HOWARD ADELMAN and JUDITH SCLAWY-ADELMAN, as Co-Personal Representative of the Estate of MICHAEL SCLAWY-ADELMAN

COPY

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA,
THE SOUTH FLORIDA COUNCIL, INC.;
BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA;
PLANTATION UNITED METHODIST CHURCH;
HOWARD K. CROMPTON, individually;
and ANDREW L. SCHMIDT,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF CARTER CONRAD, JR.

VOLUME 2

(PAGES 162 - 217)

August 4, 2011 1:00 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.

444 West Railroad Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Reported By: Barbara J. Shandell, RPR Notary Public, State of Florida



1	
1	THE WITNESS: Based on data
2	provided by the carrier, that appears to
3	be the case, yes, sir.
4	BY MR. PELTZ:
5	Q. Actually we have a few extra?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. But the two that the carrier indicates are
8	included within that six?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Let me ask you about something that
11	Mr. Levin kind of skipped over.
12	In addition to performing an analysis on
13	Michael's phone, did the court also ask you to perform
14	an analysis on Mr. Crompton's Blackberry?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And is Exhibit 4 your report as a result
17	of that request?
18	A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
19	Q. Now, initially, was there a problem that
20	you had in complying with the court's order as to the
21	Blackberry because of not having the password?
22	A. I indicated to parties that one of the
23	characteristics of a Blackberry device is that it can
24	engage in a secure wiping procedure if the inaccurate
25	password is not produced with or if an inaccurate

password is attempted to be -- to log onto a phone. 1 So I had requested on a phone conversation 2 with Mr. Hasty that that information be provided in an 3 attempt to, obviously, not overwrite data and create an issue where spoilation occurs. 5 Let me just see if I can interpret that in 6 7 lay terminology. Were you concerned that some Blackberries 8 have a feature that if you try and access the 9 information without having a password, they may 10 automatically wipe out the information on the phone? 11 MR. LEVIN: Form, asked and 12 answered. 13 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 14 BY MR. PELTZ: 15 And you wanted to avoid that possibility Q. 16 and -- because you didn't want to destroy any data 17 that might be there? 18 That's correct. 19 And so as a result of that, did you have Q. 20 some conversations with Mr. Hasty and/or Mr. Crompton 21 about trying to secure the password? 22 MR. LEVIN: Form. 23 THE WITNESS: The conversation was 24 with Mr. Hasty, although I believe Mr. --25

1	he indicated to me I was on the road at
2	the time and I believe he indicated that
3	Mr. Crompton was in the office, but I
4	don't I don't recall having direct
5	dialog with him.
6	BY MR. PELTZ:
7	Q. And some of the e-mails in the how many
8	pages did we say there were?
9	MR. LEVIN: 199.
10	BY MR. PELTZ:
11	Q. Did some of the e-mails
12	MR. LEVIN: There's still just 54
13	e-mails. That hasn't changed.
14	BY MR. PELTZ:
15	Q. Some of the e-mails in the 199 pages refer
16	to this issue of you trying to get the password and
17	reasons why you needed it?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And so that had some delay in your
20	attempting to access his phone?
21	A. That's correct.
22	MR. LEVIN: Form.
23	BY MR. PELTZ:
24	Q. Were you ever able to get a password?
25	A. The acquisition was completed

successfully, although there was no password required 1 for the phone. 2 So it turned out afterwards that there Q. 3 really -- there was no password required? That's correct. 5 Α. You performed the test -- the analysis set 6 Q. forth by the court in its order that's been marked as Exhibit 20? 8 That's correct. Α. And in your report, you indicate that 10 Q. there was no data found responsive on May 8th or 9th? 11 Yes, sir. That's correct. 12 Now, we have had through -- we know 13 Q. through other discovery in this case, particularly 14 depositions of the 911 people, that Mr. Crompton, in 15 fact, made calls on May 9th using his Blackberry. 16 Do you know why there -- none of those 17 calls would be reflected during the acquisition you 18 19 had attempted? MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 20 THE WITNESS: I can't render an 21 opinion about that. Only the time that 22 the phone was in my custody I could be 23 accountable for. There could be numerous 24 reasons which, again, I have no basis for

commenting on.

