EXHIBIT 28

In The Matter Of:

Motorola Mobility, Inc. vs. Apple, Inc.

Bas Ording
August 3, 2011

CONFIDENTIAL

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

311 South Wacker Drive Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60606 Phone: 312,386,2000 Fax: 312,386,2275

Confidential Bas Ording August 3, 2011

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 1:10cv023580-Civ-UU

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

APPLE INC.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

vs.

MOTOROLA, INC. and. MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

Deposition of

BAS ORDING

Volume I, Pages 1-198

Wednesday, August 3, 2011

CONFIDENTIAL

REPORTED BY: JOHN WISSENBACH, RDR, CRR, CBC, CCP,

CLR, CSR 6862

Page 181 18:33:47 question? 1 18:33:56 (The record was read by the reporter.) 18:34:01 MS. HO: Same objections. 3 18:34:03 THE WITNESS: Do you mean the particular 18:34:06 idea of the slider? 18:34:08 6 BY MR. PASTOR: 18:34:17 Q. You and your team came up with the 7 slide-to-unlock design. And my understanding is 18:34:20 18:34:25 that there were various proposals that went in, 9 18:34:28 10 okay, not just the one that actually got 18:34:31 11 implemented. So my question is, for all the 18:34:37 12 proposals that you and your team came up with for 18:34:39 13 the slide-to-unlock design, are you aware of any 18:34:44 14 proposal for a design that did not -- excuse me --18:34:52 15 include moving the slide -- slider via translational 18:34:56 16 movement? 18:34:58 17 MS. HO: Vaque. 18:35:00 18 THE WITNESS: I recall one idea where you 18:35:04 19 would have to rotate with two or more fingers --18:35:13 20 BY MR. PASTOR: 18:35:13 21 Q. Uh-huh. 18:35:14 22 A. -- in order to perform an unlock -- a way 18:35:18 23 to unlock it. 18:35:25 24 Q. And did any of the ideas that you and your 18:35:28 25 team came up with unlock the device by distorting an

Confidential Bas Ording August 3, 2011

	Page 198
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JOHN WISSENBACH, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell
5	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
6	truth in the within-entitled cause;
7	That said deposition was taken down in
8	shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time
9	and place therein stated, and that the testimony of
10	the said witness was thereafter reduced to
11	typewriting, by computer, under my direction and
12	supervision;
13	That before completion of the deposition,
14	review of the transcript [X] was [] was not
15	requested. If requested, any changes made by the
16	deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the
17	period allowed are appended hereto.
18	I further certify that I am not of counsel
19	or attorney for either or any of the parties to the
20	said deposition, nor in any way interested in the
21	event of this cause, and that I am not related to
22	any of the parties thereto.
23	DATED:
24	
25	JOHN WISSENBACH, CSR No. 6862