

# EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL CLEMINS, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No.

v.

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. and  
CHASE BANK USA, N.A.

Defendants.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Michael Clemins individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Class"), brings this class action against Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and Chase Bank USA, N.A. (collectively referred to as "CHASE" or the "Company"). Plaintiff seeks certification of this action as a class action. Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, submits this Class Action Complaint (the "Complaint") against the defendants named herein and alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This proposed class action stems from the illicit activities undertaken by CHASE while marketing and selling products associated with its credit cards known as "Chase Payment Protector," "Payment Protection," and other monikers that all offer similar coverage (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Payment Protection").

2. Although CHASE's Payment Protection is indistinguishable from a contract of credit insurance, Payment Protection is not marketed or sold as insurance. CHASE does not register Payment Protection with the Wisconsin Department of Insurance, thereby avoiding state regulation.

3. CHASE violated the law not only through the sale of a product that should be – but is not, due to its unlawful activities – subject to insurance regulations, but also by the deceptive and misleading manner in which it offers the Payment Protection plan to consumers, and the manner in which it administers claims for benefits by consumers.

4. CHASE markets Payment Protection through direct mail and telemarketing. It represents Payment Protection as a service that pays the required minimum monthly payment due on the subscriber's credit card account and excuses the subscriber from paying the monthly interest charge and the Payment Protection plan fee for a limited period of time, preventing the account from becoming delinquent. CHASE claims that this service "protects real people like you."

5. Despite its simple explanation for marketing purposes, CHASE's Payment Protection plan is a dense maze of limitations, exclusions and restrictions, making it impossible for consumers to determine what Payment Protection covers and whether it is a sound financial choice.

6. CHASE makes no effort to determine whether a cardholder is eligible for Payment Protection benefits at the time of sale. As a consequence, the Company bills thousands of retired persons (many of whom are senior citizens), along with the unemployed, those employed by family members, and part-time or seasonal Wisconsin

residents, as well as disabled individuals, for Payment Protection coverage, even though their employment or health status prevents them from receiving benefits under the plan.

7. Further, CHASE makes no effort to determine whether subscribers become ineligible for Payment Protection benefits after they are enrolled in the plan. Accordingly, when subscribers' employment or health status changes, they will continue to pay for the product even though they may no longer be eligible for benefits under the plan.

8. CHASE requires customers to enroll for Payment Protection coverage *before* it provides subscribers with the terms and conditions of the plan. After a subscriber is enrolled, the person may then affirmatively cancel the plan through what CHASE markets as a "30-day satisfaction guarantee." By not adequately disclosing the terms of Payment Protection coverage to consumers *before* they buy the product, CHASE is violating – among other things – the Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act §100.18.

9. Given the confusing way the written materials present the terms and conditions of Payment Protection, it would be extremely difficult for a subscriber to decipher those provisions.

10. CHASE has established its "customer service" support in such a way that subscribers cannot easily cancel the plan or receive answers to benefit questions. It has established its "claim filing" system in a way to make it difficult for subscribers to file claims or receive benefits for filed claims.

11. CHASE does not refund Payment Protection premiums after it has denied subscribers' claims for Payment Protection benefits, nor does it address subscribers'

continued obligations to pay the monthly fee for Payment Protection after a claim has been denied.

12. Payment Protection, is so confusing as to when coverage is triggered, so restricted in terms of the benefits it provides to subscribers, and processing claims is made so difficult by CHASE, that the product is essentially worthless.

13. CHASE knows that for those cardholders who choose to pay for Payment Protection, few will ever receive benefits under the plan and even for those who do receive benefits, the amounts paid in “premiums” will usually exceed any benefits paid out.

14. As a result of its misleading and deceptive marketing practices in connection with sales of Payment Protection, CHASE has increased its profits by many millions of dollars, all thanks to a product which provides virtually no benefits to thousands of Wisconsin residents who are nevertheless charged for the product month in and month out.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

15. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), in that:

- (a) This is a class action involving 100 or more class members,
- (b) Plaintiff, a citizen of the State of Wisconsin, is diverse in citizenship from Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and Chase Bank USA, N.A., which are incorporated in Delaware and have principal places of business in New York.