2

BY MR. PELTZ:

3

4

Would you have expected that there would Q.

MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate, asked

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been records of those calls on a Blackberry?

and answered. The expectation is THE WITNESS: going to be dependent upon the use of any electronic storage subsequent to the time frame that's -- where the expectation that

the data would have resided.

In other words, if a device were to continue to be used, it is possible that data can be overwritten or purged out of the capacity for it to be retained, but I don't have any -- I don't -- again, I only looked at the phone in regard to the data that was from 2009, May 8 and 9, and found that there was no data on the phone from those time frames.

BY MR. PELTZ:

Do you recall -- and I don't want to get Q. into the substance of any of this, but do you recall whether there was any data for prior time periods which would have indicated whether or not the storage

1	capacity of the phone had still not been reached as of
2	May 8th or 9th?
3	MR. LEVIN: I'm going to instruct
4	you not to answer that question.
5	To answer that question, he's going
6	to have to break the
7	MR. PELTZ: That's not true at all.
8	MR. LEVIN: Yeah.
9	MR. PELTZ: I've asked him
10	MR. LEVIN: No. You're asking him
11	to
12	MR. PELTZ: I'm going to ask the
13	question. I'm going to ask you to make
14	whatever instruction you want and then I'm
15	going to move to compel.
16	MR. LEVIN: I'm going to ask
17	that okay, read back the question
18	please, Madam Court Reporter.
19	(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
20	record was read by the reporter as above
21	recorded.)
22	BY MR. PELTZ:
23	Q. Let me I think the question is clear,
24	but let me just make it even clearer.
25	You've indicated that one of the

possibilities that there would be no record of the 1 phone calls that Mr. Crompton -- we know Mr. Crompton 2 made from our depositions of the 911 people is that 3 there could have been limited storage capacity that 4 could have been filled up which would then somehow 5 delete or dump prior data; is that one possibility? 6 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 7 Data can be THE WITNESS: 8 overwritten if the capacity is exceeded, 9 then the newer data is saved and older 10 data is overwritten. 11 BY MR. PELTZ: 12 So dumped was the wrong word, but 13 Q. overwritten would be the correct word? 14 That's a possibility, one of many. 15 Α. Right. 16 Q. In order to determine whether that 17 possibility, in fact, occurred in this case, would one 18 of the things you would look at would be whether or 19 not there had been information contained in the 20 Blackberry for a time period prior to May 8 and 9? 21 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 22 THE WITNESS: In order not to 23 prejudice and I believe I --24 MR. PELTZ: I'm talking 25

hypothetically now. I'm not asking 1 about --2 MR. LEVIN: If you have to rely on 3 any of the information that was actually 4 in the phone outside of that time frame to 5 answer any of his questions, my position 6 is that's within the court's order and I 7 would instruct you not to answer. 8 MR. PELTZ: That was not my 9 10 question. MR. LEVIN: He was thinking. 11 BY MR. PELTZ: 12 Listen to my question. 13 Q. Would one of the ways to be -- to 14 determine whether data -- strike that. 15 Would one of the ways to determine 16 whether -- strike that. I'm sorry. It's after 7:00, 17 I know we've all been here for a long time. Let me 18 try one more time. 19 When the data is overwritten, and 20 referring to data regarding the phone calls on this 21 type of Blackberry, is it done sequentially or 22 chronologically? 23 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 24 THE WITNESS: Generally older data 25

is overwritten, newer data is saved. 1 BY MR. PELTZ: 2 Is the older data that is overwritten Q. 3 the -- was it done chronologically? For example, you know, say you reach 5 your -- hypothetically you reach your storage capacity 6 on May 10th and you have, say, 30 days storage 7 capacity, I know it doesn't work that way, but just --8 does that mean when it starts to override the data 9 that it would start on April 10th and then -- I 10 mean -- yeah, April 10th, and then the next day would 11 be April 11th and so on? 12 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate, move 13 to strike. 14 BY MR. PELTZ: 15 It's a poor question. 16 Q. Do you understand what I'm trying to get 17 at? 18 MR. LEVIN: Form. 19 THE WITNESS: The --20 MR. LEVIN: Is that a question? I 21 guess it is. Do you understand what I'm 22 trying to get at? Is that a --23 THE WITNESS: Generally, the way --24 and I'll just restate what I've already 25

