EXHIBIT A



TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP

80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204 New York, New York 10038 212-931-0704 WWW.TCLLAW.COM

FIRM RESUME

TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP is a litigation firm with a focus in complex antitrust, securities fraud and consumer protection class actions. The firm was founded in July 2009 with a few basic guiding principles: we are dedicated to providing the highest quality legal representation to our clients and class members, while working in an environment that inspires collaboration, inventiveness and productivity. Our founding partners have worked together for many years before starting the firm, and we have over 50 years combined experience in our practice areas.

We have extensive knowledge and experience in pharmaceutical and medical device antitrust actions. Prior to the founding of Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP, our attorneys played a leadership role in cases where hundreds of millions of dollars were recovered for class members, including the largest settlement of any direct purchaser class action alleging impeded generic pharmaceutical competition in the Hatch-Waxman antitrust context (the \$250 million *Tricor* settlement). We currently represent plaintiffs and class members in pharmaceutical antitrust actions including Giant Eagle, Inc. v. Cephalon, Inc. et al., 10-cv-5164 (E.D. Pa.), In Re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation, 08-cv-2431 (E.D. Pa.), American Sales Company, Inc. et al. v. Novo Nordisk A/S et al., 10-cv-12141 (E.D. Mich.), and In re Metoprolol Succinate Antitrust Litigation, 06-cv-52 (D. Del.). Additionally, Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP and our co-counsel represent class members in various other complex antitrust actions in a variety of industries including In Re Mushrooms Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation, 06-cv-620 (E.D. Pa.), Marchese v. Cablevision Systems Corp., and CSC Holdings, Inc., 10-cv-02190 (D.N.J.), Universal Delaware Inc. v. Ceridian Corp., et al., 09-cv-2327 (E.D. Pa.), In re Florida Cement and Concrete Antitrust Litigation, 09-cv-23187 (S.D. Fl.), In re Photochromic Lens Antitrust Litigation, 10-md-2173 (M.D. Fl.) and Wallach, et al. v. Eaton, et al., 10-cv-260 (D. Del.).

Our attorneys also have significant experience in stockholder, derivative and consumer class actions having previously taken active roles in such cases as *Gutter v. Dupont* (S.D. Fl.) (recovery of \$77.5 million for shareholder class); *In re Cendant Corporation Derivative Litigation* (D.N.J.) (\$54 million recovery for the corporation in derivative action); *LiPuma v. American Express* (S.D. Fl.) (\$75 million recovery for cardholders in consumer class action). We currently represent classes of consumers in cases including *McCoy v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. and Capital One Services, L.L.C.*, 10-cv-0185 (S.D. Cal.), *In Re National Arbitration Forum Trade Practices Litigation*, 09-cv-01939 (D. Minn.), *Conroy v. Citibank, N.A. et al.*, 10-cv-4930 (C.D. Ca.), and *Marquez v. First Premier Bank of South Dakota et al.*, 10-cv-6898 (C.D. Ca.).

ATTORNEYS

BARRY S. TAUS, PARTNER

Barry S. Taus currently represents plaintiffs and class members in various antitrust class actions including *Universal Delaware Inc. v. Ceridian Corp.*, et al., In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation, American Sales Company, Inc. et al. v. Novo Nordisk A/S et al., Wallach, et al. v. Eaton, et al., and Marchese v. Cablevision Systems Corp., et al. and In re Metoprolol Succinate Antitrust Litigation.

Prior to founding Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP, Mr. Taus was a partner for 13 years at a New York law firm that specialized in class action litigation. At his prior firm, he acted as Lead Counsel or Co-Lead Counsel for classes of direct purchasers in a number of major, complex antitrust litigations, including *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.) (settled for \$110 million); *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation* (S.D. Fla.) (settled for \$75 million); and *In re Tricor Antitrust Litigation* (D. Del.) (settled for \$250 million). He also actively participated in a number of successfully resolved antitrust actions, including *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) (settled for \$220 million); *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation* (D. Mass.) (settled for \$175 million); and *In re Remeron Antitrust Litigation* (D. N.J.) (settled for \$75 million), and led a number of major antitrust actions that are still pending, including *In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. N.Y.); *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation* (D.N.J.); and *In re Modafinil Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.).