16. This case is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to and in accordance with Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that:

- (a) The class, which includes an unknown number of persons but certainly more than 100, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical;
- (b) There are substantial questions of law and fact common to the class including those set forth in greater particularity in Paragraph 68 herein;
- (c) This case is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that:
  - i. questions of law and fact enumerated below, which are all common to the class, predominate over any questions of law or fact affecting only individual members of the class;
  - ii. a class action is superior to any other type of action for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy;
  - iii. the relief sought in this class action will effectively and efficiently provide relief to all members of the class; and
  - iv. there are no unusual difficulties foreseen in the management of this class action.

17. The Court has personal jurisdiction over CHASE, which has at least minimum contacts with the State of Wisconsin because it has conducted business there and has availed itself of Wisconsin's markets through its promotion, sales, and marketing efforts.

18. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

19. This Court is a proper venue in which to bring this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, inasmuch as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within the district in which this Court sits.

## **PARTIES**

20. Plaintiff Michael Clemins (“Plaintiff”) resides in this district in Milwaukee County. Since 2001, Plaintiff has had a Chase Mastercard credit card in his name issued from CHASE bearing Payment Protection features.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant JPMorgan Chase & Co. is a publicly traded holding company incorporated in Delaware with a principal place of business in New York, New York. Service can be made upon its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chase Bank USA, N.A. operates a nationally chartered bank. Chase Bank USA, N.A. is incorporated in Delaware with a principal place of business in New York, New York. Service can be made upon its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

23. Payment Protection is self-described by CHASE as a non-insurance product. CHASE has not registered or identified Payment Protection as an insurance product with the Wisconsin Department of Insurance or other appropriate authorities.

24. However, upon information and belief, prior to developing and marketing Payment Protection, CHASE did sell credit insurance products, which it registered with appropriate state authorities. CHASE’s credit insurance products offered substantially the same type of coverage as what is offered today as Payment Protection.

25. Even though CHASE's previously offered credit insurance products were nearly indistinguishable from what is now offered as Payment Protection, CHASE does not designate Payment Protection an "insurance product" so it can avoid state regulation and charge higher fees for the product.

26. Upon information and belief, CHASE offers Payment Protection to all its credit card customers, but aggressively markets this product to vulnerable Wisconsin consumers who fall into the subprime credit category, or customers who have low credit limits because of impaired credit ratings.

27. CHASE markets Payment Protection as a service that will safeguard subscribers' credit card accounts by crediting the required minimum monthly credit card payments due in certain highly restricted circumstances, or permanently canceling accounts in other circumstances. In such circumstances, the subscribers are also not required to pay the monthly interest charges or the Payment Protection plan fee for the month in question.

28. CHASE also markets other "add-on" benefits associated with its Payment Protector plan, like telephone and online resources to manage personal/professional matters and daily credit monitoring, for an added cost.

29. CHASE sells Payment Protection to consumers through a number of different channels, including direct mail marketing, in which it may ask that the consumer "check the box" to initiate the plan, through telemarketing, where the consumer may be asked to press a button on the telephone keypad to approve initiation of the plan, or through unilaterally imposing the Payment Protection feature on a consumer's credit card.



30. CHASE shifts its burden and duty of full disclosure prior to the sale to the customer and requires subscribers to decipher the terms of the product after it has already been purchased and to then take action to cancel it. It characterizes this sales scheme as a “30-day satisfaction guarantee” such that “[i]f you are not completely satisfied, just cancel in the first 30 days and get a refund of any fee billed.”<sup>2</sup> In fact, the obligation is affirmative: “[u]nless you cancel, this fee will be charged to your enrolled credit card each month.”<sup>3</sup>

31. Even if the subscriber is later provided with written materials from CHASE, it is virtually impossible for the subscriber to determine all of the exclusions and limitations of Payment Protection, or the value of the product, based on what is provided.

32. Upon information and belief, CHASE imposed charges for “Payment Protection” upon Wisconsin consumers even though individual consumers did not request the product or clearly assent to pay for the product in writing after getting the opportunity to review its governing terms and conditions.

33. In some instances, Payment Protection has been unilaterally imposed upon consumers. In other instances, no written materials explaining the terms and conditions were ever provided to subscribers. If Payment Protection is imposed and no written materials are provided, the only way subscribers could ever know they have been enrolled in Payment Protection and are being charged for this product is from noticing a line item fee listed on their monthly credit card statements.

34. The terms of CHASE’s Payment Protection program are varied, complicated and always changing. However, all of the various plans provide for some

form of benefit upon the occurrence of “covered events,” including *Death, Disability* and *Income Loss*.