said. Generally, the way that data is 1 captured is that the newer data is 2 retained, the older data is overwritten. 3 It isn't some sort of a random insertion 4 of data in the middle of a capture of 5 data. 6 In other words, if you had 7 information from day one to day 31, if you 8 go to day one of the next month, it isn't 9 going to typically overwrite day 15 of the 10 previous month. It would begin to 11 overwrite the oldest of the entries. 12 BY MR. PELTZ: 13 Which would be day one? 14 Q. MR. LEVIN: Let him finish. 15 Form, predicate, move to strike. 16 17 BY MR. PELTZ: Is that correct? 18 Q. Which would have been day one of the month Α. 19 that had elapsed previously. 20 Am I correct in understanding then that Q. 21 normally that when data is overwritten in the context 22 we're talking about, that it would be overwritten in a 23 chronological basis? 24 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate.

1 THE WITNESS: If that's --2 BY MR. PELTZ: 3 If it's a capacity issue? Q. Α. 4 Yes. 5 MR. LEVIN: Same objection. 6 BY MR. PELTZ: 7 So with regard to -- if we wanted to Q. determine whether the reason that the phone calls that 8 Mr. Crompton made on May 9th did not have any 9 corresponding data, at least that you could acquire, 10 if we want to determine whether that was due to the 11 capacity of the Blackberry having been reached and 12 then data started to be overwritten, would you be able 13 to make that determination by seeing if there was data 14 or references of phone calls prior to that date? 15 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 16 THE WITNESS: Hypothetically, yes. 17 BY MR. PELTZ: 18 And just to make sure I understand that, 19 Q. in other words, if in your analysis you had determined 20 hypothetically that there was a record of phone calls 21 made on May 7th and May 6th still in his Blackberry, 22 would that have indicated to you that you would not 23 have expected the data from May 8th and 9th to have 24 been overwritten due to the Blackberry reaching it's 25

-	
1	capacity?
2	MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the case.
4	I would have I would have expected that
5	the oldest data would have been
6	overwritten.
7	BY MR. PELTZ:
8	Q. Could you tell from your analysis whether
9	or not the reason that there was no record of the
10	phone calls made by Mr. Crompton on May 9th was likely
11	due to the Blackberry having reached its capacity and
12	starting to override data?
13	MR. LEVIN: I'm going to say he
14	can't answer that because he has to
15	divulge information that is outside of the
16	time period.
17	MR. PELTZ: I totally disagree.
18	Certify the question.
19	MR. LEVIN: Fine.
20	I would I mean, you're not
21	represented by myself or Mr. Peltz. You
22	don't have your own counsel here, but
23	MR. PELTZ: I'm not asking for the
24	substance of
25	MR. LEVIN: You're getting

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MR. PELTZ: anything. I want to
2	find out
3	MR. LEVIN: I'm going to make I
4	think what you're doing is very improper.
5	You're giving the witness information in
6	evidence that, first of all, hasn't been
7	established. Second of all
8	MR. PELTZ: I think you're making a
9	speaking objection, which I don't think is
10	really proper.
11	MR. LEVIN: In this situation
12	MR. PELTZ: If you have an
13	objection
14	MR. LEVIN: to enforce a
15	limitation on a court order, a privilege
16	under the Rule of Federal Procedure, I
17	can. Okay. And
18	MR. PELTZ: I don't think
19	MR. LEVIN: I'm trying to do
20	it the transcript will you're going
21	into something that is you're tightrope
22	walking right now and I didn't, and I
23	think what you're doing is wrong.
24	And I'm telling him that he's
25	getting very close to the edge and you're