As Lead Counsel for the direct purchaser class in the *Tricor* case, Mr. Taus successfully negotiated the largest settlement of any direct purchaser class action alleging impeded generic pharmaceutical competition in the Hatch-Waxman antitrust context (\$250 million). Prior to settlement, Mr. Taus was responsible for overseeing all material aspects of the litigation on behalf of the direct purchases class, including the extensive research leading to the initial complaint, analyzing thousands of pages of discovery documents and taking numerous depositions to marshal evidence to support plaintiffs' theories relating to liability, antitrust impact, causation, monopoly power and class certification, retaining and working closely with numerous experts, and ultimately preparing for and proceeding to trial.

In addition to his antitrust experience, Mr. Taus took a central, active role in numerous stockholder class action and derivative actions in which his prior firm was Lead Counsel or an Executive Committee member. These actions included *Rebenstock v Fruehauf Trailer Corp.; In re Par Pharmaceutical Securities Litigation; In re F&M Distributors, Inc. Securities Litigation; In re Taxable Municipal Bond Litigation; In re Bay Financial Securities Litigation; and Sanders v. Wang, et. al* (resulting in recovery from certain senior executives of stock valued in excess of \$225 million for the benefit of Computer Associates).

Furthermore, Mr. Taus has successfully played a leading role in various complex consumer class actions, including *Cicarell v. Provident Mutual Life Ins. Co.* (sales practice litigation settled for \$45 million) and *Provident Demutualization Litigation* (enjoined demutualization that would have harmed policyholders).

Mr. Taus graduated *cum laude* from the State University of New York at Albany in 1986 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting. Mr. Taus graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 1989, and is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York, as well as the United States

District Court for the Southern District of New York and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Eleventh Circuits. He is also a member of the New York State Bar Association and the American Bar Association.

BRETT CEBULASH, PARTNER

Brett Cebulash currently represents plaintiffs and class members in various antitrust and consumer class actions including *McCoy v. Capital One Bank (USA)*, *N.A.*, *et al.*, *Marchese v. Cablevision Systems Corp.*, *and CSC Holdings, Inc.*, *et al.* Wallach, *et al.* v. Eaton, *et al.*; *Conroy v. Citibank, N.A. et al.*, and *Marquez v. First Premier Bank of South Dakota et al.*

Prior to founding Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP in July 2009, Mr. Cebulash was a partner for a decade at a New York law firm that specialized in class action litigation. At his prior firm, he litigated complex class actions in the fields of antitrust, securities, consumer fraud, insurance and employment law as well as stockholder derivative actions. Representative cases in these areas include *In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation* (D.N.J.); *Natchitoches Parish Hosp. v. Tyco* (D. Mass.); *In re Nifedipine Antitrust Litigation* (D.D.C.); *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation* (S.D. Fla.); *In re Nasdaq Antitrust Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *Rebenstock v. Fruehauf Trailer Corp.* (E.D.Mich.); *In re F&M Distributors, Inc. Securities Litigation* (E.D.Mich.); *Gutter v. Dupont* (S.D.Fla.); *In re Cendant Derivative Litigation* (D.N.J.); *In re Bay Financial Securities Litigation* (D.Mass.); *In re Nuveen Funds Litigation* (N.D.Ill.); *In re Kemper Funds Litigation* (N.D.Ill); *In re Bank One Securities Litigation* (N.D.Ill); *Provident Demutualization Litigation* (Pa. Ct. Common Pleas); *In re Diet Drug Litigation* (N.J.Civ.); *Davis v. Kodak* (W.D.N.Y.) and *Diaz v. Electronics Boutique* (W.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Cebulash graduated from the University of Virginia with a Bachelor of Arts degree and from Brooklyn Law School, *cum laude*. Mr. Cebulash is admitted to practice by the State Bars of New York and New Jersey, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Western Districts of New York and the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Third and Ninth Circuits. He is also a member of the New York State Bar Association.

