

# EXHIBIT G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
Case No. 10-23580-Civ-UNGARO

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,            ) Pages 1-226  
  )  
  ) Plaintiff,  
  )  
  ) -v-  
  )  
  ) APPLE, INC.,  
  )  
  ) Defendant.  
  ) Miami, Florida  
  ) October 6, 2011  
  ) 10:20 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF TUTORIAL PROCEEDINGS TO MARKMAN HEARING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE URSULA UNGARO  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff    ASTIGARRAGA DAVIS MULLINS & GROSSMAN, PA  
  BY: EDWARD M. MULLINS, ESQ.  
  701 Brickell Avenue - 16th Floor  
  Miami, Florida 33131

-and-

QUINN EMMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN  
BY: DAVID A. PERLSON, ESQ.,  
ANTHONY PASTOR, ESQ., and  
JOHN DUCHEMIN, ESQ.  
50 California Street - 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111

For the Defendant   WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP  
  BY: MATTHEW D. POWERS, ESQ., and  
  JILL J. HO, ESQ.  
  201 Redwood Shores Parkway  
  Redwood Shores, California 94065-1134  
  BY: CHRISTOPHER R. J. PACE, ESQ.  
  1395 Brickell Avenue - Suite 1200  
  Miami, Florida 33131

(continued)

Reported by:           WILLIAM G. ROMANISHIN, RMR, CRR  
(305) 523-5558        Official Court Reporter  
  400 North Miami Avenue  
  Miami, Florida 33128

1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 For the Defendant COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP  
3 BY: ROBERT T. HASLAM, ESQ., and  
4 CHRISTINE S. HASKETT, ESQ.  
333 Twin Dolphin Drive - Suite 700  
Redwood Shores, California 94065

5 (Call to order of the Court)

6 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

7 The case before the Court is Motorola versus Apple,  
8 10-23580.

9 Who's here for Motorola?

10 MR. MULLINS: Your Honor, Ed Mullins from Astigarraga  
11 Davis, local counsel. I'm here with lead counsel who will  
12 introduce themselves.

13 MR. PERLSON: Good morning, Your Honor. David  
14 Perlson from Quinn Emmanuel. I'm here with Tony Pastor and  
15 John Duchemin.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

17 MR. MULLINS: And, Your Honor, our client is here as  
18 well Motorola?

19 THE COURT: You're Motorola?

20 MR. PLUTA: Robert Pluta.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Fine.

22 And who's here for Apple?

23 MR. POWERS: Good morning, Your Honor. Matt Powers,  
24 Bob Haslam, Christine Haskett, Jessica Guya, Chris Pace, Jill  
25 Ho for Apple, and also Wendy Anna Herby from Apple but is not

1 automatically to the list of approved displays, if you will,  
2 that that computer could recognize.

3           So, what would what that in here -- we have a short  
4 animation of it -- is that you would plug in your new monitor  
5 where you wanted to send the information, and the CPU on the  
6 computer would look at it and say, well, all right, I still  
7 don't see it because it hasn't been added to my list of active  
8 displays, because the technology didn't permit that to happen  
9 automatically.

10           So, in order to actually add that new monitor, what  
11 you had to do was either put your computer to sleep or restart  
12 it. If you restarted it, it would then look again to see what  
13 displays were connected to it, and you'd have to restart it  
14 and wait and wait and wait. And then it would finally  
15 restart, and it would go out and look at what displays were  
16 connected to it, and your new display would now be found. But  
17 had you to wait throughout that whole reboot process, which I  
18 think we've all endured quite too often. That's one of the  
19 problems that this patent is directed at solving.

20           Now, the invention is -- a colloquial expression  
21 that's often used is "plug and play," which just means exactly  
22 what it sounds like. You don't have to wait for it to be  
23 rebooted. The system will recognize it automatically without  
24 being rebooted or restarted. And, so, we've done a very short  
25 animation here to show that conceptually. And then, however

1 you've set it up -- it can be set up for mirroring, it can be  
2 set up for anything else -- the information that you want to  
3 display on your second monitor will be displayed without  
4 rebooting.

5 All right. So, now, what's the accused functionality  
6 here? Again, we've put up as an example, the Motorola Xoom  
7 tablet, which is their iPad-like device, and their machine  
8 works exactly how we were describing it with regard to the  
9 patent. They have a computer on board that Xoom tablet. It's  
10 really just a small, sleek computer. And when you try to plug  
11 it into a monitor through an HDMI cable, when you do so, it's  
12 automatically recognized. You don't have to restart it. It  
13 sees the other display and it will automatically launch the  
14 display to go into that external monitor. And it's that use  
15 of that technology that we think infringes the claims, and  
16 we'll talk about the specific claim language, obviously, in  
17 much more detail when we're around next week.

18 Now, one issue which has been addressed by Motorola  
19 in both of its claim constructions and in its slides to some  
20 degree to be shown to Your Honor today is this concept of  
21 what's an operating system. And you'll recall that one of  
22 their proposed constructions has the term "operating system  
23 component," and, so, I wanted to touch on that briefly.

24 I've got on the screen a slide that shows a public  
25 version distributed by Google of what the Android operating

1 out which of the terms within each of the patents makes sense  
2 to prove logically and we have an efficient way of doing it.

3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

4 MR. PERLSON: All right. Thank you so much for  
5 taking this time.

6 THE COURT: I hope those of you from California are  
7 not taking the red eye tonight.

8 MR. PASTOR: I think we'll be all right.

9 THE COURT: Well, have a safe trip back. Thank you.

10 (Adjourned at 6:00 p.m.)

11 \* \* \* \* \*

12  
13  
14  
15  
16 C E R T I F I C A T E

17  
18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
19 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

20  
21 October 8, 2011

/s/ William G. Romanishin