encouraging him to step over it. 1 So you're free to do what you want, 2 sir, but there is court orders on this. 3 And if we need to have another one on this 4 topic, then I think that's probably the 5 best way to proceed. 6 THE WITNESS: I'd like to respond 7 8 just --MR. LEVIN: Sure. 9 In harmony with the THE WITNESS: 10 court's order, there was no attempt to 11 look at data other than May 8 and 9, 2009. 12 MR. LEVIN: So then to answer --13 THE WITNESS: So I have --14 MR. LEVIN: -- his questions that 15 he's just been asking you, you wouldn't 16 know the answer to any. 17 MR. PELTZ: Are you coaching him or 18 are you testifying for him? 19 MR. LEVIN: I allowed you to ask 20 questions out of turn when we had issues 21 along the lines of what's protected and 22 what's not. And I would expect the same 23 courtesy at this juncture. 24 If you're not going to give it to 25

me, then this will be the last comment I 1 make on it. 2 MR. PELTZ: Were you finished with 3 your response? THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 BY MR. PELTZ: 6 Do you still have the Blackberry? 7 Q. It's in our safe. I do. 8 What would be the other potential causes 9 that you could think of that the Blackberry would not 10 have information relating to the calls that we know 11 Mr. Crompton made on May 9th? 12 MR. LEVIN: Form, predicate. 13 And if you'd have to dwell on 14 knowledge you have from data outside the 15 date range, again, your answer will be 16 based on information that the parties 17 cannot know about. 18 MR. PELTZ: I totally disagree with 19 that, but at this point I'm asking what 20 are the other possibilities. 21 There are various --THE WITNESS: 22 and I've already testified to this, there 23 are various means of data not being 24 available in an electronic storage 25

environment. There's mechanical failure.

There's capacity being overrun. There's

malicious attempt. I can't comment on any

of that relative to this device.

I can comment that there was no data that was responsive to the May 8th or 9th, 2009 time frame on the Blackberry.

And that is as far as my analysis went in order not to prejudice myself, just like I testified five hours ago about not wanting to know details about the case. I have made an over-attempt to stay as a neutral party in this action.

BY MR. PELTZ:

response to Mr. Levin's questions that you did look at the other text messages just briefly in order to see if they were readable in the proper format and without going into the substance. And of course, those questions, which I guess you know are very similar to the questions I'm asking, I just want to know at this point in the context so that we can either rule in or rule out capacity problem as to why the phone calls that we know were made aren't reflected?

MR. LEVIN: And you're asking him

to do that based on his review of data 1 outside the time period. At this point --MR. PELTZ: 3 I didn't ask him to MR. LEVIN: 4 answer any questions based on his review 5 of the text messages outside of the limit. 6 I asked him if he reviewed them --7 MR. PELTZ: I'm just asking --8 MR. LEVIN: -- and I ended it 9 10 there. I'm just asking whether MR. PELTZ: 11 data existed. I'm not asking what it was 12 or what the content was. And the only reason I'm asking it is to determine 14 whether we can rule in or rule out 15 capacity or if it leads to some other 16 reason to explain why phone calls we know 17 were made were not made. 18 And if you feel uncomfortable, we 19 can go to the court and get another --20 I would encourage MR. LEVIN: 21 you -- I feel uncomfortable right now. 22 don't -- I'm telling you, this is -- I 23 don't think this is proper territory 24 without some sort of court guidance here. 25

And I would -- you know, you're putting everybody in a precarious situation including me and the deponent.

You're trying to glean information that he may or may not know. You're trying to get an opinion from him without establishing a proper predicate. You're feeding him evidence in this case that he knows nothing about and that isn't even established properly. And you're asking him to answer these question —

MR. PELTZ: Your client has testified he made phone calls under oath. Are you saying that's not established in the record in this case?

MR. LEVIN: I didn't say that.

MR. PELTZ: Yes, you did. That's the only thing I've asked him to assume is the fact that we know that the phone calls were made by Mr. Crompton on his Blackberry because he testified to it and it's in the 911 records that we've all sat through these depositions.

So for you to say that evidence is not in this record and that I'm feeding

him something --1 MR. LEVIN: You protested --2 MR. PELTZ: -- is totally filaceous 3 and disingenuous. 4 MR. LEVIN: You protested the 5 authenticity of the 911 records while we 6 were sitting in the Collier County --7 while I was sitting in the Collier County 8 Sheriff's Office, sir. 9 So for you to make that comment to 10 me is disingenuous. 11 MR. PELTZ: I'm not going to --12 MR. LEVIN: Bob, it's late. You 13 know, I'm going to take a little break. 14 I'm going to the bathroom. I'm going to 15 have to make a phone call. I have to 16 figure out what to do in this situation 17 with these questions. 18 (A brief recess was taken.) 19 MR. LEVIN: Okay. We're back on 20 the record. 21 I made a phone call to Rick Hasty. 22 Our position is that we're going to move 23 for protective order and suspend the 24 deposition. I've already made my

comments.