35. Payment Protection also provides payment for a limited period of time upon the occurrence of a *Life Event*, defined strictly by CHASE to include including marriage, birth or adoption of a child, move of primary residence, divorce, retirement, natural disaster and death of a Covered Person.

36. The restrictions, limitations and exclusions associated with these Payment Protection covered events and the proofs required to establish them are expansive and constantly evolving.

37. The telephone marketing scripts and the written materials provided by CHASE are incomplete, indecipherable, misleading and obfuscatory.

38. An example of the misleading and obfuscatory language is CHASE’s failure to disclose that Payment Protection is actually akin to an insurance product. Despite this fact, CHASE’s marketing materials carefully avoid any use of the word “insurance.” The materials refer to “claims,” which indicates that CHASE internally regards this as an insurance product. The fees paid for Payment Protection by consumers are actually premiums.

39. According to the written materials which are only provided after subscribers have already been enrolled in the plan, the following restrictions on Payment Protection are imposed. However, because these restrictions are in small print and in incomplete, indecipherable, misleading and obfuscatory language, they are not readily comprehensible to subscribers:

- (a) Payment Protection does not apply to persons employed by family members;

- (b) Payment Protection does not apply to persons employed part time or seasonally;
- (c) Payment Protection does not apply to retired persons;
- (d) Payment Protection does not apply for the first 30 days of unemployment or disability;
- (e) Payment Protection does not apply to persons who have not held their job for at least 90 days;
- (f) Payment Protection does not apply if you qualify for state or federal unemployment benefits;
- (g) Payment Protection does not apply unless you remain “registered at a recognized employment agency”;
- (h) Payment Protection coverage is limited to 12 months;
- (i) Payment Protection coverage is limited to one benefit approval per calendar year; and
- (j) Payment Protection requires proof of continuous physician’s care for the entire period of benefits.

40. Upon information and belief, CHASE is in possession of information, such as date of birth and name of last employer, which would assist CHASE in knowing whether a particular cardholder is eligible for Payment Protection.

41. However, CHASE makes no reasonable efforts and undertakes no investigation, including review of information in its possession regarding the cardholder, to determine if Payment Protection coverage would apply to the cardholder. Accordingly, CHASE engages in marketing to enroll individuals in Payment Protection even when it has information in its possession indicating that the product may have limited or no value to the consumer.

42. For instance, Wisconsin retired persons, many of whom are senior citizens, are charged for this product even though they are categorically excluded from

receiving most or all of the benefits under the plan. In fact, CHASE does not even ask customers whether they are retired.

43. Similarly, the benefits offered to persons employed by family members are limited, but CHASE nevertheless fails to affirmatively inform such persons of the limitations in benefits when they are enrolled. In fact, CHASE does not even ask customers whether they are employed by family members.

44. Further, part-time or seasonal workers are also limited or categorically excluded from receiving benefits. To qualify for benefits, one needs to work at least 30 hours a week in employment considered to be permanent. However, CHASE makes no effort to investigate whether any of the Wisconsin consumers who pay for Payment Protection are part-time or seasonal. These terms are not adequately communicated or defined in any CHASE materials.

45. Finally, benefits are unavailable or limited for disabled persons, but CHASE nevertheless fails to affirmatively inform these individuals of the limitations on benefits when they are enrolled. In fact, CHASE does not even ask customers whether they are disabled.

46. The cost of Payment Protection is a monthly charge of \$0.89 per \$100 of a subscriber's month-ending credit card balance. "Add-on benefits" cost the subscriber an extra \$3.29 per month.

47. For example, if a CHASE credit card customer has a balance on a covered account of \$10,000, as a Payment Protection subscriber, the customer owes CHASE \$92.29 that month just for Payment Protection coverage.

48. Payment Protection also provides the added benefit to CHASE of lowering available credit to its subscribers through the imposition of this additional fee. Further, the imposition of the fee creates a cycle of profitability for CHASE, in that the fee itself increases subscribers' monthly credit balances, which in turn increases Payment Protection fees in upcoming months.

49. "Customer service" is available for CHASE's Payment Protection subscribers. To access customer service, subscribers can call a 1-800 number or send mail to a P.O. Box in Louisville, Kentucky.

50. Upon information and belief, CHASE's Payment Protection call center is based in the Philippines. Employees are purportedly trained to assist subscribers with all questions, including inquiries concerning canceling memberships, plan benefits and filing claims.

