UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO. 10-CV-22236-ASG/GOODMAN

HOWARD ADELMAN AND JUDITH SCLAWY as Co-Personal Representatives of the ESTATE OF MICHAEL SCLAWY-ADELMAN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; THE SOUTH FLORIDA COUNCIL INC., BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; PLANTATION UNITED METHODIST CHURCH; HOWARD K. CROMPTON, Individually, and ANDREW L. SCHMIDT, Individually,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR REHEARING OR MODIFICATION OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

COME NOW the Plaintiffs' HOWARD ADELMAN AND JUDITH SCLAWY as Co-Personal Representatives of the ESTATE OF MICHAEL SCLAWY-ADELMAN, by and through their undersigned attorneys and move this Honorable Court for the entry of an Order of Rehearing on and/or Modification of its Order on Motion for Reconsideration [D.E. 222] and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

1. In the Court's Order on Motion for Reconsideration [D.E. 222] the Court noted that

the billing records from T-Mobile showed that two text messages were sent to the decedent's cell

phone on the date of the hike (May 9, 2009). This Court went on to further hold:

If it were possible, the Court would direct the telephone expert (Mr. Conrad) to produce before the parties the substance of these two text messages and only these two text messages). However, there does not appear to be any

technologically viable way to release only those two messages and not the other 186 messages. In particular, the parties advised the Court at today's hearing that Mr. Conrad cannot determine which text messages were sent on May 9, 2009.

[D.E. 222].

2. The Court has made it clear that the basis for its conclusion is that the two text messages sent on May 9, 2009 are discoverable, however, that the other text messages are not. Nevertheless, the Court has indicated that it is unaware of any other "technologically viable way to release only these two messages and not the other 186 messages."

3. In its conclusory paragraph, the Court further indicated that it was "willing to evaluate any good faith motion advocating a different assessment." It appears that Mr. Conrad's entire report was not attached to the Defendant Crompton's Motion for Reconsideration, which is why a technologically viable way to separate the two text messages was not clear at the time of the Court's Order. A complete copy is attached as Exhibit "2" hereto.

4. Attached as Exhibit "1" is a copy of the phone record from T-Mobile, which was discussed in the hearing this morning and which was attached to the Defendant's Crompton's Motion for Consideration as an exhibit. This document shows that there were two text messages sent to Michael's phone on May 9, 2009. The T-Mobile records further indicate the phone numbers of the callers from which these text messages originated: to wit (1) 954-529-2696 and (2) 954-554-4668.¹

5. A copy of Mr. Conrad's entire report is attached as Exhibit "2" hereto. As reflected therein he was able to identify the phone numbers for each of the text messages, which were sent to Michael's phone, but not the dates. Therefore, while it may not be possible to limit the production

¹ The Plaintiff has placed an arrow next to the two text messages on Exhibit "1" in handwriting to assist the Court.

to only the two specific text messages sent on May 9, 2009, it is technologically possible to limit the production to those text messages <u>originating from the senders of those two text messages</u> by having Mr. Conrad produce only those text messages originating from the two phone numbers identified above.

6. In review of the report prepared by Mr. Conrad, this will reduce the number of text messages to be produced to only six (6), which will include the two that were sent on May 9, 2009.²

7. The Plaintiff would further note that it is not necessary to even produce any of the texts to identify their senders, since that can be done by using the index contained at pages 4 and 5 of Mr. Conrad's report, which lists a name and phone number.

8. According to the invitation of the Court contained in its Order on Motion for Reconsideration [D.E. 222] for the parties to propose alternative technologically feasible methods of accomplishing the purposes set forth in the Court's order, the Plaintiff moves this Honorable Court to modify its order to require Mr. Conrad to produce only those text messages sent from phone numbers 954-529-3696 and 954-554-4668, which will include the two sent on May 9, 2009.

Dated: June 7, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert D. Peltz **ROBERT D. PELTZ** (Fla. Bar No. 220418) E-mail: <u>peltz@leesfield.com</u> **LEESFIELD & PARTNERS, P.A.** 2350 S. Dixie Highway Miami, Florida 33133 Telephone: (305) 854-4900 Facsimile: (305) 854-8266 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*

² The Plaintiff has indicated the 6 text messages originating from the senders of the two text messages with handwritten arrows on Exhibit "2" to assist the Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 7, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the Service List below in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

/s/ Robert D. Peltz ROBERT D. PELTZ

SERVICE LIST

IRA H. LEESFIELD ROBERT D. PELTZ

E-mail: leesfield@leesfield.com peltz@leesfield.com

LEESFIELD & PARTNERS, P.A. 2350 S. Dixie Highway Miami, Florida 33133 Telephone: 305-854-4900 Facsimile: 305-854-8266 *Attorneys for the Plaintiffs*

FREDERICK E. HASTY, III

Email: <u>fhasty@wickersmith.com</u> WICKER, SMITH, O'HARA, MCCOY, GRAHAM & FORD, P.A. 2800 Ponce de Leon Blvd. Suite 800 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Telephone: 305-448-3939 Facsimile: 305-441-1745

Attorneys for Howard K. Crompton and Andrew L. Schmidt

UBALDO J. PEREZ, JR., ESQ.

Email: uperez@uperezlaw.com Law Office of Ubaldo J. Perez, Jr., P.A. 8181 NW 154th Street, Suite 210 Miami Lakes, FL 33016 Telephone: (305) 722-8954 Facsimile: (305) 722-8956 *Co-Counsel for Howard K. Crompton*

WILLIAM S. REESE WILLIAM SUMMERS KEVIN D. FRANZ

Email: <u>wreese@lanereese.com</u> <u>kfranz@lanereese.com</u>

wsummers@lanereese.com

LANE, REESE, SUMMERS, ENNIS & PERDOMO, P.A. 2600 Douglas Road Douglas Centre, Suite 304 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Telephone: 305-444-4418 Facsimile: 305-444-5504 Attorneys for Boys Scouts of America and The South Florida Council, Inc.; Boy Scouts of America

GREG M. GAEBE

Email: ggaebe@gaebemullen.com GAEBE, MULLEN, ANTONELLI & DIMATTEO 420 South Dixie Highway, 3rd Floor Coral Gables, FL 33146 305-667-0223 305-284-9844 – Fax Attorneys for Plantation United Methodist Church