The deposition was noticed by my office. The questioning has gotten into areas that invade — it may invade the court orders that are asking for expert opinions, asking for speculation from somebody who's a neutral court appointed expert. We're getting past fact questions. We're getting into just areas that we need clarification from the court.

So on those grounds and as well as the others that were stated before, we're going to move for protective order and suspend the deposition until the issues with this line of questioning can be resolved, and that's our position.

MR. PELTZ: I'd like the record to reflect that while we were off the record, Mr. Levin asked me whether I would agree to hold this line of questioning in abeyance and continue on with the rest of my questioning on other unrelated areas so that we could get a court order on that.

Then I told Mr. Levin that I would be happy to accommodate him, as I'm sure

the other people in this room would testify to, if push comes to shove, and that I would be happy to move on, let me finish my deposition in the other areas. And if the witness felt uncomfortable in answering or if you felt that there was some potential violation of the court order, that I would be happy to go ahead and allow the parties to go ahead and seek guidance from the court.

In response -- and I repeated that offer to you when you were outside before you came back in here. And the reason -- and you've rejected that.

And I want that clear, because what's going to end up happening is that we're going to now have to come back up here again. And I am going to move for sanctions in the form of attorney's fees and costs, because it's totally unnecessary.

What I'm willing to do is to go
ahead and limit the area of controversy
that we have to just a few discrete
questions and to handle that separately

J

n 1

and then go on and complete the rest of the deposition so that we don't have to come back up here.

If the court rules I'm entitled to that information, we can either get it by -- through a phone deposition or some other way rather than driving up two hours and driving back two hours for something that is needless, but you're making that impossible.

And so in that context, I am going to ask for fees and costs for having to go through that needless exercise.

I mean, I've made it clear and I'll make the offer once again on the record.

I mean, I do not want to put the witness in a situation if he feels uncomfortable or if you're concerned that there has been a -- you know, the question violates the court's order, which I disagree with, but I'm willing to go ahead and, you know, as long as we agree that I can present that to the court and I can follow-up and if the court rules in my favor and get answers to that separately and move on

with the rest of the deposition.

I'd like to also point out that we've been here since 1:00, that I guess the transcript will reflect that during that time I've only been questioning, I would imagine, for less than an hour. It's now 7:30.

I mean, I think we've been very patient with this entire process. And I just think that what you're doing is creating needless work and expense for everyone as well as for the witness, because the court is going to obviously let me finish my deposition.

Your co-Defendant has questions
that he wants to ask and you don't even
want to let us set aside the one issue
that we seem to have disagreement on and
handle that separately and complete the
depo. So that's my response.

MR. LEVIN: We're going to move for a protective order and we're going to suspend the deposition.

MR. PELTZ: Okay. Then I guess whatever the consequences of that will be,

will be.

I just want to further clarify that as I made it clear in my questioning that I was not in any way asking the witness, nor did I intend to go into anything regarding the substance of any phone calls or text messages that were in Mr. Crompton's Blackberry either before or after March 7th or March 8th.

The question that you have decided to suspend the deposition on was limited to solely was whether there was data in the computer -- whether there was data in his Blackberry that had been recorded either before or after that date without getting into the substance of it.

And the sole reason for that inquiry was to determine whether or not the reason that there was no data for the phone calls we know were made was due to a storage issue.

THE REPORTER: Do you want me to type it up?

MR. LEVIN: Yes.

THE REPORTER: Do you want a copy?

1	MR. PELTZ: Oh, yes.
2	THE REPORTER: Do you want a copy?
3	MR. FRANZ: Not yet. I will let
4	you know.
5	MR. SCHEVIS: I don't need a copy.
6	(Thereupon, the deposition was
7	adjourned at 7:30 p.m.)
8	many with Many
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	~ಾ
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	