51. CHASE has established its customer service system in such a way that it is difficult for subscribers to cancel Payment Protection, to get detailed information about claim benefits or restrictions, or to file claims.

52. For example, upon information and belief, employees at CHASE's Philippines call center are given authority to deny claims immediately over the phone, but do not have authority to approve claimants to receive benefits in the same manner.

53. Further, when claims for Payment Protection benefits are denied, CHASE has not implemented a process through which subscribers' Payment Protection premiums are refunded, even if the subscribers are deemed to be *per se* ineligible for Payment Protection benefits. In fact, if subscribers are denied Payment Protection benefits, CHASE neither affirmatively removes subscribers from Payment Protection enrollment

going forward, nor is it CHASE's policy to inform subscribers of their continued obligations pay for Payment Protection even though they have been deemed to be ineligible for benefits.

54. Chase is one of the largest issuers of credit cards in the world. Payment Protection is a profit center for CHASE and serves the Company's interest in generating fee income, to the detriment of its most vulnerable customers.

55. Although heralded as coverage designed for a subscriber's "protection" and "peace of mind," the Payment Protection device is designed to prey on the financially insecure and is virtually worthless because of the numerous restrictions that are imposed, because of the exclusions of benefits, and because of the administrative and bureaucratic hurdles that are placed in the way of Wisconsin subscribers who attempt to secure payments from CHASE under Payment Protection coverage.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AS TO PLAINTIFF**

56. Plaintiff is an Wisconsin resident.

57. In 2001, Plaintiff enrolled for and became a CHASE credit card holder. In that same year, Plaintiff became enrolled in Payment Protection through CHASE's Payment Protector Plan.

58. While enrolled in Payment Protection, Mr. Clemens was retired. However, no one from CHASE ever asked Plaintiff about his employment status before enrolling him in Payment Protection. Plaintiff remains retired today.

59. Plaintiff continued to pay for Payment Protection for approximately nine years.

## CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

60. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of all other persons similarly situated (the "Class"), pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

61. Plaintiff brings this action as a class representative to recover damages and/or refunds from CHASE for consumer fraud as defined in the Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act §100.18 and for injunctive relief and declaratory judgment, and unjust enrichment.

62. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23(a) and (b).

63. Plaintiff seeks certification of a class comprised of the following Wisconsin citizens and consumers:

All residents of the State of Wisconsin who (1) were solicited by CHASE to purchase Payment Protection; and (2) paid for Payment Protection ("Payment Protection Subscribers") at all times the product was sold.

64. Plaintiff seeks certification of the following subclass comprised of the following Wisconsin Citizens and consumers:

All residents of the State of Wisconsin who were not eligible for full benefits, or whose eligibility for benefits were limited by express exclusions, including but not limited to those Payment Protection subscribers who were retired, self-employed or part-time or seasonal workers, or were disabled, either at the time that they were solicited for and made payments to CHASE for Payment Protection, or any time thereafter while continuing to pay for Payment Protection.

65. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

66. Excluded from the Class are:

- (a) Defendants and any entities in which Defendants have a controlling interest;
- (b) Any entities in which Defendants' officers, directors, or employees are employed and any of the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of Defendants;
- (c) The Judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judge's immediate family and any other judicial officer assigned to this case;
- (d) Persons or entities with claims for personal injury, wrongful death and/or emotional distress;
- (e) All persons or entities that properly execute and timely file a request for exclusion from the Class;
- (f) Any attorneys representing the Plaintiff or the Class; and
- (g) All governmental entities.

67. Numerosity – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The Class is comprised of over 100 people and possibly hundreds of thousands of individuals who were CHASE customers, the joinder of which in one action would be impracticable. The exact number or identification of the Class members is presently unknown. The identity of the Class members is ascertainable. In addition to rolls maintained by the Defendants and their agents, the Class members may be located and informed of the pendency of this action by a combination of electronic bulletins, e-mail, direct mail and public notice, or other means. The disposition of the claims of the proposed class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and the Court.



