.” Funny line, Judge. This judicial absurdity is akin to killing someone's spouse and with the murderer snarling at the murderer, “Even your wife left you.”

All this September 30 order—this smug judicial endorsement of fraud upon the court—does is make all the sweeter the coming unraveling of this entire, sordid abortion of due process. This order is the last nail in the coffin of The Florida Bar. Plaintiff appreciates this gift, the value of which its donors cannot comprehend. It will certainly be used to make certain that its author *never* achieves higher judicial office.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel for The Florida Bar and Dava Tunis by mailing it to the clerk of this court, who will then provide it via the court's CM/ECF electronic system from which plaintiff is banned, this September 30, 2010. Thompson has also provided it, as a courtesy, to the above via e-mail on this date.



JOHN B. THOMPSON,
Plaintiff *pro se*
5721 Riviera Drive
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net