KEVIN LANDAU, PARTNER

Kevin Landau currently represents plaintiffs and class members in various antitrust and consumer class actions, including *In Re Mushrooms Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation, In re Florida Concrete and Cement Antitrust Litigation, In re National Arbitration Forum Trade Practices Litigation, McCoy v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. and Capital One Services, LLC, In re Metoprolol Succinate Antitrust Litigation, Conroy v. Citibank, N.A. et al., and Marquez v. First Premier Bank of South Dakota et al.* Mr. Landau also represents Giant Eagle, Inc. in *Giant Eagle, Inc. v. Cephalon, Inc. et al.*, an antitrust action alleging that Cephalon paid its generic competitors to stay off the market with their competing generic versions of Provigil.

Prior to founding Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP., Mr. Landau was a partner at a New York law firm that specialized in class action litigation. At his prior firm, he took a central role in a number of successful antitrust, shareholder class and derivative actions class action litigations including, *Gutter v. Dupont* (S.D. Fl.) (recovery of \$77.5 million for shareholder class); *In re Cendant Corporation Derivative Litigation* (D.N.J.) (\$54 million recovery for the corporation in derivative action); *LiPuma v. American Express* (S.D. Fl.) (\$75 million recovery

for cardholders in consumer class action). He also led major antitrust litigations that are still pending, including *In re Mushrooms Antitrust Litig.* (E.D. Pa.).

Mr. Landau graduated with high honors from Lehigh University in 1993 with a Bachelor of Arts in Government. Mr. Landau graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 1996, where he was a member of the *Brooklyn Law Review*. Mr. Landau is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Third Circuit, Eleventh Circuit and D.C. Circuit. He is also a member of the New York State Bar Association and the American Bar Association.

ARCHANA TAMOSHUNAS, PARTNER

Archana Tamoshunas currently represents classes of drug wholesalers in antitrust class actions including *In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation* and *American Sales Company, Inc. et al. v. Novo Nordisk A/S et al.*

Prior to joining Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP in July 2009, Ms. Tamoshunas was an associate at a New York law firm that specialized in class action litigation. At her prior firm, Ms. Tamoshunas was counsel in several complex federal antitrust class actions including those involving the pharmaceutical and medical device industries, as well as employment class actions. She has been heavily involved in all aspects of the litigation process in cases in which her firm was lead or co-lead counsel. She has been active in the day to day management of discovery, briefing, class certification and trial preparation in a number of cases including *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation* (D. Mass.); *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation* (S.D. Fla.) and *Natchitoches Parish Hospital District et al. v. Tyco International, et al.* (D. Mass.).

Ms. Tamoshunas graduated from Williams College, *cum laude*, in 1995 (B.A. Political Science and Studio Art) and New York University School of Law in 1999, where she was a member of the Moot Court Board. Ms. Tamoshunas is admitted to the Bar of the State of New York as well as the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the First Circuit Court of Appeals. After graduating from law school, Ms. Tamoshunas represented the City of New York in Family Court for three years.

CARTER WALKER, PLLC

FIRM RESUME

Carter Walker, PLLC is litigation firm. The firm was founded in 2008 with a vision toward serving plaintiffs in both individual and class action matters. The firm has specific expertise in all aspects of complex litigation, with an emphasis in the areas of consumer and securities class action, agricultural, banking, oil and gas and business and commercial litigation.

The staff at Carter Walker possesses a breath of expertise that is wide and varied. The experience ranges from matters involving securities and derivative litigation, to national consumer class actions as well as multi-plaintiff matters against a myriad of defendants. The majority of our practice involves referrals from other attorneys and an active presence in the community. We excel at counseling clients and companies on how best to address legal issues and to minimize future litigation.

Carter Walker, PLLC possesses a unique background of experience to provide highly qualified expertise in all facets of complex litigation. In addition to an active state court presence, our firm primarily represents plaintiffs in federal litigation for both class and individual clients throughout the country.

T. Brent Walker, Partner

Brent Walker currently represents plaintiffs and class members in various consumer class actions including, but not limited to, *Conroy v. DiscoverFinancial Services*, et al and *Marquez v. First Premier*, et al. Mr. Walker also represents multiple plaintiff actions on behalf of agricultural landowners and producers, oil and gas lease holders and foreign real estate investors.