68. Predominance of Common Questions – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2), 23(b)(3).

There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting members of the Class. The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members, and include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) Whether CHASE's sales, billing, and marketing scheme as alleged in this Complaint is deceptive, unlawful, and/or unfair in violation of Wisconsin law;
- (b) Whether CHASE's common and uniform sales, billing, and marketing scheme related to Payment Protection insurance as alleged in this Complaint constitutes unfair or deceptive conduct within the meaning of the Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act.
- (c) Whether the Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to restitution of all amounts acquired by CHASE through its common and uniform scheme;
- (d) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to injunctive relief requiring the disgorgement of all fees wrongfully collected by CHASE;
- (e) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to prospective injunctive relief enjoining CHASE from continuing to engage in the deceptive, unlawful, and unfair common scheme as alleged in this Complaint; and
- (f) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to recover compensatory and punitive damages as a result of CHASE's wrongful scheme.

69. Typicality – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Plaintiff asserts claims that are typical of the entire Class, having all been targeted by CHASE as consumers and who were improperly assessed, and paid, charges for Payment Protection. Plaintiff and the Class members have similarly suffered harm arising from CHASE's violations of the law as alleged in this Complaint.

70. Adequacy – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4); 23(g)(1). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because he fits within the class definition and his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Members of the Class he seeks to represent. Plaintiff will prosecute this action vigorously for the benefit of the entire Class. Plaintiff is represented by experienced and able attorneys from coordinated law firms that will collectively and jointly serve as class counsel. Class counsel have litigated numerous class actions, and Plaintiff's counsel intend to prosecute this action vigorously for the benefit of the entire Class. Plaintiff and class counsel can and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all of the Members of the Class.

71. Superiority – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). The class action is the best available method for the efficient adjudication of this litigation because individual litigation of Class Members' claims would be impracticable and individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the courts. Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered irreparable harm as a result of CHASE's fraudulent, deceitful, unlawful, and unfair conduct. Because of the size of each individual Class members' claims, no Class members could afford to individually seek legal redress for the wrongs identified in this Complaint. Without the class action vehicle, the Class would have no reasonable remedy and would continue to suffer losses, as CHASE continues to engage in the unlawful, unfair, and unconscionable conduct that is the subject of this Complaint, and CHASE would be permitted to retain the proceeds of its violations of law. Further, individual litigation has the potential to result in inconsistent or contradictory judgments. A class action in this case presents fewer management problems and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

**COUNT ONE  
BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH  
AND FAIR DEALING**

73. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as though set forth at length herein.

74. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and CHASE contracted for Payment Protection benefits.

75. Upon information and belief, the terms and conditions of this agreement are embodied in the Welcome Kit and other written materials in the possession of CHASE.

76. In Wisconsin, a covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in every contract.

77. All contracts, therefore, impose upon each party a duty of good faith and fair dealing. Good faith and fair dealing, in connection with discharging contractual performance and other duties according to the contract's terms, prohibits a party from taking any action or engaging in any conduct which would have the effect of destroying or injuring the other party's right to obtain the benefits prescribed by the terms of the contract. Put differently, parties to a contract are mutually obligated to exercise good faith and comply with the substance of their contract in addition to its form. A party breaches the covenant of good faith and fair dealing when it uses or manipulates contractual rights as a means to gain an unfair advantage beyond what the parties originally bargained for or reasonably expected.

78. By making it exceedingly difficult for Class members to make Payment Protection claims, CHASE took action which had the effect of destroying or injuring

Class members' rights to obtain the Payment Protection benefits they bargained for by enrolling in Payment Protection. Such conduct constitutes an absence of good faith on the part of CHASE.

79. CHASE has thus breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in the Payment Protection agreement.

80. Plaintiff and the Class have performed all, or substantially all, of the obligations imposed on them in the Payment Protection agreement.

81. Plaintiff and members of the Class have sustained damages as a result of CHASE's breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

**COUNT TWO**  
**VIOLATION OF THE WISCONSIN DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT**

82. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if set forth at length herein.

83. CHASE's conduct, as described in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, constitutes unfair or deceptive acts or practices in trade or commerce in violation of Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, §100.18.

