

EXHIBIT L

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of California

PATENT LOCAL RULES

Table of Contents

1. SCOPE OF RULES PAT-1
 1-1. Title PAT-1
 1-2. Scope and Construction..... PAT-1
 1-3. Modification of these Rules PAT-1
 1-4. Effective Date..... PAT-1
2. GENERAL PROVISIONS PAT-2
 2-1. Governing Procedure..... PAT-2
 (a) Initial Case Management Conference PAT-2
 2-2. Confidentiality..... PAT-2
 2-3. Certification of Disclosures..... PAT-2
 2-4. Admissibility of Disclosures PAT-2
 2-5. Relationship to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure PAT-2
3. PATENT DISCLOSURES PAT-4
 3-1. Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions PAT-4
 3-2. Document Production Accompanying Disclosure PAT-4
 3-3. Invalidity Contentions PAT-5
 3-4. Document Production Accompanying Invalidity Contentions..... PAT-6
 3-5. Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity PAT-6
 (a) Invalidity Contentions If No Claim of Infringement PAT-6
 (b) Inapplicability of Rule PAT-6
 3-6. Amendment to Contentions PAT-6
 3-7. Advice of Counsel PAT-7
4. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEEDINGS PAT-8
 4-1. Exchange of Proposed Terms for Construction..... PAT-8
 4-2. Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence PAT-8
 4-3. Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement PAT-8
 4-4. Completion of Claim Construction Discovery PAT-9
 4-5. Claim Construction Briefs..... PAT-9
 4-6. Claim Construction Hearing..... PAT-10
 4-7. Good Faith Participation PAT-10

- (c) A chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each limitation of each asserted claim is found, including for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function; and
- (d) Any grounds of invalidity based on 35 U.S.C. § 101, indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) or enablement or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112(1) of any of the asserted claims.

3-4. Document Production Accompanying Invalidity Contentions

With the “Invalidity Contentions,” the party opposing a claim of patent infringement shall produce or make available for inspection and copying:

- (a) Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality identified by the patent claimant in its Patent L.R. 3-1(c) chart; and
- (b) A copy or sample of the prior art identified pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-3(a) which does not appear in the file history of the patent(s) at issue. To the extent any such item is not in English, an English translation of the portion(s) relied upon shall be produced.

The producing party shall separately identify by production number which documents correspond to each category.

3-5. Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity

- (a) **Invalidity Contentions If No Claim of Infringement.** In all cases in which a party files a complaint or other pleading seeking a declaratory judgment that a patent is invalid Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-2 shall not apply unless and until a claim for patent infringement is made by a party. If the defendant does not assert a claim for patent infringement in its answer to the complaint, no later than 14 days after the defendant serves its answer, or 14 days after the Initial Case Management Conference, whichever is later, the party seeking a declaratory judgment of invalidity shall serve upon each opposing party its Invalidity Contentions that conform to Patent L.R. 3-3 and produce or make available for inspection and copying the documents described in Patent L.R. 3-4.
- (b) **Inapplicability of Rule.** This Patent L.R. 3-5 shall not apply to cases in which a request for a declaratory judgment that a patent is invalid is filed in response to a complaint for infringement of the same patent.

3-6. Amendment to Contentions

Amendment of the Infringement Contentions or the Invalidity Contentions may be made only by order of the Court upon a timely showing of good cause. Non-exhaustive examples of circumstances that may, absent undue prejudice to the non-moving party, support a finding of good cause include:

- (a) A claim construction by the Court different from that proposed by the party seeking amendment;

- (b) Recent discovery of material, prior art despite earlier diligent search; and
- (c) Recent discovery of nonpublic information about the Accused Instrumentality which was not discovered, despite diligent efforts, before the service of the Infringement Contentions.

The duty to supplement discovery responses does not excuse the need to obtain leave of court to amend contentions.

3-7. Advice of Counsel

Not later than 50 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling, each party relying upon advice of counsel as part of a patent-related claim or defense for any reason shall:

- (a) Produce or make available for inspection and copying any written advice and documents related thereto for which the attorney-client and work product protection have been waived;
- (b) Provide a written summary of any oral advice and produce or make available for inspection and copying that summary and documents related thereto for which the attorney-client and work product protection have been waived; and
- (c) Serve a privilege log identifying any other documents, except those authored by counsel acting solely as trial counsel, relating to the subject matter of the advice which the party is withholding on the grounds of attorney-client privilege or work product protection.

A party who does not comply with the requirements of this Patent L.R. 3-7 shall not be permitted to rely on advice of counsel for any purpose absent a stipulation of all parties or by order of the Court.