UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO. 10-CV-22236-ASG

HOWARD ADELMAN AND JUDITH SCLAWY as Co-Personal Representatives of the ESTATE OF MICHAEL SCLAWY-ADELMAN,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; THE SOUTH FLORIDA COUNCIL INC., BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; PLANTATION UNITED METHODIST CHURCH; HOWARD K. CROMPTON, Individually, and ANDREW L. SCHMIDT, Individually,

Defendants.		

STATUS REPORT TO MAGISTRATE PURSUANT TO ORDER ON MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER (DE # 64)

Pursuant to this Court's order dated December 2, 2010, (DE #64), counsel for all of the parties have conferred in an effort to resolve discovery disputes which were the basis of the Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order on Defendant Howard Crompton and Andrew Schmidt's Notices for Deposition Duces Tecum of Plaintiffs Howard Adelman and Judith Sclawy (DE # 61). The Parties have agreed to a feasible discovery schedule for counsel and the Court. The parties report to the Court, as follows:

1. On December 10, 2010, the Parties held a one hour conference call for the purpose of resolving pending discovery issues.

- 2. With specific regard to the Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order directed to the schedule of documents requested in the subpoena duces tecum filed by Defendants Crompton and Schmidt, the following has been agreed upon:
 - <u>Section I</u> asks the Plaintiffs to provide documents/materials that support the A. allegations of specific paragraphs of the Complaint. Plaintiffs maintain that producing documents/materials under Section I, as phrased, would require the clients to reveal the mental impressions and legal analysis of Plaintiffs' counsel as to which specific documents/materials support specific allegations of the complaint and thus, would impermissibly run afoul of the attorney work product doctrine. Sporck v. Peil, 759 F.2d 312, 315 (3d Cir. 1985); United States v. Pepper's Steel & Alloys, Inc., 132 F.R.D. 695, 699 (S.D. Fla. 1990); Hargroves v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 993 So.2d 978, 979 (Fla. 2d DCA 2007). Plaintiffs have already identified the documents/materials in their Rule 26 initial disclosures "that may be used to support the claims;" have made them available for the Defendants' all day inspection and review at the offices of Plaintiffs' counsel on November 24, 2010; and will have them copied for Defendants prior to the depositions of the Plaintiffs. The parties agree that the requests in Section I will be directed, if at all, to Plaintiffs' counsel rather than clients individually, and will be responded to by Counsel at the appropriate time prior to trial and as applicable.
 - B. Items requested in Section II have either been withdrawn, modified or produced, but Plaintiffs will make further inquiry to see if any additional information exists.

- C. Specifically, with respect to Section II, item L "Troop 111 "Spaghetti Dinner Fundraiser." Defendants will clarify.
- D. Paragraph II, item K "Ira Abram's Eagle Court of Honor." Same as #C.
- E. Paragraphs II, items E, F, G, H and J have already been produced to the Defendants at the day-long inspection of documents and items held at the offices of Plaintiffs' counsel on November 24, 2010. The documents/materials reviewed and tagged by all defense counsel are being copied at defendants' expense and will be made available to them at least one week prior to Plaintiffs' depositions.
- F. Section II, item A "GPS Data." Defendants already have all GPS data to which the Plaintiffs are privy. Counsel for Defendants Crompton and Schmidt is in physical possession of the actual GPS device which is the subject of stipulations filed with the Court on December 3, 2010 (DE#65). Further, the parties agree that the GPS system and all information to be obtained and/or derived from the device(s) will not be opened, downloaded or inspected unless <u>all</u> the parties are available with their experts to conduct said inspection simultaneously.
- G. Section II, item B is not in dispute.
- H. Section II, items C and D are documents generated by the Defendants and thus, within their custody or control. Plaintiffs submit that this information is not in their possession, but will make a further inquiry at the request of Defendants.
- I. With regard to Sections III through VI, inclusive, Plaintiffs have already produced all responsive documents/materials, which are not subject to prior objection. These

documents/material was produced at the inspection on November 24, 2010, and will

be copied as described in # E.

3. All counsel discussed the need for a workable discovery schedule and agreed to

provide deposition dates for the individual parties and for the representatives and employees of the

organizational/corporate parties so that dates could be cleared and the depositions could begin

forthwith.

4. All counsel agreed to maintain the phone and computer records and other electronic

records of their respective clients related to this incident, and to provide cell phone provider

information.

Dated: December 13, 2010 Miami, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ira H. Leesfield

Ira H. Leesfield, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 140270

LEESFIELD & PARTNERS, P.A.

Counsel for Plaintiffs

2350 South Dixie Highway

Miami, FL 33133

Telephone:

305-854-4900

Facsimile:

305-854-8266

e-mail: Leesfield@Leesfield.com

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on December 13, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

/s/ IRA H. LEESFIELD
IRA H. LEESFIELD, ESQ.

SERVICE LIST

HOWARD ADELMAN AND JUDITH SCLAWY-ADELMAN VS.

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, et al CASE NO.: 10-CV-22236-ASG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IRA H. LEESFIELD

LEESFIELD & PARTNERS, P.A. 2350 S. Dixie Highway Miami, Florida 33133

Telephone: 305-854-4900 Facsimile: 305-854-8266 E-mail: leesfield@leesfield.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

FREDERICK E. HASTY, III

WICKER, SMITH, O'HARA, MCCOY, GRAHAM & FORD, P.A.

Grove Plaza Building, 5th Floor

2900 Middle Street Miami, Florida 33133

Telephone: 305-448-3939 Facsimile: 305-441-1745

Email: <u>fhasty@wickersmith.com</u>
Attorneys for Howard K. Crompton and

Andrew L. Schmidt

WILLIAM S. REESE KEVIN D. FRANZ

Lane, Reese, Summers, Ennis &

PERDOMO, P.A. 2600 Douglas Road

Douglas Centre, Suite 304 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Telephone: 305-444-4418 Facsimile: 305-444-5504

Email: <u>wreese@lanereese.com</u>

kfranz@lanereese.com

Attorneys for Boys Scouts of America and The South Florida Council, Inc.; Boy Scouts of

America

GREG M. GAEBE

GAEBE, MULLEN, ANTONELLI & DIMATTEO 420 South Dixie Highway, 3rd Floor

Coral Gables, FL 33146

305-667-0223

305-284-9844 – Fax

Email: ggaebe@gaebemullen.com

Attorneys for Plantation United Methodist

Church