84. CHASE's unfair or deceptive and thus, unlawful conduct consisted of at least the following:

- (a) CHASE unilaterally imposes Payment Protection upon its customers' credit card accounts, thereby failing to disclose to customers that Payment Protection is an optional plan and that they have the option to "opt out" of Payment Protection;
- (b) CHASE did not obtain affirmative consent from subscribers prior to enrolling them in Payment Protection;
- (c) CHASE does not provide the terms and conditions of Payment Protection to subscribers until after they have enrolled in the plan;

- (d) The written documents that CHASE does eventually provide to subscribers, referred to by CHASE as a Welcome Kit, does not provide subscribers with sufficient information to understand the terms and conditions of Payment Protection;
- (e) The Welcome Kit and related documents provided to customers are ineffective, ambiguous, deceptive, unfair, and misleading in that they do not require affirmative customer consent (like a signature) and do not unambiguously state that certain customers are *per se* ineligible to receive benefits, even though CHASE had the information and means of determining eligibility prior to enrolling these customers in Payment Protection;
- (f) CHASE does not alert customers that certain individuals are *per se* ineligible for Payment Protection benefits, including but not limited to retired persons, unemployed persons, persons employed by family members, persons employed on a part-time or seasonal basis and those that are disabled.
- (g) The amount charged in fees for Payment Protection is not rationally related to the amount of value Payment Protection provides to subscribers, nor is the value of Payment Protection computable or discernable by subscribers;
- (h) CHASE charges exorbitant fees for Payment Protection, much more than the value of the benefits offered or paid out to subscribers, and is able to do so because CHASE does not identify Payment Protection as an insurance product, which would require it to provide fees and claims-paid data to state authorities for review and regulation;
- (i) The formula CHASE uses to compute Payment Protection fees is misleading such that subscribers are unable to budget for this product or understand its overall cost in order to determine its value to subscribers; and
- (j) CHASE operates its customer service centers in such a way as to make it difficult for subscribers to cancel enrollment, obtain information about the terms and conditions of Payment Protection coverage, and file claims, in order for CHASE to maximize the number of Payment Protection subscribers and minimize the amount of benefits it pays to these subscribers; and
- (k) CHASE uses other means to make it excessively difficult for subscribers to file claims and obtain Payment Protection benefits, thus rendering worthless or virtually worthless the Payment

Protection program for which Class members are paying substantial fees to CHASE.

85. CHASE's unlawful conduct as described herein caused injury to Plaintiff and Class members in the form of the fees they paid to CHASE for enrollment in CHASE's Payment Protection program, which was worthless or virtually worthless. Alternatively, Plaintiff and Class members paid fees for enrollment in CHASE's Payment Protection program that were far in excess of the value of enrollment in that program.

86. The unfair or deceptive acts or practices of CHASE as alleged herein were willful or knowing violations of the Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, §100.18

87. Plaintiff and Class members have been injured by CHASE's unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

88. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief including, without limitation, orders declaring CHASE's practices as alleged herein to be unlawful, unfair, unconscionable and/or deceptive, and enjoining CHASE from undertaking any further unlawful, unfair, unconscionable, and/or deceptive acts or omissions.

89. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to disgorgement and restitution of CHASE's ill-gotten gains in the form of unlawful profits obtained from the conduct described in detail herein.

**COUNT FOUR**  
**INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PAYMENT PROTECTION RESTITUTION**

90. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.

91. Plaintiff asks the Court to grant the remedy of restitution to himself and to all members of the Class who made payments to CHASE for Payment Protection. The Plaintiff asks the Court to grant the following relief:

- (a) a refund of all Payment Protection payments made to CHASE;
- (b) a refund to any consumers who were retired at the time they were sold Payment Protection by CHASE or at any time they paid for Payment Protection;
- (c) a refund to any consumers who were ineligible for benefits, or who faced additional restrictions to receive benefits as a result of their health or employment status, at the time they were sold Payment Protection by CHASE or at any time they paid for Payment Protection;
- (d) a refund to consumers who were otherwise not eligible for Payment Protection benefits at any time they paid for Payment Protection; and/or
- (e) a refund of all amounts CHASE assessed for Payment Protection that were in excess of sums which would have been permissible had CHASE correctly identified the service as insurance.

92. Further, Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining CHASE from continuing to engage in the deceptive, unlawful, and unfair common scheme described in this Complaint.

**COUNT FIVE  
UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

93. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.

94. In seeking to sell credit cards to Plaintiff and members of the Class, CHASE withheld material terms from consumers prior to activation of Payment

Protection charges, including the express benefits, limitations, restrictions, and exclusions associated with the product.

95. CHASE was unjustly enriched by charging Plaintiff and the Class sums for Payment Protection coverage that were in excess of amounts which would have been permissible had CHASE properly identified the service as an insurance product.

96. CHASE was unjustly enriched by the practice of signing people up for Payment Protection who never agreed to be plan members.

