

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN B. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 07-21256 (Judge Adalberto Jordan)

THE FLORIDA BAR and
DAVA J. TUNIS,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED NOTICE TO COURT

COMES NOW plaintiff, John B. Thompson, hereinafter Thompson, as an attorney on his own behalf, and provides notice to the court as follows:

1. The Florida Bar claims to be an “arm of the Supreme Court” and thus a part of the state government of Florida. Plaintiff thinks that it is not, and he has now asked this court to rule, in a declaratory judgment action, that it in law and fact is not.

2. However, if The Bar is an agency or subset of the State, then it must abide by what government at all levels in this country, at least since passage of the Fourteenth Amendment, has understood to be “the right of the people to petition their government for a redress of grievances.” This same *absolute* right is also embodied in the State Constitution of Florida, Article 5.

3. While The Florida Bar claims to be part of our state government, it surely is not acting like it. It has exempted itself from all sorts of obligations attendant to being a state governmental entity, as set forth in the third amended complaint. Thompson has repeatedly sought to communicate with state officials who call themselves Bar Governors as well as “Guardians of Democracy.” They refuse even to accept such communications.

4. The lead “Guardian” is Frank Angones, current Bar President. The below is an e-mail message he just received from Angones this day, who has blocked, as the court and anyone can see, a communication to him in his capacity as Bar President:

<fra@amglaw.com>

Permanent Failure: [553 mailbox fra@amglaw.com is restricted](#)
Delivery last attempted at Mon, 27 Aug 2007 15:10:15 -0000

5. Plaintiff has even also been told that he is not to send letters, via the U.S. mails, to his Bar President. This ongoing attempt to build a “Chinese wall” between Thompson and those who are supposedly superintending The Bar is a self-inflicted wound which shows that The Bar is more interested in “plausible deniability” in its dealings with Thompson than in doing the job it what commissioned and charged by the Supreme Court to do. This obstructionism is consequential; it is in fact fatal.

6. This is just the latest proof of The Bar’s nonfeasance. The Bar has arranged it so that Thompson’s settlement offers are insulated from the Bar Governors, so thoroughly so that The Bar’s esteemed record counsel herein, Barry Richard, has told Thompson “Frank Angones has no idea what is going on regarding any of this.”

7. Mr. Angones is about to find out.

I HEREBY SOLEMNLY AFFIRM that the foregoing, under penalty of perjury, is a true, correct, and complete recitation of facts, so help me God.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this has been served upon record counsel this 27th day of August, 2007, electronically.

/s/ JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiff
Attorney, Florida Bar #231665
1172 South Dixie Hwy., Suite 111
Coral Gables, Florida 33146
Phone: 305-666-4366
amendmentone@comcast.net