Carter Walker was appointed Class Counsel in Spinelli v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. et al. Civil Action No.: 8:08-CV-132-T-33EAJ (M.D. Fla.). Prior to founding, Carter Walker, PLLC., Mr. Walker was a member of a law firm that specialized in class action litigation. At his prior firm, he played a primary role in a number of successful shareholder and derivative class actions including, but not limited to, In re Lernout & Hauspie Securities Litigation (D.Mass), In re Vision America Securities Litigation, (M.D.Tn), In re Keyspan Securities Litigation, (E.D.N.Y.), In re DQE, Inc. Securities Litigation (W.D.Pa), In re Fleming Companies, Inc. Securities and Derivative Litigation (E.D.Tx) and Desert Orchid Partners, LLC v. Transactions Systems (D.Ne).

Mr. Walker is also a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) with a number of years of public accounting experience. Mr. Walker graduated from the University of Mississippi with a Bachelors degree in Accounting, Mississippi State University with a Masters degree in Business

and the University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Law. Mr. Walker is admitted to the Bar of the State of Arkansas, as well as the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. He is also a member of the Arkansas Bar Association and the American Institute of Certified Accountants.

Russell Davis Carter III, Partner

In addition to numerous other state matters, Mr. Carter presently represents clients involving genetically engineered rice currently in federal court, *In re Genetically Modified Rice Litigation*. (E.D. MO).

Prior to founding Carter Walker, PLLC, Mr. Carter represented publicly traded lending institutions in litigation as well as serving as General Counsel. Mr. Carter has specific litigation expertise in banking, real estate and agriculture. Additionally, Mr. Carter successfully litigated a class action involving products liability in federal court. *In Re RC2 Corp. Toy Lead Paint Products Liability Litigation*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14121 (N.D. III. 2008).

Mr. Carter graduated with a Bachelor in Corporate Finance from Arkansas State University and also graduated from the Graduate School of Banking at Louisiana State University (LSU). He graduated with honors from the University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Law where he was a member of the UALR Law Review. Mr. Carter is admitted to the Bar of the State of Arkansas, as well as the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas. He is also a member of the Arkansas Bar Association. Mr. Carter is also a member of the Arkansas House of Representatives where he is a member of the Judiciary Committee, State Agencies Committee and Chairperson of the State Agencies and Governmental Affairs House Constitutional Issues Committee.

Staff Profile

Paula McGraw has been working a paralegal in the area of complex litigation for over 18 years.



FIRM RESUME

STEVEN A. OWINGS is a founding partner of Owings Law Firm. Mr. Owings is a graduate of the Boston Conservatory of Music and the University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Law.

Mr. Owings focuses his law practice in the areas of tort and insurance law, consumer fraud, and commercial litigation. Mr. Owings has substantial experience in the area of class action litigation, particularly in the area of consumer fraud. His litigation practice has generated multi-million-dollar jury verdicts and settlements. His practice with the firm is concentrated in the areas of class action consumer fraud litigation and injuries arising from defective products.

Mr. Owings' professional affiliations include the Arkansas and American Bar Associations, Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association, the Association of Trial Lawyers of America, and the National Association of Consumer Advocates. He is licensed to practice law in the Arkansas state courts, the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, and the United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

Professional Memberships and Associations:

Arkansas and American Bar Associations Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association The Association of Trial Lawyers of America National Association of Consumer Advocates

Class Action Experience:

Spinelli v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. et al. Civil Action No.: 8:08-CV-132-T-33EAJ (M.D. Fla.)

Foster v. Capital One Bank, Pulaski County Circuit No. CV 2002-3775.

In Re Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. ERISA Litig., 2004 U.S. Dist. 5:03-CV-02182, April 22, 2004.

Hill v. BellSouth Corp., 313 F. Supp. 2d 1361, (2004).

Watson v. Phillip Morris Cos., 420 F.3rd 852; 126 S. Ct. 2315, 164 L.Ed. 2d 812 (2006). *Holloway v. Rice*, 340 F.3d705 (2003).

Frelin v. Oakwood Homes Corp. 292 B.R. 369 (2003).

In re Wireless Tel. Fed. Cost Recovery Fees Litig., 396 F. 3d 922 (2004).