97. CHASE was unjustly enriched by the practice of withholding material terms of Payment Protection until after the product was charged to consumers' credit cards.

98. CHASE was unjustly enriched by its business practice of making it so impermissibly difficult for consumers to actually receive coverage under Payment Protection that the service was virtually worthless. Such unconscionable acts include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Denying claims over the phone without written explanation;
- (b) Denying claims without sufficient investigation;
- (c) Requiring claimants to submit excessive and duplicate documentation, and/or;
- (d) Establishing a telephone number that does not allow for claimants to speak to a live person, a person in a timely manner, or a person that is properly trained to handle Payment Protection claims, in order for the subscriber to successfully file a claim.

99. CHASE was unjustly enriched by charging Plaintiff and the Class members for illusory benefits.



100. CHASE was unjustly enriched by charging Plaintiff and the Class members who were retired or were otherwise not eligible to receive payments by the terms of the Payment Protection plan.

101. As a result of CHASE's actions which constitute unjust enrichment, Plaintiff and Class members suffered actual damages for which CHASE is liable. CHASE's liability for those damages should be measured by the extent of its unjust enrichment.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays:

- a. That the Court determines that this action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that the Plaintiff is a proper class representative, and that the best practicable notice of this action be given to members of the Class represented by the Plaintiff;
- b. That judgment be entered against CHASE and in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on the Causes of Action in this Complaint, for injunctive relief, and for actual, compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- c. That judgment be entered imposing interest on damages, litigation costs, and attorneys' fees against CHASE;
- d. For all other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

October 21, 2010 Respectfully Submitted,

**ADEMI & O'REILLY LLP**

By: /S/ DAVID J. SYRIOS  
Guri Ademi (SBN: 1021729)  
Shpetim Ademi (SBN: 1026973)  
David J. Syrios (SBN: 1045779)  
3620 East Layton Ave.  
Cudahy, Wisconsin 53110  
Telephone: (866) 264-3995  
Facsimile: (414) 482-8001  
gademi@ademiilaw.com  
sademi@ademiilaw.com  
dsyrios@ademiilaw.com

Allen Carney  
Marcus Bozeman  
Randall K. Pulliam  
11311 Arcade Drive, Suite 200  
Little Rock, Wisconsin 72212  
**CARNEY WILLIAMS BATES**  
**BOZEMAN & PULLIAM, PLLC**  
Tel: (501) 312-8500  
Fax: (501) 312-8505

**MILLER LAW LLC**  
Marvin A. Miller  
Lori A. Fanning  
115 South LaSalle Street, Suite 2910  
Chicago, IL 60603  
Telephone: (312) 332-3400  
Facsimile: (312) 676-2676

***Counsel for Plaintiff and Proposed Class***

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate Box:  Green Bay Division

Milwaukee Division

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**  
MICHAEL CLEMINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

**DEFENDANTS**  
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. and CHASE BANK USA, N.A.

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff MILWAUKEE  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant NEW YORK, NY  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Attorneys (If Known)

DAVID J. SYRIOS  
ADIMI & O'REILLY, LLP  
3620 EAST LAYTON AVENUE, CUDAHY, WISCONSIN 53110 (414) 482-8000

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- |   |                                       |                            |   |                            |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|   | PTF                                   | DEF                        |   | PTF                        | DEF                                   |
| Citizen of This State                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4            |
| Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2            | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3            | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6            |

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury  <b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other  <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark  <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))  <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation
- 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)  
Brief description of cause:  
Class Action Claims brought under consumer protection statutes and common laws of Wisconsin for violations by Defendants

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions): JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

10-21-2010 /s/ David J. Syrios

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING JEP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_  
Case 2:10-cv-00949-CNC Filed 10/21/10 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-1

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

**I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

**II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

**III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

**IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

**V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

**VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553  
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

**VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

**VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Wisconsin

MICHAEL CLEMINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. and CHASE BANK USA, N.A.,

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP
GURI ADEMI (SBN 1021729)
SHPETIM ADEMI (SBN 1026973)
DAVID J. SYRIOS (SBN 1045779)
3620 East Layton Ave.
Cudahy, WI 53110 Telephone: (414) 482-8000 414.482.8001 (fax)

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

JON W. SANFILIPPO
CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of

Plaintiff v. Defendant Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

JON W. SANFILIPPO CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: